ENDORSED Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352) FILED Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113) ALAMEDA COUNTY 2 BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 MAR I 3 2019 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT Telephone: (877) 534-2590 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160 AMRIT KHAN Deputy 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 9 10 MG19010734 ANTHONY FERREIRO. 11 Case No.: Plaintiff, 12 COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELEIF 13 (Violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.5 J. C. PENNEY CORPORATION, INC., 14 et seq.) Defendant. 15 16 17 Plaintiff Anthony Ferreiro ("Plaintiff" or "Ferreiro"), by and through his attorneys, 18 alleges the following cause of action in the public interest of the citizens of the State of 19 California. 20 **BACKGROUND OF THE CASE** 21 Plaintiff brings this representative action on behalf of all California citizens to 1. 22 enforce relevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified 23 at the Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), which reads, in relevant part, 24 "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any 25 individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first 26 giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual ...". Health & Safety Code § 25249.6. 27 28 COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELEIF - VIOLATION OF HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5

- 2. This complaint is a representative action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be informed of the health hazards caused by exposure to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), a toxic chemical found in trampoline safety pads manufactured by King Service Holding, Inc., and distributed, offered for sale and/or sold by defendant J. C. Penney Corporation, Inc. ("JCPenney" or "Defendant") in California.
- 3. DEHP is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm. On January 1, 1988, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and DEHP has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On October 24, 2003, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.
- 4. Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations.

 Included in such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing a Proposition 65-listed chemical with a "clear and reasonable" warning before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing any person to it.
- 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation to be imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin the actions of a defendant which "violate[s] or threaten[s] to violate" the statute. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.
- 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant distributes and/or offers for sale in California, without the required exposure warning, trampoline safety pads ("Product" or "Products") that expose users to DEHP.
- 7. Defendant's failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP in conjunction with the sale, and/or

27

Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those

given by statute to other trial courts. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 allows for the

enforcement of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction; therefore, this Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit.

of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, is registered with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do business in the State of California, and/or has otherwise purposefully availed itself of the California market. Such purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts consistent and permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMNTS

- 16. On March 13, 2018, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 (the "Notice") to Defendant concerning the exposure of California citizens to DEHP contained in the Product without proper warning, subject to a private action to Defendant and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred.
- 17. The Notice complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding DEHP exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a private action.
- 18. After receiving the Notice, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, none of the noticed appropriate public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted a cause of action against Defendant under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations which are the subject of Plaintiff's notice of violation.
- 19. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the date of the Notice to Defendant, as required by law.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(By Plaintiff against Defendant for the Violation of Proposition 65)

2.7

- 20. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 19 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 21. Defendant has, at all times mentioned herein, acted as either a distributer, and/or retailer of the Product.
- 22. The Product contains DEHP, a hazardous chemical found on the Proposition 65 list of chemicals known to be hazardous to human health.
 - 23. The Product does not comply with the Proposition 65 warning requirements.
- 24. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that at all relevant times herein, and at least since January 6, 2018, continuing until the present, that Defendant has continued to knowingly and intentionally expose California users and consumers of the Product to DEHP without providing required warnings under Proposition 65.
- 25. The exposures that are the subject of the Notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Product. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals is through dermal absorption. Dermal absorption of DEHP can occur through direct skin contact with the cover during routine use when the Product is manipulated with bare hands during fitting and removal from the trampoline or when entering or leaving the trampoline. Surface DEHP from the cover can be transferred to the users clothing when it comes into contact with the Product. The amount of DEHP that migrates out of the Product can be expected to increase during the hotter, summer months. Should the Product be handled when wet from precipitation or the user handle the Product with wet hands, aqueous DEHP skin permeation rates have been reported to be faster than neat DEHP permeation. Finally, while mouthing of the Product does not seem likely, some amount of exposure through ingestion can occur by touching the Product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth.
- 26. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that such exposures will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to Product purchasers and users or until this known toxic chemical is removed from the Product.