1 2 3 4 5	Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352) Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113) BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: (877) 534-2590 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160		PERSONAL PROPERTY JEH 20 2010 CLEAN CHITTMI CARRESTER DV V	
6			eng sy	
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
10	ANTHONY FERREIRO,	Case No.:	RG18989960	
11	Plaintiff,		NT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES NCTIVE RELEIF	
12	vs.			
13	ADJ PRODUCTS, LLC,	et seq.)	of Health & Safety Code §25249.5	
14	Defendant.			
15				
16				
17				
18	Plaintiff Anthony Ferreiro ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, alleges the			
19	following cause of action in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California.			
20	BACKGROUND OF THE CASE			
21	1. Plaintiff brings this representative action on behalf of all California citizens to			
22	enforce relevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified			
23	at the Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), which reads, in relevant part,			
24	"[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any			
25	individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first			
26	giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual". Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.			
27	2. This complaint is a representative action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest			
28	of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be informed of the health			
	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL DENALTIES	-1-	LIAE BEI ELL AND	
	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELEID VIOLATION OF HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5			

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23 24

22

25

26

27

28

hazards caused by exposure to Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), a toxic chemical found in headphones sold and/or distributed by defendant ADJ Products, LLC ("Defendant") in California.

- 3. DEHP is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity. On January 1, 1988, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and DEHP has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On October 24, 2003, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity.
- Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations. Included in such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing a Proposition 65-listed chemical with a "clear and reasonable" warning before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing any person to it.
- 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation to be imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin the actions of a defendant which "violate or threaten to violate" the statute. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.
- 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant manufactures, distributes, imports, sells and/or offers for sale in California, without a requisite exposure warning, headphones (the "Products") that expose persons to DEHP.
- 7. Defendant's failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP in conjunction with the sale, manufacture, and/or distribution of the Products is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendant to the enjoinment and civil penalties described herein.
- 8. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendant for its violations of Proposition 65 in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, is registered

with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do business in the

State of California, and/or has otherwise purposefully availed itself of the California market. Such purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts consistent and permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMNTS

- 16. On March 9, 2018, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 (the "Notice") to Defendant concerning the exposure of California citizens to DEHP contained in the Products without proper warning, subject to a private action to Defendant and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred.
- 17. The Notice complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding DEHP exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a private action.
- 18. After receiving the Notice, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, none of the noticed appropriate public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted a cause of action against Defendant under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations which are the subject of Plaintiff's notice of violation.
- 19. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the date of the Notice to Defendant, as required by law.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(By Plaintiff against Defendant for the Violation of Proposition 65)

- 20. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 19 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 21. Defendant have, at all times mentioned herein, acted as manufacturer, distributer, and/or retailer of the Product.

4

12

13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

22

23

24

26

25

2728

- 22. The Products contain DEHP, a hazardous chemical found on the Proposition 65 list of chemicals known to be hazardous to human health.
 - 23. The Products do not comply with the Proposition 65 warning requirements.
- 24. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that at all relevant times herein, and at least since December 13, 2017, continuing until the present, that Defendant has continued to knowingly and intentionally expose California users and consumers of the Product to DEHP without providing required warnings under Proposition 65.
- 25. The exposures that are the subject of the Notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of the product. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals is through dermal exposure. Dermal exposure through direct contact with the user's hands is possible during application, removal, and manipulation of the headphones. Should the wearer's skin perspire underneath the ear cushion or the ear cushions become wet, aqueous DEHP skin permeation rates have been reported to be faster than neat DEHP permeation. Although the association between HMWP phthalates such as DEHP and atopic dermatitis has never been elucidated, as a multitude of chemicals are present in headphones that cone into contact with human skin, DEHP in headphones and hearing protection aids has been reported to induce contact dermatitis. Gas-phase DEHP emitted by the ear covering into the ear canal can potentially permeate skin and membranes of the eardrum, middle ear, and inner ear. If the headphones are stored or transported in a carrier, DEHP that leaches from the product may contaminate other articles contained within these closed spaces are subsequently handled, worn, mouthed, or consumed. Finally, while mouthing of the product does not seem likely, some amount of exposure through ingestion can occur by handling the product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth.
- 26. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that such exposures will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to Product purchasers and users or until this known toxic chemical is removed from the Product.
- 27. Defendant have knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Product exposes individuals to DEHP, and Defendant intend that exposures to DEHP will occur

1	by their deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, importation, distribution,			
2	sale and offering of the Product to consumers in California			
3	28. Plaintiff has engaged in good	faith efforts to resolve the herein claims prior to this		
4	Complaint.			
5	5 29. Pursuant to Health and Safety	uant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above		
6	described acts, Defendant is liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day per violation.			
7	7 30. Pursuant to Health and Safety	30. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically		
8	authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant.			
9	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
10	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant and requests the			
11	following relief:			
12	A. That the court assess of	ivil penalties against Defendant in the amount of		
13	\$2,500 per day for each	h violation in accordance with Health and Safety		
14	Code § 25249.7(b);			
15	B. That the court prelimit	narily and permanently enjoin Defendant mandating		
16	Proposition 65 compli	ant warnings on the Product;		
17	C. That the court grant P.	C. That the court grant Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit.		
18	D. That the court grant ar	ny further relief as may be just and proper.		
19	Dated: June 21, 2018	BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC		
20		Ву:		
21		Evan J. Smith (SBN242352) Ryan P. Cardona (SBN302113)		
22	2	9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212		
23	3	Telephone: (877) 534-2590 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160		
24	4	` ,		
25	5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
26	5			
27	7			
28	3			