

COPY

1 Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352)
2 Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113)
3 BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC
4 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900
5 Beverly Hills, CA 90212
6 Telephone: (877) 534-2590
7 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160

8 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

ENDORSED
FILED
ALAMEDA COUNTY
MAY 17 2019
CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
By P. D. [Signature] Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

10 GABRIEL ESPINOSA,
11 Plaintiff,
12 vs.
13 FIVE BELOW, INC.,
14 Defendant.

Case No.: **RG19019397**
**COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**
(Violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et
seq.)

15 Plaintiff Gabriel Espinosa ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, alleges the
16 following cause of action in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California.

BACKGROUND OF THE CASE

18 1. Plaintiff brings this representative action on behalf of all California citizens to
19 enforce relevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified
20 at the Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq ("Proposition 65"), which reads, in relevant part,
21 "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any
22 individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first
23 giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual ...". Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

24 2. This complaint is a representative action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest
25 of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be informed of the health
26 hazards caused by exposure to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), a toxic chemical found in
27 Spektrum Active waterproof bags/totes/cases sold and/or distributed by defendant Five Below,
28 Inc. ("Five Below" or "Defendant") in California.

BY FAX

1 3. DEHP is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and
2 reproductive toxicity. On January 1, 1988, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical
3 known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65
4 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§
5 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On October 24, 2003, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical
6 known to cause reproductive toxicity.

7 4. Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that
8 operate within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations.
9 Included in such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing
10 a Proposition 65-listed chemical with a “clear and reasonable” warning before “knowingly and
11 intentionally” exposing any person to it.

12 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation
13 to be imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety
14 Code § 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin
15 the actions of a defendant which “violate or threaten to violate” the statute. Health & Safety
16 Code § 25249.7.

17 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant distributes and/or offers for sale in California,
18 without a requisite exposure warning, Spektrum Active waterproof bags/totes/cases (the
19 “Products”) that expose persons to DEHP.

20 7. Defendant’s failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the
21 health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP in conjunction with the sale and/or distribution
22 of the Products is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendant to the enjoinder and
23 civil penalties described herein.

24 8. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendant for its violations of Proposition
25 65 in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

26 9. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief, preliminarily and permanently, requiring
27 Defendant to provide purchasers or users of the Products with required warnings related to the
28

1 dangers and health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP pursuant to Health and Safety
2 Code § 25249.7(a).

3 **PARTIES**

4 10. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general
5 public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and
6 to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. He brings
7 this action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d).

8 11. Defendant Five Below, through its business, effectively imports, distributes, sells,
9 and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct
10 that it imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of
11 California.

12 12. Plaintiff alleges that defendant Five Below is a “person” in the course of doing
13 business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

14 **VENUE AND JURISDICTION**

15 13. Venue is proper in the County of Alameda because one or more of the instances
16 of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur in this county and/or because Defendant
17 conducted, and continues to conduct, business in the County of Alameda with respect to the
18 Products.

19 14. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution
20 Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those
21 given by statute to other trial courts. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 allows for the
22 enforcement of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction; therefore,
23 this Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit.

24 15. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is either a citizen
25 of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, is
26 registered with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do business
27 in the State of California, and/or has otherwise purposefully availed itself of the California
28

1 market. Such purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts
2 consistent and permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

3 **SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENTS**

4 16. On October 16, 2018, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and
5 Safety Code § 25249.6 (the "Notice") to Defendant concerning the exposure of California
6 citizens to DEHP contained in the Products without proper warning, subject to a private action to
7 Defendant and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District
8 attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons
9 wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred.

10 17. The Notice complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including
11 the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at
12 least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding
13 DEHP exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a
14 private action.

15 18. After receiving the Notice, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, none of
16 the noticed appropriate public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted
17 a cause of action against Defendant under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations which
18 are the subject of the Notice.

19 19. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the date of the
20 Notice to Defendant, as required by law.

21 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **(By Plaintiff against Defendant for the Violation of Proposition 65)**

23 20. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 19 of
24 this complaint as though fully set forth herein.

25 21. Defendant has, at all times mentioned herein, acted as distributor, and/or retailer
26 of the Product.

27 22. The Products contain DEHP, a hazardous chemical found on the Proposition 65
28 list of chemicals known to be hazardous to human health.

1 23. The Product does not comply with the Proposition 65 warning requirements.

2 24. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that at all relevant times
3 herein, and at least since September 30, 2018, continuing until the present, that Defendant has
4 continued to knowingly and intentionally expose California users and consumers of the Product
5 to DEHP without providing required warnings under Proposition 65.

6 25. The exposures that are the subject of the Notice result from the purchase,
7 acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Product. Consequently, the primary route of
8 exposure to these chemicals is through dermal absorption. Dermal absorption of DEHP can occur
9 through direct skin contact with the Product during routine use when the Product is grasped,
10 opened, or manipulated with bare hands. If the Product becomes wet due to contact with water
11 and is handled or held in contact with wet skin, aqueous DEHP skin permeation rates have been
12 reported to be faster than neat DEHP permeation. Concentrations of gas phase DEHP can be
13 expected to build within the small, enclosed interior of the Product. The gas phase and surface
14 DEHP can be absorbed to the surface of the interior contents, including but not limited to tablets
15 and smartphones that are subsequently handled, held in direct contact with skin or mouthed by
16 the user. If the Product is stored or transported in a carrier, DEHP that leaches from the opaque
17 and clear plastic may contaminate other articles contained within the storage area or carrier that
18 are subsequently handled, worn, mouthed, or ingested by the user. Finally, while mouthing of
19 the Product does not seem likely, some amount of exposure through ingestion can occur by
20 touching the clear plastic with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth, through
21 touching the DEHP contaminated smartphone or tablet screen after it is removed from the
22 Product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth, or if the smartphone or table
23 surface is contaminated with DEHP and comes into contact with the user's mouth during use.

24 26. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that such exposures will
25 continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to Product purchasers and
26 users or until this known toxic chemical is removed from the Product.

27 27. Defendant has knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the
28 Product exposes individuals to DEHP, and Defendant intends that exposures to DEHP will occur

1 by its deliberate, non-accidental participation in the importation, distribution, sale and offering of
2 the Products to consumers in California

3 28. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the herein claims prior to this
4 Complaint.

5 29. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above
6 described acts, Defendant is liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day per violation.

7 30. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically
8 authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant.

9 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

10 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant and requests the
11 following relief:

- 12 A. That the court assess civil penalties against Defendant in the amount of
13 \$2,500 per day for each violation in accordance with Health and Safety
14 Code § 25249.7(b);
- 15 B. That the court preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendant mandating
16 Proposition 65 compliant warnings on the Product;
- 17 C. That the court grant Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit.
- 18 D. That the court grant any further relief as may be just and proper.

19
20 Dated: May 17, 2019

BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC

21 By: 
22 Evan J. Smith (SBN242352)
23 Ryan P. Cardona (SBN302113)
24 9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900
25 Beverly Hills, CA 90212
26 Telephone: (877) 534-2590
27 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160

Attorneys for Plaintiff