1 2 3 4 5	Tanya E. Moore, SBN 206683 MOORE LAW FIRM, P.C. 332 North Second Street San Jose, California 95112 Telephone (408) 298-2000 Facsimile (408) 298-6046 E-mail: service@moorelawfirm.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	E-FILED 4/29/2019 3:57 PM Clerk of Court Superior Court of CA, County of Santa Clara 19CV347124 Reviewed By: Yuet Lai	
6	Safe Products for Californians, LLC		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT	Γ OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF S	SANTA CLARA	
10			
11	SAFE PRODUCTS FOR CALIFORNIANS, LLC,	) No. 19CV347124	
12	Plaintiff,	OCOMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES	
13	VS.	)	
14	EXCELSIOR NUTRITION, INC.; BRITISH	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.)	
15	NUTRITIONS, LLC; DOES 1 THROUGH		
16	150,	/ ) )	
17	Defendants.	)	
18		)	
19		'	
20	Plaintiff, SAFE PRODUCTS FOR CA	ALIFORNIANS, LLC ("Plaintiff"), alleges as	
21	follows:		
22	SUMM	MARY	
23	1. This is a representative action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest of the		
24	citizens of the State of California to enforce the public's right to be informed of the health		
25	hazards caused by exposures to cadmium and lead and lead compounds, toxic chemicals found		
26	in and on the products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendants, EXCELSIOR		
27	NUTRITION, INC.; BRITISH NUTRITIONS,	LLC; and DOES 1 THROUGH 150, inclusive	
28	(collectively "Defendants"), as set forth below.		
	Safe Products for Californians, LLC, v. Excelsior Nutritie Complaint	on, Inc., et al.	

By this Complaint, Plaintiff seeks to remedy Defendants' continuing failure to
 warn individuals not covered by California's Occupational Safety Health Act, Labor Code
 § 6300, *et seq.* ("OSHA"), who purchase, use, or handle Defendants' products, about the risks
 of exposure to cadmium and lead and lead compounds present in and on the products
 manufactured, distributed, and sold throughout the State of California. Individuals not covered
 by OSHA who purchase, use, or handle Defendants' products are referred to hereinafter as
 "Consumers."

8 3. Detectable levels of cadmium and lead and lead compounds are found in and/or
9 on the BN "Vegan Protein" dietary supplements that Defendants manufacture, distribute,
10 and/or offer for sale to Consumers throughout the State of California.

4. Under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at
Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"), "[n]o person in the course of
doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to
the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable
warning to such individual ..." Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

5. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on October 1, 1987, California identified and listed
cadmium as a chemical known to cause cancer. Cadmium became subject to the "clear and
reasonable warning" requirements of Proposition 65 one year later on October 1, 1988. Cal.
Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code § 25249.8.

6. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on May 1, 1997, California identified and listed
 cadmium as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity. Cadmium became subject to the
 "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of Proposition 65 one year later on May 1, 1998.
 Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code § 25249.8.

Pursuant to Proposition 65, on October 1, 1992, California identified and listed
lead and lead compounds as a chemical known to cause cancer. Lead and lead compounds
became subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of Proposition 65 one year
later on October 1, 1993. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code § 25249.8.
//

8. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on February 27, 1987, California identified and 1 listed lead and lead compounds as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity. Lead and 2 lead compounds became subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of 3 Proposition 65 one year later on February 27, 1988. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health 4 & Safety Code § 25249.8. 5

Defendants manufacture, distribute, import, sell, and offer for sale without 9. 6 health warnings in the State of California, BN "Vegan Protein" dietary supplements that 7 contain excessive levels of cadmium and/or lead and lead compounds including, but not 8 limited to, "BN Vegan Protein," UPC # 6-36173-93016-7; and "BN Vegan Protein - Chocolate 9 Fudge," UPC # 6-36173-92998-7. All such products containing cadmium and/or lead and lead 10 compounds are referred to collectively hereinafter as "Products." 11

Defendants' failure to warn Consumers in the State of California of the health 12 10. hazards associated with exposures to cadmium and lead and lead compounds in conjunction 13 with Defendants' sales of the Products are violations of Proposition 65, and subject 14 15 Defendants, and each of them, to enjoinment of such conduct as well as civil penalties for each violation. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a) & (b)(1). 16

17

11. For Defendants' violations of Proposition 65, Plaintiff seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to compel Defendants to provide Consumers of the Products with 18 the required warning regarding the health hazards associated with exposures to cadmium and 19 20 lead and lead compounds. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a).

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), Plaintiff also seeks civil 21 12. penalties against Defendants for their violations of Proposition 65. 22

23

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 13. 24 California Constitution Article VI, section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original 25 jurisdiction in all cases except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under 26 which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction. 27

28

14. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over Defendants based on 1 2 Plaintiff's information and good faith belief that Defendants are each a person, firm, 3 corporation, or association that is a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California, and/or purposefully avails itself of the California market. 4 5 Defendants' purposeful availment renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by California 6 courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

7

15. Venue is proper in the Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §§ 393, 395, and 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent 8 9 jurisdiction, because Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendants, because one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur, in this county, and/or because 10 11 Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in Santa Clara County with respect to 12 the Products.

## 13

## PARTIES

16. Plaintiff is a limited liability California company with its principal place of 14 business within the State of California, County of Santa Clara. Plaintiff seeks to reduce or 15 16 eliminate the presence of hazardous substances in consumer products sold in California, and to 17 ensure that California consumers are aware of the presence of such substances in consumer 18 goods so that they can make an educated effort to limit their own exposure where deemed necessary. Plaintiff brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code 19 20 § 25249.7(d).

21 17. Defendants EXCELSIOR NUTRITION, INC. and BRITISH NUTRITIONS, 22 LLC are persons in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code 23 §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11.

24 18. Defendants manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and/or offer the Products for 25 sale or use in the State of California, or imply by their conduct that they manufacture, import, 26 distribute, sell, and/or offer the Products for sale or use in the State of California.

- 27 //
- 28

//

1 19. Defendants DOES 1 THROUGH 50, inclusive ("Manufacturer Defendants"),
 2 are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code
 3 §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11.

20. Manufacturer Defendants, and each of them, research, test, design, assemble,
fabricate, and manufacture, or each implies by its conduct that it researches, tests, designs,
assembles, fabricates, and manufactures, one or more of the Products offered for sale or use in
California.

8 21. Defendants DOES 51 THROUGH 100, inclusive ("Distributor Defendants"),
9 are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code
10 §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11.

Distributor Defendants, and each of them, distribute, exchange, transfer,
process, and transport one or more of the Products to individuals, businesses, or retailers for
sale or use in the State of California, or each implies by its conduct that it distributes,
exchanges, transfers, processes, and transports one or more of the Products to individuals,
businesses, or retailers for sale or use in the State of California.

16 23. Defendants DOES 101 THROUGH 150, inclusive ("Retailer Defendants"), are
17 each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code
18 §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11.

19 24. Retailer Defendants, and each of them, offer the Products for sale to individuals
20 in the State of California.

21 25. At this time, the true names of Defendants DOES 1 THROUGH 150, inclusive, 22 are unknown to Plaintiff, who, therefore, sues said defendants by their fictitious names 23 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 474. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis 24 alleges, that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible for the acts and 25 occurrences alleged herein. When ascertained, their true names shall be reflected in an 26 amended complaint.

27 ///

28

Safe Products for Californians, LLC, v. Excelsior Nutrition, Inc., et al. Complaint Page 5

1	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION			
2	Violation of Proposition 65			
3	26. Plaintiff re-pleads and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in			
4	each of the foregoing paragraphs, and incorporates them herein as if separately re-pled.			
5	27. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that each of the			
6	Defendants employs ten or more persons.			
7	28. In enacting Proposition 65, in the preamble to the Safe Drinking Water and			
8	Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, the People of California expressly declared their right "[t]o be			
9	informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive			
10	harm."			
11	29. Proposition 65 states, "[no] person in the course of doing business shall			
12	knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause			
13	cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such			
14	individual" Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.			
15	30. On or about February 14, 2019, Plaintiff served a sixty-day notice of violation,			
16	including the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had			
17	consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed			
18	relevant data regarding the alleged exposures to cadmium and lead and lead compounds and			
19	that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a public action, on			
20	Defendants EXCELSIOR NUTRITION, INC.; BRITISH NUTRITIONS, LLC; the California			
21	Attorney General's Office, and the requisite public enforcement agencies, alleging that, as a			
22	result of Defendants' sales of the Products, Consumers in the State of California are being			
23	exposed to cadmium and lead and lead compounds resulting from their reasonably foreseeable			
24	use of the Products, without the Consumers first receiving a "clear and reasonable warning"			
25	regarding the harms associated with exposures to cadmium and lead and lead compounds, as			
26	required by Proposition 65.			
27	31. Defendants manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer the Products for sale			
28	or use in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, and Defendants' violations have			

Safe Products for Californians, LLC, v. Excelsior Nutrition, Inc., et al. Complaint

continued beyond their receipt of Plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation. As such, Defendants'
 violations are ongoing and continuous in nature and, unless enjoined, will continue in the
 future.

4 32. After receiving Plaintiff's sixty-day notice of violation, and to Plaintiff's best 5 information and belief, no public enforcement agency has commenced and diligently 6 prosecuted a cause of action against Defendants under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged 7 violations that are the subject of Plaintiff's notice of violation.

8 33. The Products that Defendants manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer for 9 sale or use in California cause exposures to cadmium and lead and lead compounds as a result 10 of the reasonably foreseeable use of the Products. Such exposures caused by Defendants and 11 endured by Consumers in California are not exempt from the "clear and reasonable" warning 12 requirements of Proposition 65, yet Defendants provide no clear and reasonable warning.

13 34. Defendants knew or should have known that the Products they manufacture,
14 import, distribute, sell, and offer for sale or use in California contain cadmium and lead and
15 lead compounds.

16 35. Cadmium and lead and lead compounds are present in or on the Products in
17 such a way as to expose Consumers through ingestion and/or inhalation during reasonably
18 foreseeable use.

19 36. The normal and reasonably foresceable use of the Products has caused, and
20 continues to cause, consumer exposures to cadmium and lead and lead compounds, as defined
21 by title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, section 25602(b).

37. Defendants know that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the
Products exposes individuals to cadmium and lead and lead compounds through ingestion
and/or inhalation.

38. Defendants intend that exposures to cadmium and lead and lead compounds
through the reasonably foreseeable use of the Products will occur by their deliberate, nonaccidental participation in the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, and offering of the
Products for sale or use to Consumers in California.

Safe Products for Californians, LLC, v. Excelsior Nutrition, Inc., et al. Complaint

1	39.	Defendants failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those
2	Consumers in	n California who have been, or will be, exposed to cadmium and lead and lead
3	compounds th	prough ingestion and/or inhalation resulting from their use of the Products.
4	40.	Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65
5	enacted direct	ctly by California voters, consumers exposed to cadmium and lead and lead
6	compounds the	hrough ingestion and/or inhalation as a result of their use of the Products that
7	Defendants se	old without a "clear and reasonable" health hazard warning have suffered, and
8	continue to su	uffer, irreparable harm for which they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy
9	at law.	
10	41.	Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above-
11	described acts	s, Defendants, and each of them, are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500
12	per day for ea	ch violation.
13	42.	As a consequence of the above-described acts, Health & Safety Code
14	§ 25249.7(a)	also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against
15	Defendants.	
16		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
17	WHE	REFORE, Plaintiff prays judgment against Defendants, and each of them, for:
18	1.	Civil penalties in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation, pursuant to
19		Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b);
20	2.	Preliminary and permanent injunctions mandating that Defendants recall all
21		Products currently in the chain of commerce in California without a "clear and
22		reasonable warning" as defined by California Code of Regulations title 27,
23		section 25601, <i>et seq.</i> ;
24	3.	That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a), preliminarily
25		and permanently enjoin Defendants from manufacturing, distributing, or
26		offering the Products for sale or use in California without first providing a
- 1		"clear and reasonable warning" in accordance with title 27 of the California
27		
27 28		Code of Regulations, section 25601, et seq., regarding the harms associated with
		Code of Regulations, section 25601, et seq., regarding the harms associated with

Safe Products for Californians, LLC, v. Excelsior Nutrition, Inc., et al. Complaint

1	cadmium and lead and lead compounds;				
2	4.	Plaintiff's reasonable a	ttorney's fees and costs of suit; and		
3	5.	For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.			
4		26, 2010	Maanaleeren		
5	Dated: April	26, 2019	MOORE LAW FIRM, P.C.		
6			tanya moore		
7			Tanya E. Moore		
8			Attorneys for Plaintiff Safe Products for Californians, LLC		
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	Safe Products for Californians, LLC, v. Excelsior Nutrition, Inc., et al. Complaint				
. <b>-</b>			Page 9		