

1 Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352)
2 Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113)
3 BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC
4 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900
5 Beverly Hills, CA 90212
6 Telephone: (877) 534-2590
7 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160
8 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

ENDORSED
FILED
AT ALAMEDA COUNTY
OCT 25 2019
CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
By Roni Gil
Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

HG 19040701

10 GABRIEL ESPINOSA,
11 Plaintiff.
12 vs.
13 TITLE BOXING, LLC, THE SPORTS
14 BASEMENT, INC.
15 Defendants.

Case No.:
**COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**
(Violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et
seq.)

16 Plaintiff Gabriel Espinosa ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, alleges the
17 following cause of action in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California.

BACKGROUND OF THE CASE

- 19 1. Plaintiff brings this representative action on behalf of all California citizens to
20 enforce relevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified
21 at the Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq ("Proposition 65"), which reads, in relevant part,
22 "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any
23 individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first
24 giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual ...". Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.
- 25 2. This complaint is a representative action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest
26 of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be informed of the health
27 hazards caused by exposure to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), a toxic chemical found in
28 Title Boxing branded boxing gloves sold and/or distributed by defendant Title Boxing, LLC

Filed By Fax

1 (“Title Boxing”) and The Sports Basement, Inc. (“The Sports Basement”) (collectively,
2 “Defendants”) in California.

3 3. DEHP is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and
4 reproductive toxicity. On January 1, 1988, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical
5 known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65
6 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§
7 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On October 24, 2003, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical
8 known to cause reproductive toxicity.

9 4. Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that
10 operate within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations.
11 Included in such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing
12 a Proposition 65-listed chemical with a “clear and reasonable” warning before “knowingly and
13 intentionally” exposing any person to it.

14 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation
15 to be imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety
16 Code § 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin
17 the actions of a defendant which “violate or threaten to violate” the statute. Health & Safety
18 Code § 25249.7.

19 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants manufacture, distribute and/or offer for sale in
20 California, without a requisite exposure warning, Title Boxing branded boxing gloves (the
21 “Products”) that expose persons to DEHP.

22 7. Defendants’ failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the
23 health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP in conjunction with the sale and/or distribution
24 of the Products is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendants to the enjoinder and
25 civil penalties described herein.

26 8. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendants for their violations of
27 Proposition 65 in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

28

9. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief, preliminarily and permanently, requiring Defendants to provide purchasers or users of the Products with required warnings related to the dangers and health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a).

PARTIES

10. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. He brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d).

11. Defendant Title Boxing, through its business, effectively manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California. Plaintiff alleges that defendant Title Boxing is a “person” in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

12. Defendant The Sports Basement, through its business, effectively manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California. Plaintiff alleges that defendant The Sports Basement is a “person” in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

13. Venue is proper in the County of Alameda because one or more of the instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur in this county and/or because Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in the County of Alameda with respect to the Products.

14. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those

1 given by statute to other trial courts. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 allows for the
2 enforcement of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction; therefore,
3 this Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit.

4 15. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because each Defendant is either a
5 citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California,
6 has registered with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do
7 business in the State of California, and/or has otherwise purposefully availed itself of the
8 California market. Such purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by
9 California courts consistent and permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial
10 justice.

11 **SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENTS**

12 16. On October 31, 2018, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and
13 Safety Code § 25249.6 (the "Notice") to Defendants concerning the exposure of California
14 citizens to DEHP contained in the Products without proper warning, subject to a private action to
15 Defendants and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District
16 attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons
17 wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred.

18 17. The Notice complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including
19 the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at
20 least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding
21 DEHP exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a
22 private action.

23 18. After receiving the Notice, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, none of
24 the noticed appropriate public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted
25 a cause of action against Defendants under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations which
26 are the subject of the Notice.

27 19. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the date of the
28 Notice to Defendants, as required by law.

1 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

2 **(By Plaintiff against Defendants for the Violation of Proposition 65)**

3 20. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 19 of
4 this complaint as though fully set forth herein.

5 21. Defendants have, at all times mentioned herein, acted as manufacturer, distributor,
6 and/or retailer of the Products.

7 22. The Products contain DEHP, a hazardous chemical found on the Proposition 65
8 list of chemicals known to be hazardous to human health.

9 23. The Products do not comply with the Proposition 65 warning requirements.

10 24. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that at all relevant times
11 herein, and at least since October 31, 2018, continuing until the present, that Defendants have
12 continued to knowingly and intentionally expose California users and consumers of the Product
13 to DEHP without providing required warnings under Proposition 65.

14 25. The exposures that are the subject of the Notice result from the purchase,
15 acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Products. Consequently, the primary route of
16 exposure to these chemicals is through dermal absorption. Users can be exposed to DEHP by
17 dermal absorption through direct skin contact with the Products during routine use when the
18 boxing gloves are handled with bare hands. If the Products are handled with wet hands or come
19 into contact with wet skin due to perspiration when exercising, DEHP skin permeation rates from
20 aqueous solutions are faster than neat DEHP permeation. When the Products come into contact
21 with clothing during use, DEHP from the Products can absorb to clothes and these clothes will
22 become a source of DEHP dermal exposure. If the Products are stored or transported in a carrier,
23 DEHP that leaches from the Products may contaminate other articles contained within these
24 closed spaces are subsequently handled, worn, mouthed, or consumed. Finally, while direct
25 mouthing of the Products does not seem likely, some amount of exposure through ingestion can
26 occur by touching the Products with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth.

Beverly Hills, CA 90212
Telephone: (877) 534-2590
Facsimile: (310) 247-0160

Attorneys for Plaintiff

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28