1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Tanya E. Moore, SBN 206683 MISSION LAW FIRM, A P.C. 332 North Second Street San Jose, California 95112 Telephone (408) 298-2000 Facsimile (408) 298-6046 E-mail: service@mission.legal Attorneys for Plaintiff Safe Products for Californians, LLC	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA	
10		
11	SAFE PRODUCTS FOR CALIFORNIANS,	No.
12	LLC,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES
13	Plaintiff,	AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
14	VS.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.)
15	AMAZON.COM, INC.; DOES 1 THROUGH 150	
16	Defendants.))
17	Defendants.	
18		
19	Plaintiff, SAFE PRODUCTS FOR CALIFORNIANS, LLC ("Plaintiff"), alleges as	
20	follows:	
21	SUMMARY	
22	1. This is a representative action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest of the	
23	citizens of the State of California to enforce the public's right to be informed of the health	
24	hazards caused by exposures to lead and lead compounds, a toxic chemical found in and on the	
25	products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendants, AMAZON.COM, INC. and	
26	DOES 1 THROUGH 150, inclusive (collectively "Defendants"), as set forth below.	
27	2. By this Complaint, Plaintiff seeks to remedy Defendants' continuing failure to	
28	warn individuals not covered by California's Occupational Safety Health Act, Labor Code	
	Complaint Page 1	

Page 1

§ 6300, et seq. ("OSHA"), who purchase, use, or handle Defendants' products, about the risks of exposure to lead and lead compounds present in and on the products manufactured, distributed, and sold throughout the State of California. Individuals not covered by OSHA who purchase, use, or handle Defendants' products are referred to hereinafter as "Consumers."

- 3. Detectable levels of lead and lead compounds are found in and/or on the powdered dietary supplements that Defendants manufacture, distribute, and/or offer for sale to Consumers throughout the State of California.
- 4. Under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"), "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual ..." Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.
- 5. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on October 1, 1992, California identified and listed lead and lead compounds as a chemical known to cause cancer. Lead and lead compounds became subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of Proposition 65 one year later on October 1, 1993. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code § 25249.8.
- 6. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on February 27, 1987, California identified and listed lead and lead compounds as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity. Lead and lead compounds became subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of Proposition 65 one year later on February 27, 1988. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code § 25249.8.
- 7. Defendants manufacture, distribute, import, sell, and offer for sale without health warnings in the State of California, powdered dietary supplements that contain excessive levels of lead and lead compounds including, but not limited to, "All in One Daily Essentials Shake" ASIN #X0016EBLZX. All such products containing lead and lead compounds are referred to collectively hereinafter as "Products."
- 8. Defendants' failure to warn Consumers in the State of California of the health hazards associated with exposures to lead and lead compounds in conjunction with

Defendants' sales of the Products are violations of Proposition 65, and subject Defendants, and each of them, to enjoinment of such conduct as well as civil penalties for each violation. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a) & (b)(1).

- 9. For Defendants' violations of Proposition 65, Plaintiff seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to compel Defendants to provide Consumers of the Products with the required warning regarding the health hazards associated with exposures to lead and lead compounds. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a).
- 10. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against Defendants for their violations of Proposition 65.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all cases except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction.
- 12. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over Defendants based on Plaintiff's information and good faith belief that Defendants are each a person, firm, corporation, or association that is a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California, and/or purposefully avails itself of the California market. Defendants' purposeful availment renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 13. Venue is proper in the Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §§ 393, 395, and 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction, because Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendants, because one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur, in this county, and/or because Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in Santa Clara County with respect to the Products.

27 | ///

28 | ///

PARTIES

- 14. Plaintiff is a limited liability California company with its principal place of business within the State of California, County of Santa Clara. Plaintiff seeks to reduce or eliminate the presence of hazardous substances in consumer products sold in California, and to ensure that California consumers are aware of the presence of such substances in consumer goods so that they can make an educated effort to limit their own exposure where deemed necessary. Plaintiff brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 15. Defendant AMAZON.COM, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 16. Defendants manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and/or offer the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or imply by their conduct that they manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and/or offer the Products for sale or use in the State of California.
- 17. Defendants DOES 1 THROUGH 50, inclusive ("Manufacturer Defendants"), are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 18. Manufacturer Defendants, and each of them, research, test, design, assemble, fabricate, and manufacture, or each implies by its conduct that it researches, tests, designs, assembles, fabricates, and manufactures, one or more of the Products offered for sale or use in California.
- 19. Defendants DOES 51 THROUGH 100, inclusive ("Distributor Defendants"), are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 20. Distributor Defendants, and each of them, distribute, exchange, transfer, process, and transport one or more of the Products to individuals, businesses, or retailers for sale or use in the State of California, or each implies by its conduct that it distributes, exchanges, transfers, processes, and transports one or more of the Products to individuals, businesses, or retailers for sale or use in the State of California.

 21. Defendants DOES 101 THROUGH 150, inclusive ("Retailer Defendants"), are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11.

- 22. Retailer Defendants, and each of them, offer the Products for sale to individuals in the State of California.
- 23. At this time, the true names of Defendants DOES 1 THROUGH 150, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who, therefore, sues said defendants by their fictitious names pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 474. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible for the acts and occurrences alleged herein. When ascertained, their true names shall be reflected in an amended complaint.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of Proposition 65

- 24. Plaintiff re-pleads and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs, and incorporates them herein as if separately re-pled.
- 25. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each of the Defendants employs ten or more persons.
- 26. In enacting Proposition 65, in the preamble to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, the People of California expressly declared their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm."
- 27. Proposition 65 states, "[no] person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual ..." Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.
- 28. On May 8, 2018, Plaintiff served a sixty-day notice of violation, including the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding

the alleged exposures to lead and lead compounds and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a public action, on Defendant AMAZON.COM, INC.; the California Attorney General's Office, and the requisite public enforcement agencies, alleging that, as a result of Defendants' sales of the Products, Consumers in the State of California are being exposed to lead and lead compounds resulting from their reasonably foreseeable use of the Products, without the Consumers first receiving a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding the harms associated with exposures to lead and lead compounds, as required by Proposition 65.

- 29. Defendants manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer the Products for sale or use in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, and Defendants' violations have continued beyond their receipt of Plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation. As such, Defendants' violations are ongoing and continuous in nature and, unless enjoined, will continue in the future.
- 30. After receiving Plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, no public enforcement agency has commenced and diligently prosecuted a cause of action against Defendants under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations that are the subject of Plaintiff's notice of violation.
- 31. The Products that Defendants manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer for sale or use in California cause exposures to lead and lead compounds as a result of the reasonably foreseeable use of the Products. Such exposures caused by Defendants and endured by Consumers in California are not exempt from the "clear and reasonable" warning requirements of Proposition 65, yet Defendants provide no clear and reasonable warning.
- 32. Defendants knew or should have known that the Products they manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer for sale or use in California contain lead and lead compounds.
- 33. Lead and lead compounds are present in or on the Products in such a way as to expose Consumers through ingestion and/or inhalation during reasonably foreseeable use.
- 34. The normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Products has caused, and continues to cause, consumer exposures to lead and lead compounds, as defined by title 27 of

the California Code of Regulations, section 25602(b).

- 35. Defendants know that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Products exposes individuals to lead and lead compounds through ingestion and/or inhalation.
- 36. Defendants intend that exposures to lead and lead compounds through the reasonably foreseeable use of the Products will occur by their deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, and offering of the Products for sale or use to Consumers in California.
- 37. Defendants failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those Consumers in California who have been, or will be, exposed to lead and lead compounds through ingestion and/or inhalation resulting from their use of the Products.
- 38. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65 enacted directly by California voters, consumers exposed to lead and lead compounds through ingestion and/or inhalation as a result of their use of the Products that Defendants sold without a "clear and reasonable" health hazard warning have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable harm for which they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.
- 39. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above-described acts, Defendants, and each of them, are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day for each violation.
- 40. As a consequence of the above-described acts, Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against Defendants.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendants, and each of them, for:

- Civil penalties in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b);
- Preliminary and permanent injunctions mandating that Defendants recall all
 Products currently in the chain of commerce in California without a "clear and
 reasonable warning" as defined by California Code of Regulations title 27,

section 25601, et seq.; 1 That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a), preliminarily 3. 2 and permanently enjoin Defendants from manufacturing, distributing, or 3 offering the Products for sale or use in California without first providing a 4 "clear and reasonable warning" in accordance with title 27 of the California 5 Code of Regulations, section 25601, et seq., regarding the harms associated with 6 lead and lead compounds; 7 Plaintiff's reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit; and 8 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper. 9 5. 10 MISSION LAW FIRM, A.P.C. Dated: July 19, 2018 11 Tauyamone 12 Tanya E. Moore 13 Attorneys for Plaintiff Safe Products for Californians, LLC 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28