1			2 1
1	Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352) Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113)		endorsto.
2	BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900		ALAMEDA COURT
3	Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: (877) 534-2590		
4	Facsimile: (310) 247-0160		AUG 1 4 2019
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		By
6			grand Holling, Dopper,
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
9		Case No.:	RG19031166
10	ANTHONY FERREIRO,		
11	Plaintiff,	INJUNCTIV	T FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND E RELEIF
12	vs.		Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et
13	EDGE IMPORTS, INC., TUESDAY MORNING, INC.,	seq.)	
14	Defendants.		
15			
16	Plaintiff Anthony Ferreiro ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, alleges the		
17	following cause of action in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California.		
18	BACKGROUND OF THE CASE		
	Plaintiff brings this representative action on behalf of all California citizens to		
19 20	enforce relevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified		
21	at the Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 et se		
-, 11	WT 7		

'[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual ...". Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2. This complaint is a representative action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be informed of the health hazards caused by exposure to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP), a toxic chemical found in Edge Home shoe organizers sold and/or distributed by defendants Edge Imports, Inc., ("Edge

COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELEIF - VIOLATION OF HEALTH & SAFETY CODE \$25249.5

Imports") and Tuesday Morning. ("Tuesday Morning") (collectively, "Defendants") in California.

- 3. DEHP is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity. On January 1, 1988, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On October 24, 2003, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity.
- 4. Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations. Included in such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing a Proposition 65-listed chemical with a "clear and reasonable" warning before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing any person to it.
- 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation to be imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin the actions of a defendant which "violate or threaten to violate" the statute. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.
- 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants manufacture, distribute and/or offer for sale in California, without a requisite exposure warning, Edge Home shoe organizers (the "Products") that expose persons to DEHP.
- 7. Defendants' failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP in conjunction with the sale and/or distribution of the Products is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendants to the enjoinment and civil penalties described herein.
- 8. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendants for their violations of Proposition 65 in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

25

26

27

28

- Venue is proper in the County of Alameda because one or more of the instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur in this county and/or because Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in the County of Alameda with respect to the Products.
- This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution 14. Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those

given by statute to other trial courts. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 allows for the enforcement of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction; therefore, this Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit.

15. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because each Defendant is either a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, is registered with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do business in the State of California, and/or has otherwise purposefully availed itself of the California market. Such purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts consistent and permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMNTS

- 16. On February 25, 2019, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 (the "Notice") to Defendants concerning the exposure of California citizens to DEHP contained in the Products without proper warning, subject to a private action to Defendants and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred.
- 17. The Notice complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding DEHP exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a private action.
- 18. After receiving the Notice, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, none of the noticed appropriate public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted a cause of action against Defendants under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations which are the subject of the Notice.
- 19. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the date of the Notice to Defendants, as required by law.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(By Plaintiff against Defendant for the Violation of Proposition 65)

- 20. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 19 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 21. Defendants have, at all times mentioned herein, acted as manufacturer, distributer, and/or retailer of the Product.
- 22. The Products contain DEHP, a hazardous chemical found on the Proposition 65 list of chemicals known to be hazardous to human health.
 - 23. The Product does not comply with the Proposition 65 warning requirements.
- 24. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that at all relevant times herein, and at least since December 2, 2018, continuing until the present, that Defendants have continued to knowingly and intentionally expose California users and consumers of the Product to DEHP without providing required warnings under Proposition 65.
- 25. The exposures that are the subject of the Notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Product. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals is through dermal absorption. Users may potentially be exposed to DEHP by dermal absorption through direct skin contact with the Product during routine use when the Product is manipulated with bare hands. DEHP can potentially be absorbed to the surface of the interior contents (i.e. shoes) that are subsequently handled, worn or held in direct contact with skin, mouthed, or ingested by the user. If the Product contacts clothes inside a closet, DEHP from the Product can absorb to clothes and these clothes will become a source of DEHP dermal exposure. Finally, while mouthing of the product does not seem likely, some amount of exposure through ingestion can occur by touching the product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth.
- 26. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that such exposures will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to Product purchasers and users or until this known toxic chemical is removed from the Product.
- 27. Defendants have knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Product exposes individuals to DEHP, and Defendants intend that exposures to DEHP will occur

- 1				
1	by their deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, importation, distribution,			
2	sale and offering of the Products to consumers in California.			
3	28. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the herein claims prior to this			
4	Complaint.			
5	29. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above			
6	described acts, Defendant is liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day per violation.			
7	30. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically			
8	authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant.			
9	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
10	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants and requests the			
11	following relief:			
12	A. That the court assess civil penalties against each Defendant in the amount			
13	of \$2,500 per day for each violation in accordance with Health and Safety			
14	Code § 25249.7(b);			
15	B. That the court preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants			
16	mandating Proposition 65 compliant warnings on the Product;			
17	C. That the court grant Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit.			
18	D. That the court grant any further relief as may be just and proper.			
19	1			
20	Dated: August 14, 2019 BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC			
21	By: Evan J. Smith (SBN242352)			
22	Ryan P. Cardona (SBN302113)			
23	9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212			
24	Telephone: (877) 534-2590 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160			
25	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
26	Allorneys for Flaimity			
27				