State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting						
FORM JUS 1500 Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612						
(03-01)	PRIVALE ENFORCE		nd Safety Code section 25249.7(OMPLAINT FILING	e) and (f)		
-			tal Filing Corrected Filing			
Please						
	PLAINTIFF(S)					
	Jennifer Fishman					
	DEFENDANT(S)					
ACTION	Republic Tobacco, L.P.					
AC1	a -					
Ψ						
PARTIES TO THE						
۲						
l Ë						
ARI						
D						
			1			
	COURT DOCKET NUMBER		COURTNAME Superior Court of Califor	rnia, County of San Diego		
CASE	37-2019-00002496-CU-MC-CTL		Superior Court of Califor	rina, County of San Diego		
2≤	SHORT CASE NAME Fishman vs Republic Tobacco LP					
	-					
	TYPE OF CLAIM (Check All That	Apply)	RELIEF SOUGHT (Ch	neck All That Apply)		
	Propostion 65 Unlawful Discharge		Warning			
∣⊢	Proposition 65 Failure to Warn		Discharge Ban	<u>ال</u>		
REPORT INFO				Ö o		
ЦЩ N	B&P Code section 17200		X Civil Penalty			
—	Other					
	Proposition 65 Failure to Warn Discharge Ban B&P Code section 17200 Civil Penalty Other COPY OF COMPLAINT MUST BE ATTACHED					
			BE ATTACTIED	Ŭ.		
	NAMEOFCONTACT Jack Fitzgerald					
20	ORGANIZATION The Law Office of Jack	Fitzgerald D	C	TELEPHONE NUMBER		
FILER	ADDRESS	ritzgeraiu, P		((619)) 692-3840 FAX NUMBER		
	3636 4th Ave. Ste. 202			((619)) 362-9555		
	CITY	STATE ZIP	E-MAIL ADDRESS			
San Diego CA jack@jackfitzgeral@				aldlaw.com		
				at available mail the completed		

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the complaint to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		ERALD, PC Superior Court of California, County of San Diego 01/15/2019 at 01:21:48 PM Clerk of the Superior Court By Richard Day, Deputy Clerk THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA Y OF SAN DIEGO		
14	JENNIFER FISHMAN,	Case No.: 37-2019-00002496-CU-MC-CTL		
16	Plaintiff,			
17 18	V.	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR		
19	REPUBLIC TOBACCO, L.P.,	VIOLATION OF PROPOSITION 65		
20	Defendant.	Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	Fishman v. Republic Tobacco, L.P.			
	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF			

Plaintiff Jennifer Fishman, in the public interest, by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby brings this action against Defendant Republic Tobacco, L.P. ("Republic"), alleging the following based on personal knowledge, or where she lacks personal knowledge, upon information and belief, including the investigation of her counsel. 4

1

2

3

5

INTRODUCTION & FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Plaintiff brings this representative action on behalf of all California citizens to 1. 6 7 remedy Republic's failure to warn California consumers that they are being exposed to carbon monoxide (hereinafter, the "Listed Chemical"), a substance known to the State of California 8 9 to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

10 2. Such exposures have occurred and continue to occur through the use of combustible paper products (sometimes called "rolling papers") (the "Products") that 11 Republic manufactures, distributes and/or sells in California. The burning and inhalation of 12 the Products exposes those using them to the Listed Chemical. The Products include the 13 following brands and their derivatives: 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4 Aces a. Altesse b. El Rev c. d. e-z Wider Gambler e. High Card f. JOB g. Joker h. Low Bob's i. McClintock į. k. **OCB** Premier 1. Rolling m. Rollit n. **Smoker Friendly** 0. TOP p. Tube Cut q. Ventura r.

The use of the Products causes exposures to the Listed Chemical that require a
 "clear and reasonable warning" under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic
 Enforcement Act of 1986, Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

4

5

6

7

4. Republic has failed to provide a clear and reasonable warning on the Products. Republic's introduction of the Products into the California marketplace therefore causes individuals to be involuntarily and unwittingly exposed to the Listed Chemical without warning, in violation of Proposition 65.

5. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief enjoining Republic from the continued manufacturing, packaging, distributing, marketing and/or sale of the Products in California without provision of clear and reasonable warnings regarding the risks of birth defects and other reproductive harm posed by exposure to the Listed Chemical through the use of the Products, and compelling Republic to bring its business practices into compliance with Proposition 65 by providing a clear and reasonable warning to each individual who in the future may be exposed to the Listed Chemical from the use of the Products.

6. In addition to injunctive relief, Plaintiff seeks civil penalties authorized by
Proposition 65 to remedy Republic's failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings
regarding exposures to the Listed Chemical.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff Jennifer Fishman is a citizen of the State of California, residing in San
 Diego County, acting in the public interest to promote awareness of toxic chemicals in
 products sold in California and to improve public health through the reduction of hazardous
 substances contained in such items or to inform consumers of their presence. She brings this
 action in the public interest pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).

8. Defendant Republic Tobacco, L.P. manufactures, distributes, imports, sells,
markets and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or implies by its
conduct that it manufactures, distributes, imports, sells, markets and/or offers the Products
for sale or use in the State of California. Republic is a person doing business within the

28

18

2

Fishman v. Republic Tobacco, L.P. COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF meaning of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(a), and employs more than ten people.

3 4

17

18

19

1

2

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution
Article VI, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes
except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is
brought does not specify any other basis for jurisdiction.

8 10. This Court has jurisdiction over Republic because Republic has sufficient 9 minimum contacts with California, or has otherwise intentionally availed itself of the 10 California market through the distribution and sale of the Products in the State of California. 11 Such purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts 12 consistent and permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. This 13 Court also has jurisdiction in this matter because there is no federal question at issue, as the 14 issues herein are based solely on California statutes and law.

15 11. Venue in this action is proper in the San Diego Superior Court because Republic
16 has violated California law in the County of San Diego.

CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATIONS OF PROPOSITION 65,

CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE §§ 25249.5 ET SEQ.

20 12. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates the preceding allegations as if fully set forth
21 herein.

13. The Citizens of California have expressly stated in the Safe Drinking Water and
Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, *et seq.*, that they
must be informed "about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects and other
reproductive harm." Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

14. Republic, at all times relevant to this action, and continuing through the present,
has violated Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 by, in the course of doing business,
knowingly and intentionally exposing individuals who use the Products set forth in the Notice

Fishman v. Republic Tobacco, L.P. COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF to the Listed Chemical, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

15. On or about October 10, 2018, Plaintiff sent a 60-day Notice and Certificate of
Merit to Republic, as well as the State Attorney General and additional district and city
attorney generals, pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a) & (d)(1) (the
"Notice").

16. Republic has engaged in the manufacture, distribution, import, selling,
marketing or offering of the Products for sale or use in violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code
§ 25249.6, and Republic's manufacture, distribution, import, selling, marketing and offering
of the Products for sale or use in violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 has
continued to occur beyond Republic's receipt of Plaintiff's Notice. Such violations are likely
to continue to occur in the future.

13 17. After receipt of Plaintiff's Notice, the appropriate public enforcement agencies
14 have not commenced and diligently prosecuted a cause of action against Republic under
15 Proposition 65.

16 18. Republic knew or should have known that the Products manufactured,
17 distributed, imported, sold, marketed and offered in the State of California produces the
18 Listed Chemical through typical use, and exposes individuals to the Listed Chemical through
19 inhalation through typical use.

20 19. Typical and reasonably foreseeable use of the Products has caused and continues
21 to cause exposure to the Listed Chemical.

22 20. Republic knew that typical and reasonably foreseeable use of the Products would
23 expose individuals to the Listed Chemical and failed to provide a "clear and reasonable
24 warning" to those individuals.

25 21. Continuing commission by Republic of the acts alleged above have harmed and
26 will continue to irreparably harm the citizens of the State of California, for which harm they
27 have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.

28

1

2

Fishman v. Republic Tobacco, L.P. COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1	22. By the above-described acts, Republic is liable, pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety				
2	Code § 25249.7(b), for a civil penalty for each unlawful exposure to the Listed Chemical				
3	from the Products.				
4	23. Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) also specifically authorizes the Court				
5	to grant injunctive relief against Republic as a consequence of the above-described acts.				
6	PRAYER FOR RELIEF				
7	24. Wherefore, Plaintiff accordingly prays for the following relief:				
8	a. An assessment of civil penalties pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code §				
9	25249.7(b), against Republic for the violations alleged herein;				
10	b. A permanent injunction, pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code §				
11	25249.7(b), enjoining Republic from distributing or selling the Products in California				
12	without first providing a clear and reasonable warning, pursuant to Proposition 65, that				
13	the users of the Products are exposed to the Listed Chemical;				
14	c. An award to Plaintiff of her reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit;				
15	d. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.				
16	Dated: January 15, 2019 /s/ Jack Fitzgerald				
17	THE LAW OFFICE OF JACK FITZGERALD, PC				
18	JACK FITZGERALD (SBN 257370) jack@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com				
19	TREVOR M. FLYNN (SBN 253362)				
20	trevor@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com MELANIE PERSINGER (SBN 275423)				
21	melanie@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com				
22	STEVE R. LUCERO (SBN 298076) ¹ steve@jackfitzgeraldlaw.com				
23	Hillcrest Professional Building				
24	3636 Fourth Avenue, Suite 202 San Diego, California 92103				
25	Phone: (619) 692-3840				
26	Fax: (619) 362-9555				
27	Counsel for Plaintiff				
28	¹ Of counsel.				
	5				
	Fishman v. Republic Tobacco, L.P.				
	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF				