FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – VIOLATION OF HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5

Instant Artist acrylic paint sets sold and/or distributed by defendants Ross Stores, Inc. ("Ross

28

Stores") and Spicebox Product Development, Ltd. ("Spicebox") (collectively, "Defendants") in California.

- 3. DEHP is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity. On January 1, 1988, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On October 24, 2003, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity.
- 4. Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations. Included in such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing a Proposition 65-listed chemical with a "clear and reasonable" warning before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing any person to it.
- 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000.00) to be imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code \$ 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin the actions of a defendant which "violate or threaten to violate" the statute. Health & Safety Code \$ 25249.7.
- 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants manufacture, distribute and/or offer for sale in California, without a requisite exposure warning, Instant Artist acrylic paint sets (the "Products") that expose persons to DEHP.
- 7. Defendants' failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP in conjunction with the sale and/or distribution of the Products is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendants to the enjoinment and civil penalties described herein.
- 8. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendants for their violations of Proposition 65 in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

9. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief, preliminarily and permanently, requiring Defendants to provide purchasers or users of the Products with required warnings related to the dangers and health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a).

PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. He brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 11. Defendant Ross Stores, through its business, effectively manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California. Plaintiff alleges that defendant Ross Stores is a "person" in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 12. Defendant Spicebox, through its business, effectively imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California. Plaintiff alleges that defendant Spicebox is a "person" in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 13. Venue is proper in the County of Alameda because one or more of the instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur in this county and/or because Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in the County of Alameda with respect to the Products.
- 14. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 allows for the enforcement

of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction; therefore, this Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit.

15. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because each defendant is either a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, is registered with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do business in the State of California, and/or has otherwise purposefully availed itself of the California market. Such purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts consistent and permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 16. The people of the State of California declared in Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." (Section 1(b) of Initiative Measure, Proposition 65.)
- 17. To effect this goal, Proposition 65 requires that individuals be provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" before being exposed to substances listed by the State of California as causing cancer or reproductive toxicity. H&S Code § 25249.6 states, in pertinent part:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual...

18. An exposure to a chemical in a consumer product is one "which results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." (27 CCR § 25602, para (b).) H&S Code § 25603(c) states that "a person in the course of doing business ... shall provide a warning to any person to whom the product is sold or transferred unless the product is packaged or labeled with a clear and reasonable warning."

- 19. Pursuant to H&S Code § 25603.1, the warning may be provided by using one or more of the following methods individually or in combination:
 - a) A warning that appears on a product's label or other labeling.
 - b) Identification of the product at the retail outlet in a manner which provides a warning. Identification may be through shelf labeling, signs, menus, or a combination thereof.
 - c) The warnings provided pursuant to subparagraphs (a) and (b) shall be prominently placed upon a product's labels or other labeling or displayed at the retail outlet with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices in the label, labeling or display as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use.
 - d) A system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free information services, or any other system that provides clear and reasonable warnings.
- 20. Proposition 65 provides that any "person who violates or threatens to violate" the statute may be enjoined in a court of competent jurisdiction. (H&S Code § 25249.7.) The phrase "threaten to violate" is defined to mean creating "a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." (H&S Code § 25249.11(e).) Violators are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day for each violation of the Act (H&S Code § 25249.7) for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000.00).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

21. On January 1, 1988, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On October 24, 2003, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity. In summary, the Listed Chemical was listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity.

Alternatively, a person in the course of doing business may elect to comply with the warning requirements set out in the amended version of 27 CCR 25601, et.seq.. as amended on August 30, 2016, and operative on August 30, 2018.

- The consumer exposures that are the subject of this First Amended Complaint result from through dermal absorption. Dermal absorption of DEHP can occur through direct skin contact with the Products during routine use when grasped, opened, or manipulated with bare hands. If the Products become wet due to contact with water and is handled or held in contact with wet skin, aqueous DEHP skin permeation rates have been reported to be faster than neat DEHP permeation. Concentrations of DEHP can be expected to build within the Products. This gas phase and surface DEHP can absorb to the surface of the Products, which can subsequently be handled, held in direct contact with skin, mouthed, or ingested by the user. If the Products are stored or transported in a carrier, DEHP that leaches from the Products may contaminate other articles contained within the storage area or carrier that are subsequently handled, worn, mouthed, or ingested by the user. Finally, while mouthing of the Products does not seem likely, some amount of exposure through ingestion can occur by handling the product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth.
- 23. Defendants have manufactured, processed, marketed, distributed, offered to sell and/or sold the Products in California since at least January 30, 2019. The Products continue to be distributed and/or sold in California without the requisite warning information.
- 24. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants have knowingly and intentionally exposed users, consumers and/or patients to the Products and the Listed Chemical without first giving a clear and reasonable exposure warning to such individuals.
- 25. As a proximate result of acts by Defendants, as persons in the course of doing business within the meaning of H&S Code § 25249.11, individuals throughout the State of California, including in Alameda County, have been exposed to the Listed Chemical without a clear and reasonable warning on the Products. The individuals subject to the violative exposures include normal and foreseeable users, consumers and patients that use the Products, as well as all others exposed to the Products

SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMNTS

26. On January 30, 2019, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 (the "Notice") to Defendants concerning the exposure of California citizens to DEHP contained in the Products without proper warning, subject to a private action to Defendants

and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred.

- 27. The Notice complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding DEHP exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a private action.
- 28. After receiving the Notice, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, none of the noticed appropriate public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted a cause of action against Defendants under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations which are the subject of the Notice.
- 29. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the date of the Notice to Defendant, as required by law.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(By Plaintiff against Defendants for the Violation of Proposition 65)

- 30. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 29 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. Defendants have, at all times mentioned herein, acted as either a manufacturer, distributer and/or retailer of the Products.
- 32. The Products contain DEHP, a hazardous chemical found on the Proposition 65 list of chemicals known to be hazardous to human health.
 - 33. Products do not comply with the Proposition 65 warning requirements.
- 34. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that at all relevant times herein, and at least since January 30, 2019, continuing until the present, that Defendants have continued to knowingly and intentionally expose California users and consumers of the Products to DEHP without providing required warnings under Proposition 65.

- 35. The exposures that are the subject of the Notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Products. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals is through dermal absorption. Dermal absorption of DEHP can occur through direct skin contact with the Products during routine use when grasped, opened, or manipulated with bare hands. If the Products become wet due to contact with water and is handled or held in contact with wet skin, aqueous DEHP skin permeation rates have been reported to be faster than neat DEHP permeation. Concentrations of DEHP can be expected to build within the Products. This gas phase and surface DEHP can absorb to the surface of the Products, which can subsequently be handled, held in direct contact with skin, mouthed, or ingested by the user. If the Products are stored or transported in a carrier, DEHP that leaches from the Products may contaminate other articles contained within the storage area or carrier that are subsequently handled, worn, mouthed, or ingested by the user. Finally, while mouthing of the Products does not seem likely, some amount of exposure through ingestion can occur by handling the product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth.
- 36. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that such exposures will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to Product purchasers and users or until this known toxic chemical is removed from the Products.
- 37. Defendants have knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Product exposes individuals to DEHP, and Defendants intend that exposures to DEHP will occur by its deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale and offering of the Products to consumers in California.
- 38. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the herein claims prior to this First Amended Complaint.
- 39. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above described acts, Defendants are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day per violation for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000.00) for each unlawful exposure to the Listed Chemicals contained in the Products. Each exposure discovered

PROOF OF MAILING

ENDORSED

Xel >a

1 2 3 4 5 6	C/o CT Corporation System, Person Authorized to Accept Service Ri 818 West Seventh Street Suite 930 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Defendant Defendant	icebox Product Development, Ltd. 171 Horseshoe Way chmond, British Columbia, V7A 4V4, anada	
7 8	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California and of the United States of America that the above is true and correct.		
9	Executed on Twe 24, 2020, at Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania.		
10	0	A	
11	1 Evan J.	Evan J. Smith	
12	II .		
13	3		
14	4		
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	4		
25	5		
26	6		
27	7		
28	8		
	- 2 -		
	PROOF OF MAILING		