1	RICHARD T. DRURY (CBN 163559) REBECCA L. DAVIS (CBN 271662)	
2	LOZEAU DRURY LLP	
3	410 12th Street, Suite 250 Oakland, CA 94607	
4	Ph: 510-836-4200	
5	Fax: 510-836-4205 Email: richard@lozeaudrury.com	
6	rebecca@lozeaudrury.com	
7	ADAM KEATS (CBN 191157)	
8	CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY 303 Sacramento Street, 2nd Floor	
9	San Francisco, CA 94111	
10	Ph: 415-826-2770 Email: akeats@centerforfoodsafety.org	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Center for Food Safety	
12		
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
14	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
15	CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY, a non-	Case No.:
16	profit corporation,	
17	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
18	vs.	RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES
19	TRADER JOE'S COMPANY, a California	
20	corporation,	
21	Defendant.	
22	Plaintiff Center for Food Safety ("Pla	uintiff") brings this action in the interests of the
23	general public and, on information and belief, hereby alleges:	
24		
25	<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
26	1. This action seeks to remedy the continuing failure of Defendant Trader Joe's	
27	Company ("Trader Joe's" or "Defendant") to warn individuals in California that they are being	
28	exposed to the chemical acrylamide, a substance known to the State of California to cause	
	cancer. These exposures have occurred, and continue to occur through the manufacture,	
- 1	COMPLAINT FOR INITINCTIVE RELIFF AND CIVIL PENALTIES	

distribution, sale, and consumption of the following peanut butter and almond butter products, which contain the chemical acrylamide (the "Nut Butter Products"):

- 1. Trader Joe's Creamy Almond Butter No Salt
- 2. Trader Joe's Creamy Almond Butter Salted
- 3. Trader Joe's Raw Almond Butter Creamy
- 4. Trader Joe's Raw Almond Butter Crunchy
- 5. Trader Joe's Crunchy Unsalted Peanut Butter
- 6. Trader Joe's Crunchy Salted Peanut Butter
- 7. Trader Joe's Creamy Unsalted Peanut Butter
- 8. Trader Joe's Creamy Salted Peanut Butter
- 9. Trader Joe's Organic Peanut Butter Creamy No Salt Valencia
- 2. California's Proposition 65 (Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.), is a right to know statute. Under Proposition 65, it is unlawful for businesses to knowingly and intentionally expose individuals in California to chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm without providing clear and reasonable warnings to individuals prior to exposure.
- 3. When consumers eat the Nut Butter Products, they are exposed to acrylamide at levels requiring a "clear and reasonable warning" under Proposition 65. Yet Defendant has failed to provide any warning to consumers that they are being exposed to the carcinogenic chemical acrylamide.
- 4. Defendant's past and continued manufacturing, distribution, and sale of the Nut Butter Products in California without a clear and reasonable warning causes individuals to be involuntarily and unwittingly exposed to acrylamide at levels that violate Proposition 65.
- 5. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief enjoining Defendant from the continued manufacturing, distribution, and/or sales of the Nut Butter Products in California without provision of clear and reasonable warnings regarding the risks of cancer posed by exposure to acrylamide through consumption of the Nut Butter Products. Plaintiff seeks an injunctive order

compelling Defendant to bring its business practices into compliance with Proposition 65 by providing a clear and reasonable warning to each individual who has been and who in the future may be exposed to acrylamide from consumption of the Nut Butter Products. Plaintiff also seeks an order compelling Defendant to identify and locate each individual person who in the past has purchased the Nut Butter Products, and to provide to each such purchaser a clear and reasonable warning that use of the Nut Butter Products will cause exposures to acrylamide.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.8, allowing enforcement of Proposition 65 in any court of competent jurisdiction, and pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The causes of actions alleged herein are not given by statute to other trial courts.
- 8. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is incorporated in California.
- 9. Venue in this action is proper in the Alameda Superior Court because Defendant has violated or threatens to violate California law in the County of Alameda.

PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff Center for Food Safety is a non-profit corporation working to protect human health and the environment by curbing the use of harmful food production technologies and by promoting organic and other forms of sustainable agriculture. Plaintiff is Center for Food Safety is a person within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25118 and brings this enforcement action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d).
- 11. Defendant Trader Joe's Company. is a corporation organized under California's corporation law and is a person doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.

12. Defendant has manufactured, packaged, distributed, marketed and/or offered the Nut Butter Products for sale or use in California and the County of Alameda. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant continues to manufacture, package, distribute, market and/or sell the Nut Butter Products in California and in Alameda County.

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 13. The People of the State of California have declared in Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." Section 1(b) of Initiative Measure, Proposition 65.
- 14. To effect this goal, Proposition 65 requires that individuals be provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" before being exposed to substances listed by the State of California as causing cancer or reproductive toxicity. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 states, in pertinent part:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual....

- 15. "Knowingly' refers only to knowledge of the fact that a discharge of, release of, or exposure to a chemical listed pursuant to Section 25249.8(a) of the Act is occurring. No knowledge that the discharge, release or exposure is unlawful is required." 27 Cal. Code of Regs. ("CCR") § 25102(n).
- 16. Proposition 65 provides that any "person who violates or threatens to violate" the statute may be enjoined in a court of competent jurisdiction. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7. The phrase "threaten to violate" is defined to mean creating "a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(e). Violators are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation of the Act. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.
 - 17. On January 1, 1990, the State of California officially listed the chemical

acrylamide as a chemical known to cause cancer. Acrylamide became subject to the warning requirement one year later and was therefore subject to the "clear and reasonable" warning requirements of Proposition 65 beginning on January 1, 1991. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 *et seq.*; 27 Cal. Code Regs. §§ 25000, *et seq.* Due to the carcinogenicity of acrylamide, the no significant risk level for acrylamide is 0.2 μg/day (micrograms per day). 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25705(b)(1).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 18. To test Defendant's Nut Butter Products for acrylamide, Plaintiff hired a well-respected and accredited testing laboratory. The results of testing undertaken by Plaintiff of the Nut Butter Products show that they were in violation of the 0.2 microgram per day ("µg/day") for acrylamide "safe harbor" daily dose limits set forth in Proposition 65's regulations.
- 19. Based on the testing results, on June 18, 2018, Plaintiff sent a 60-Day Notice of Proposition 65 Violations ("Notice") to the requisite public enforcement agencies, and to Defendant (a true and correct copy of the 60-Day Notice letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and is incorporated by reference). The Notice was issued pursuant to, and in compliance with, the requirements of Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) and the statute's implementing regulations regarding the notice of the violations to be given to certain public enforcement agencies and to the violators. The Notice included, *inter alia*, the following information: the name, address, and telephone number of the noticing individuals; the name of the alleged violator; the statute violated; the approximate time period during which violations occurred; and descriptions of the violations, including the chemical involved, the routes of toxic exposure, and the specific product or type of product causing the violations, and was issued as follows:
 - a. Defendant was provided a copy of the Notice by Certified Mail.
 - b. Defendant was provided a copy of a document entitled "The Safe Drinking

- Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary," which is also known as Appendix A to Title 27 of Cal. Code Regs. § 25903.
- c. The California Attorney General was provided a copy of the Notice via online submission.
- d. The California Attorney General was provided with a Certificate of Merit by the attorney for the noticing party, stating that there is a reasonable and meritorious case for this action, and attaching factual information sufficient to establish a basis for the certificate, including the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(h)(2).
- e. The district attorneys, city attorneys or prosecutors of each jurisdiction within which the Nut Butter Products are offered for sale within California were provided with a copy of the Notice pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)(1).
- 20. At least 60-days have elapsed since Plaintiff sent the Notice to Defendant. The appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action under Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* against Defendant based on the allegations herein.
- 21. On information and belief, the Nut Butter Products have been manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant for consumption in California since at least August 27, 2015. On information and belief, the Nut Butter Products continue to be distributed and sold in California without the requisite warning information.
- 22. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant has knowingly and intentionally exposed the users of the Nut Butter Products to acrylamide without first giving a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals.
 - 23. As a proximate result of acts of Defendant, as a person in the course of doing

business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11, individuals throughout the State of California, including in the County of Alameda, have been exposed to acrylamide without a clear and reasonable warning. The individuals subject to the illegal exposures include normal and foreseeable users of the Nut Butter Products, as well as all other persons exposed to the Nut Butter Products.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violations of Health and Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. concerning the Nut Butter Products described in the June 18, 2018 Prop. 65 Notice)

- 24. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 23, inclusive, as if specifically set forth herein.
- 25. Defendant is a person doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 26. Acrylamide is listed by the State of California as a chemical known to cause cancer.
- 27. Defendant has and continues to knowingly and intentionally expose individuals who ingest the Nut Butter Products to the chemical acrylamide without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals pursuant to Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11(f).
- 28. Continuing commission by Defendant of the acts alleged above will irreparably harm the citizens of the State of California, for which harm they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant, as set forth hereafter.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

A preliminary and permanent injunction, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §
 25249.7(b), enjoining Defendant, its agents, employees, assigns and all persons acting in concert or participating with Defendant, from distributing or selling the Nut Butter Products in

California without first providing a clear and reasonable warning that consumers of the Nut Butter Products are exposed to acrylamide;

- 2. An injunctive order, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), compelling Defendant to identify and locate each individual who has purchased the Nut Butter Products since August 27, 2015, and to provide a warning to such persons that consumption of the Nut Butter Products will expose the consumers to a chemical known to cause cancer;
- 3. An assessment of civil penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) against Defendant in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation of Proposition 65;
- 4. An award to Plaintiff of its reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, as Plaintiff shall specify in further application to the Court; and,
 - 5. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

DATED: August 27, 2018

LOZEAŲ | DRURY LLP

Rebecca L. Davis Attorneys for Plaintiff Center for Food Safety



June 18, 2018

To: President or CEO – Trader Joe's Company

California Attorney's Office

District Attorney's Office for 58 counties

City Attorney's for San Francisco, San Diego, San Jose, and Los Angeles

(See attached Certificate of Service)

From: Center for Food Safety

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

Dear Addressees:

This firm represents Center for Food Safety in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"). Center for Food Safety is a national non-profit public interest and environmental advocacy organization working to protect human health and the environment by curbing the use of harmful food production technologies and by promoting organic and other forms of sustainable agriculture. This letter serves to provide notification of these violations to you and to the public enforcement agencies of Proposition 65.

This letter constitutes notice that the entity listed below has violated and continues to violate provisions of Proposition 65. Specifically, the entity listed below has violated and continues to violate the warning requirement at § 25249.6 of the California Health & Safety Code, which provides that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual..."

<u>Violator</u>: The name of the violator covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter referred to as the "Violator") is: Trader Joe's Company.

<u>Listed Chemical</u>: These violations involve exposure to the listed chemical acrylamide. On January 1, 1990, California officially listed acrylamide as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. On February 25, 2011, California officially listed acrylamide as a chemical known to cause reproductive and developmental toxicity.

Notice of Violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. June 18, 2018 Page 2

<u>Consumer Products</u>: The following specific products that are the subject of this notice because are causing exposures in violation of Proposition 65 are:

- 1. Trader Joe's Creamy Almond Butter No Salt
- 2. Trader Joe's Creamy Almond Butter Salted
- 3. Trader Joe's Raw Almond Butter Creamy
- 4. Trader Joe's Raw Almond Butter Crunchy
- 5. Trader Joe's Crunchy Unsalted Peanut Butter
- 6. Trader Joe's Crunchy Salted Peanut Butter
- 7. Trader Joe's Creamy Unsalted Peanut Butter
- 8. Trader Joe's Creamy Salted Peanut Butter
- 9. Trader Joe's Organic Peanut Butter Creamy No Salt Valencia

<u>Violation</u>: The alleged Violator knowingly and intentionally exposed and continues to expose consumers within the State of California to acrylamide without providing a Proposition 65 warning. The Violator has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemical, acrylamide.

<u>Route of Exposure</u>: Use of the products identified in this notice results in human exposures to acrylamide. The primary route of exposure is ingestion.

<u>Duration of Violation</u>: The violations have been occurring since at least June 18, 2017, and are ongoing.

A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with the copy of this letter sent to the Violator.

Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), Center for Food Safety intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless the Violator agrees in an enforceable written agreement to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty; and (3) provide clear and reasonable warnings compliant with Proposition 65 to all persons located in California who purchased the above products in the last three years. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this notice, Center for Food Safety is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemical and expensive and time

Notice of Violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. June 18, 2018
Page 3

consuming litigation.

Adam Keats is a Senior Attorney with Center for Food Safety. Mr. Keats is located at 303 Sacramento St., 2nd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94111, Tel. 415-826-0507. Center for Food Safety has retained my firm in connection with this matter. Please direct all questions concerning this notice to me, Rebecca Davis (rebecca@lozeaudrury.com), Lozeau Drury LLP, 410 12th Street, Suite 250, Oakland, California 94607, (510) 836-4200.

Sincerely,

Rebecca L. Davis

Attachments:

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Violators and their Registered Agents for Service of Process only) Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only) Notice of Violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. June 18, 2018
Page 4

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Center for Food Safety's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Trader Joe's Company

I, Rebecca Davis, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged that the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party, Center for Food Safety.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants and other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator(s) will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit, additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate has been served on the Attorney General, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: June 18, 2018

Rebecca Davis

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years old, and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 410 12th Street, Suite 250, Oakland, California 94607, in Alameda County, where the mailing occurred.

On June 18, 2018, I served the following documents: (1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE \$25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY on the following entities by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail, addressed to the entity listed below, and placing the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

Current President or CEO Trader Joe's Company 800 S. Shamrock Avenue Monrovia, CA 91016 Paracorp Incorporated (Registered Agent for Service of Process for Trader Joe's Company) 2804 Gateway Oaks Dr. #100 Sacramento, CA 95833

On June 18, 2018, I served the following documents (1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; (3) ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(d)(1) on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On June 18, 2018, I served the following documents (1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail to the party listed below:

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator Lassen County 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

Dije Ndreu, Deputy District Attorney Monterey County 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us

Allison Haley, District Attorney Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney Riverside County 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney Sacramento County 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org

Christopher Dalbey
Deputy District Attorney
Santa Barbara County
1112 Santa Barbara St.
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us
Kathryn L. Turner, Chief Deputy City
Attorney
1200 Third Ave.
San Diego, CA 92101
CityAttyCrimProp65@sandiego.gov

Gregory Alker, Assistant District Attorney San Francisco County 732 Brannan Street San Francisco, CA 94103 gregory.alker@sfgov.org

Yen Dang, Supervising Deputy District Attorney Santa Clara County 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney Sonoma County 600 Administration Dr. Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney Tulare County 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney Ventura County 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney Yolo County 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org

Nancy O'Malley, District Attorney 7776 Oakport Street, Suite 650 Oakland, CA 94621 CEPDProp65@acgov.org

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney County Government Center Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us Jeffrey S. Rosell , District Attorney 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz , CA 95060 Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us

On June 18, 2018, I served the following documents (1) NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; (2) CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail, addressed to each of the entities on the Service List attached hereto, and placing the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

Executed on June 18, 2018, in Oakland, California.

Daniel Charlier-Smith

Service List

District Attorney Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney Amador County 708 Court Street

Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney Butte County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4th Floor Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney Imperial County 940 West Main St. Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243 District Attorney Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney Lake County 255 N. Forbes St. Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney Merced County 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340 District Attorney Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202

Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney Nevada County 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney Orange County 401 W. Civic Center Dr. Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney Placer County 10810 Justice Center Dr. Suite 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097 District Attorney Solano County 675 Texas St., Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main St., Ste. 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Ave., Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Pl. San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113