007 1 5 2019

CLERK OF THE COURT .BOWMAN LIU Dagway Cleak

Brian Gaffney (California Bar No. 168778) LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN GAFFNEY APC 446 Old County Road, Suite 100-310 Pacifica, CA 94044 Telephone: (650) 219 3187

Email: brian@gaffneylegal.com 4

Fredric Evenson (State Bar No. 198059) 5 ECOLOGY LAW CENTER

P.O. Box 1000

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

6 Santa Cruz, California 95061 Telephone: (831) 454-8216 7

Email: evenson@ecologylaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION.

Plaintiff.

٧.

AMAZON.COM INC., MEDIA SUPPLY. INC., MYNT3D, PLATINUM MICRO INC., PROFOUND 3D, WALMART INC., WOBBLEWORKS LLC.

Defendants.

Case No.

CGC-19-580007

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.

(Other)

ONE LEGAL LLC

Plaintiff, Ecological Rights Foundation, in the public interest, based on information and belief, and knowledge and investigation of counsel allege as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This Complaint seeks civil penalties and an injunction against AMAZON.COM INC., MEDIA SUPPLY, INC., MYNT3D, PLATINUM MICRO INC., PROFOUND 3D, WALMART INC., and WOBBLEWORKS LLC (collectively "Defendants") to remedy continuing failure to warn individuals in California about exposures to styrene, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. Such exposures have occurred and continue to occur, through the use of 3D pens that Defendants manufacture, distribute, market and/or sell in the State ("Products"). These Products are intended to be used with filaments incorporating a styrene monomer.

28

- 2. When people use 3D pens with filaments incorporating a styrene monomer, the 3d pens heat these filaments and thus release styrene into the air. People using the 3D pens with filaments incorporating a styrene monomer, and others standing in the same room, inhale styrene in the normal course of use.
- 3. Under California's Proposition 65, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq., it is unlawful for businesses to knowingly and intentionally expose individuals in California to chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm without providing clear and reasonable warnings to individuals prior to their exposure. Defendants introduce filaments incorporating a styrene monomer into the California marketplace, exposing users of the Products to styrene. Despite the fact that Defendants expose consumers and individuals to styrene, Defendants provide no warnings about the carcinogenic risks associated with such exposures. Defendants' conduct thus violates the warning provision of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.
- 4. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7 to compel Defendants to bring their business practices into compliance with Proposition 65 by providing a clear and reasonable warning to each individual who has been and who in the future may be exposed to styrene in the ways set forth above. Plaintiff seeks an order that Defendants identify and locate each individual person to whom the Defendants conveyed Products during the past three years and to provide to each such individual, as well as new purchasers and Product users, a clear and reasonable warning that use of the Products causes exposures to a chemical known to cause cancer.
- 5. In addition to injunctive relief, Plaintiff seeks civil penalties to remedy Defendants' failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings regarding exposure to a chemical known to cause cancer.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff, Ecological Rights Foundation ("EcoRights") is a non-profit public benefit organization dedicated to, among other causes, protecting California residents from toxic exposures, environmental and human health education, and consumer rights. Ecological Rights Foundation is incorporated under the laws of the State of California and is a "person" pursuant to

28

jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." Chapter 6.6 of the

Health & Safety Code, which contains the statutes under which this action is brought, does not

Ü

--

_

grant jurisdiction to any other trial court.

- 15. This Court also has jurisdiction over Defendants because each Defendant business has sufficient minimum contacts in California and within the County of San Francisco. Defendants intentionally availed themselves of the California and San Francisco County markets. It is thus consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice for the San Francisco County Superior Court to exercise jurisdiction over Defendants.
- 16. Venue is proper in San Francisco County Superior Court because one or more of the violations arise in the County of San Francisco.

BACKGROUND

17. The People of the State of California have declared by initiative under Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." Proposition 65, § 1(b). To effectuate this goal, Proposition 65 prohibits exposing people to chemicals listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm without a "clear and reasonable warning" unless the business responsible for the exposure can prove that it fits within a statutory exemption. Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 states, in pertinent part:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual

- 18. On April 22, 2016, the State of California officially listed styrene as a chemical known to cause cancer. On April 22, 2017, styrene exposures became subject to the clear and reasonable warning requirements under Proposition 65. 27 C.C.R. § 27001(b); Health & Safety Code Section 25249.10(b).
- 19. Plaintiff brings this enforcement action against Defendants pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d). Attached hereto and incorporated by reference are copies of the Notice of Violation dated April 24, 2019, the date on which EcoRights sent to California's Attorney General, every county District Attorney in California, and to the City Attorneys of every California City with a population greater than 750,000. On the same day, Plaintiff sent a substantively

occurred; (4) specific descriptions of the violations, including (a) the routes of exposure to styrene from the Products, and (b) specific examples of Products sold and used in violation of Proposition 65; and (5) the name of the specific Proposition 65-listed chemical that is the subject of the violations described in each Notice.

20. Accompanying each of the Notices of Violation sent to the Defendants was a summary of Proposition 65 that was prepared by California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard

identical letter to Defendants. In compliance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) and 27

C.C.R. § 25903(b), each of the Notices included the following information: (1) the name and

address of each violator; (2) the statute violated; (3) the time period during which violations

- Proposition 65 that was prepared by California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. In addition, the Notices of Violation were accompanied by a Certificate of Service attesting to the service of the Notice of Violation on each entity which received it. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d) and 11 C.C.R. § 3101, Plaintiff also sent a Certificate of Merit with the Notices of Violation attesting to the reasonable and meritorious basis for the action. Plaintiff enclosed factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the Certificate of Merit with the Notice of Violation letters sent to the Attorney General.
- 21. None of the public prosecutors with the authority to prosecute violations of Proposition 65 has commenced and/or is diligently prosecuting a cause of action against Defendants under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.*, based on the claims asserted in EcoRights' Notices.
- 22. Defendants know and intend that individuals will use the Products in the operation of 3D printers and 3D pens, thus exposing them to styrene. Under Proposition 65, an exposure is "knowing" where the party responsible for such exposure has "knowledge of the fact that a[n]... exposure to a chemical listed pursuant to [Health & Safety Code § 25249.8(a)] is occurring. No knowledge that ... exposure is unlawful is required." 27 C.C.R. § 25102(n). This knowledge may be either actual or constructive. *See, e.g.*, Final Statement of Reasons Revised (November 4, 1988) (pursuant to former 22 C.C.R. Division 2, § 12201). Defendants have been informed of the styrene exposures caused by the use of Products by the 60-Day Notice of Violation, and the accompanying Certificate of Merit served on them by EcoRights. Defendants also have constructive knowledge of the styrene exposures caused by Products. As a company that manufactures, markets, distributes

12 13

14 15

17 18

16

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

//

EcoRights v. AMAZON.COM INC., et al

Page 5

and/or sells the Products for use in the State of California, Defendants know or should know that styrene exposures to users of the Products are a natural and foreseeable consequence of Defendants' placing the Products into the stream of commerce.

- 23. Any person "violating or threatening to violate" Proposition 65 may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7. "Threaten to violate" is defined to mean "to create a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(e). Proposition 65 provides for civil penalties not to exceed \$2,500 per day for each violation of the statute.
- 24. EcoRights has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the claims alleged herein prior to filing this complaint.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6)

- 25. EcoRights realleges and incorporates the facts and allegations contained in the above paragraphs as though specifically set forth herein.
- 26. That each Defendant is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(b) who, by manufacturing, marketing, distribution, sale or otherwise placing the Products into the stream of commerce, violated, violates or threatens to violate Proposition 65.
 - 27. Styrene is a chemical listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer.
- 28. Defendants know that the average use of the Products will expose users of the Products to styrene. Defendants intend that the Products be used in a manner that results in exposures to styrene.
- 29. Defendants have failed and continue to fail, to provide clear and reasonable warnings regarding the carcinogenicity of styrene to users of the Products.
- 30. Since at least three years prior to the Notice of Violation Letters, Defendants have violated Proposition 65 by knowingly and intentionally exposing individuals to styrene without first giving clear and reasonable warnings to such individuals regarding the carcinogenicity of styrene.

1			PRAYER FO	OR RELIEF			
2			Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:				
3		1.	Pursuant to the First Cause of Ac	tion, that Defendants be enjoined, restrained, and			
4			ordered to comply with the provi-	sions of Section 25249.6 of the California Health			
5			& Safety Code;				
6		2.	That Defendants be ordered to	make best efforts to identify and locate each			
7			individual in California to whom it, or its customers or agents, distributed or sold				
8			Products during the past three years, and to provide a warning to each such person				
9			that use of the Product will expose that person to a chemical known to cause				
10			cancer;				
11		3.	That Defendants be assessed a civil penalty in an amount equal to \$2,500.00 per day				
12			per individual exposed to styrene in violation of Section 25249.6 of the California				
13		4.	Health & Safety Code, as the result of Defendants' marketing, distributing, and/or				
14			selling the Products for use in California.				
15			That, pursuant to Civil Procedure Code § 1021.5, Defendants be ordered to pay to				
16			Plaintiff the attorneys' fees and co	sts it incurred in bringing this enforcement action;			
17		5.	and For such other relief as this court deems just and proper.				
18		3.	Tot such other tener as this court deems just and proper.				
19	Dated:	Octob	per 3, 2019	LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN GAFFNEY APC			
20			,				
2122				Brian Laffrey			
23				Brian Gaffney			
24				Attorney for Plaintiff ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION			
25							
26							
27							

LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN GAFFNEY, A Professional Corporation

446 Old County Road, Suite 100-310 Pacifica, California 94044 (650) 219 3187 Phone brian@gaffneylegal.com

April 24, 2019

Notice of Violations
Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act

By Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested to Violators in U.S.A.

By Registered Mail to Violators Located Outside U.S.A.

By First Class U.S. Mail to Public Enforcement Agencies

Dear Public Enforcement Agencies / Violators:

This office and Ecological Rights Foundation ("ERF") gives you notice that, since at least April 24, 2016 the businesses listed on Attachment A have been, and continue to be, in violation of California Health & Safety Code §25249.6. ERF is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to protecting human and environmental health, including raising awareness of, and reducing exposures to, toxic chemicals.

ERF intends to bring an enforcement action 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify the violations discussed in this notice letter. The public enforcement agencies that have been served with copies of this notice of violations are identified on the attached Certificate of Service.

The above referenced violations occur when California residents use 3D pens utilizing filaments incorporating a styrene monomer, including acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS) filaments, polycarbonate ABS (PC-ABS) filaments, and high impact polystyrene (HIPS) filaments. Use of 3D pens and the above identified filaments expose people to styrene, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. 3D pens sold by the businesses listed on Attachment A expose consumers and workers to styrene via inhalation.

Examples of particular products manufactured, sold, distributed and/or marketed by the violators are attached as Attachment B. All of the 3D pens at issue in this notice can be used with filaments incorporating a styrene monomer, including acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS) filaments, polycarbonate ABS (PC-ABS) filaments, and high impact polystyrene (HIPS)

filaments. When people use these 3D pens, the above filaments are heated by the 3D pens and thus release styrene into the air. People using 3D pens utilizing filaments incorporating a styrene monomer, and others in the same room where such 3D pens are being used, inhale styrene in the normal course of use.

In addition, exposures in the environmental context occur in the homes, businesses, schools and public locations where the people use 3D pens utilizing filaments incorporating a styrene monomer. Exposures to styrene occur within the consumer and environmental contexts.

The businesses listed on Attachment A did not and do not provide clear and reasonable warnings to people who use 3D pens utilizing filaments incorporating a styrene monomer, that use of these products will expose them to chemicals known to cause cancer. The above violations have occurred every day since at least April 24, 2016 and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are given.

Attachment B is a non-exclusive list of examples of these types of products. Though specific models or product numbers are given as examples, this Notice also pertains to all models and all variations of 3D pens utilizing filaments incorporating a styrene monomer sold in California. Attachment B is for the recipient's benefit and is not meant to be an exhaustive or comprehensive identification of each specific offending product. It is ERF's position that the alleged Violators are obligated to conduct a good faith investigation into other specific products within the identified category or type that may have been manufactured, distributed, sold, shipped, stored (or otherwise within the notice recipient's custody or control) during the past three years, to ensure that clear and reasonable warnings are provided to California citizens prior to purchase, or retroactively if necessary.

These violations and threatened violations will continue to occur everyday until these businesses either provide clear and reasonable warnings, reformulate their 3d pens so as not to use filaments incorporating a styrene monomer, or stop selling these 3d pens in California. These violations occur in all of California's 58 counties, both on and off of these businesses properties.

In keeping with its public interest mission and to expeditiously rectify these ongoing violations of California law, ERF is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter without engaging in costly and protracted litigation.

The following individual is the responsible individual within ERF for purposes of this Notice:

Fredric Evenson
Ecological Rights Foundation
P.O. Box 1000
Santa Cruz, California 95061
Telephone: (831) 454-8216
Email: evenson@ecologylaw.com

ERF has retained the following counsel to represent it in this matter. Please direct communications to counsel.

Brian Gaffney
LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN GAFFNEY APC
446 Old County Road, Suite 100-310
Pacifica, California 94044
(650) 219 3187
brian@gaffneylegal.com

Resolution of Noticed Claims:

ERF is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that advances the public interest without engaging in costly and protracted litigation. ERF is willing to settle this matter to the extent legally possible prior to the commencement of any enforcement action. Settlement terms would require that the unwarned exposures described in this Notice of Violation be stopped. That would require at least the following: 1) a potential recall of products already sold: 2) that either warnings be given to all future purchasers in California of the products subject to this Notice, that the products be reformulated to eliminate the exposures described in the Notice, or that you stop marketing, distributing or selling the products in California; 3) that you locate and provide a warning compliant with 27 Cal. Code Regs Section 25601 to each person who has been subject to the unwarned exposures described in the Notice to the extent those exposures are caused by products that were sold in California during the past three years; and 4) that you pay an appropriate civil penalty based on the factors enumerated in California Health and Safety Code Section 25249(b). It should be noted that ERF cannot finalize any settlement of this matter until 70 days have elapsed since the sending of the Notice and unless no public enforcer has begun an enforcement action concerning the violations described in the Notice. Any settlement on behalf of the public interest must be approved by the California Superior Court on noticed motion with 45 days' notice to the California Attorney General. The Attorney General may appear at any approval hearing and oppose a settlement if he or she believes the proposed settlement is not in the public interest. If you wish to discuss settlement of this matter before ERF files suit, please promptly contact ERF's counsel.

Sincerely.

Brian Gaffner

Enclosure to Violators "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986: A Summary." A Certificate of Merit is also attached.

Certificate of Merit - Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)

- I, Brian Gaffney, hereby declare:
- (1) This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notices have violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- (2) I am the attorney for the noticing party.
- (3) I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- (4) Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- (5) The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

By:

Brian Gaffney

Date: April 24, 2019

Page 4 of 7

Attachment A – Violators – 3D Pens

Walmart Inc.
Platinum Micro Inc.
Media Supply, Inc.
Profound 3D
WobbleWorks LLC
Amazon.com Inc.
MYNT3D
Hunan World Maya Ecommerce Co., Ltd.

ATTACHMENT B

3D PENS

Product 3D PEN GEN-II by Titanium Micro MODEL: MK0607
Micro
MADDEL MAKOGOZ
MICDEL, MINUUU/
3D PEN GEN-II by Titanium
Micro
MODEL: MK0607
IDRAWING 3D Printing Pen
UPC: 183206 002297
IDRAWING 3D Printing Pen
UPC: 183206 002297
3DOODLER CREATE+ 3D PEN
UPC: 817005 022407
MYNT3D PROFESSIONAL
PRINTING 3D PEN
B01BLQ24IW
MYNT3D PROFESSIONAL
PRINTING 3D PEN
B01BLQ24IW
l l

ATTACHMENT B

3D PENS

<u>Violator</u>	Product	
Amazon.com Inc.	TECBOSS 3D PEN SL-300	
	X001VHTFM1	
Hunan World Maya	TECBOSS 3D PEN SL-300	
Ecommerce Co., Ltd.	X001VHTFM1	
	·	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Brian Gaffney, declare:

If so called, I could and would testify as follows: I am over eighteen. My business address is 446 Old County Road, Suite 100-310, Pacifica, CA 94044.

On April 24, 2019, I caused the attached

(1) Notice of Violations: California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act, (2) Certificate of Merit: Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d), (3) Appendix A: The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary, (4) Certificate of Service to be served by CERTIFIED MAIL to each private business entity listed on the attached SERVICE LIST-Noticed Parties, except for each private business located outside of the U.S.A. which are served by REGISTERED MAIL. I deposited copies of these documents in envelopes, postage pre-paid, with the U.S. Postal Service on the day on which the mail is collected.

In addition, on the same date and I caused the attached
(1) Notice of Violations: California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act, (2)
Certificate of Merit: Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d), (3) Certificate of Merit
(Attorney General Copy): Factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the Certificate
of Merit (only sent to the Attorney General), (4) Certificate of Service by FIRST CLASS U.S.
MAIL on those public enforcement agencies listed on the attached SERVICE LIST-Public
Enforcers. I deposited copies of these documents in envelopes, postage pre-paid, with the U.S.
Postal Service on the day on which the mail is collected, and

by sending portable document format (PDF) files of the same to the electronic mail addresses to each of the public prosecutors identified by the California Attorney General as having authorized electronic service of notices. These public enforcement agencies appear on the attached Service List with their electronic mail addresses.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on April 24, 2019, at Pacifica, California.

Brian Gaffney

SERVICE LIST-Noticed Parties 3D PENS

Jeffrey P. Bezos or Current President or CEO, Amazon.Com Inc. 410 Terry Avenue North Seattle WA 98109-5210

Walmart Inc. ATTN: President/CEO 708 SW 8th Street Bentonville, AR 72716

Media Supply, Inc. ATTN: President/CEO 208 Philips Road, Exton PA 19341

WobbleWorks LLC c/o BRIAN ALBERS 1558 McDANIEL AVE SAN JOSE CA 95126 Amazon.Com Inc. c/o CSC - LAWYERS INCORPORATING SERVICE 2710 Gateway Oaks Dr., Ste. 150N Sacramento CA 95833

Platinum Micro Inc. c/o CHALERMCHAI SIRICHALERMCHAI 15815 MONTE ST, STE F103 SYLMAR CA 91342

Media Supply Inc. ATTN: President/CEO, 611 Jeffers Circle Exton PA 19341 Walmart, Inc. c/o CT Corporation System 818 West Seventh Street, Suite 930 Los Angeles, CA 90017

MYNT3D ATTN: President/CEO 4041 Soquel Dr., Ste A148 Soquel, CA 95073

Profound 3D ATTN: President/CEO 208 Philips Rd Exton PA 19341

Hunan World Maya Ecommerce Co., Ltd., ATTN: President/CEO, Yuhua Qu Xiangfu Donglu, 2Duan 168 Hao Shanshuixiyuan 5Dong 701, Changsha, Hunan, China 410007

Service List - Public Enforcers

Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney
Alameda County	Lassen County	San Benito County	Tehama County
CEPDProp65@acgov.org	mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us	419 4th Street	P.O. Box 519
		Hollister, CA 95023	Red Bluff, CA 96080
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney
Alpine County	Los Angeles County 211 W. Temple Street, Suite 1200	San Bernardino County 303 W. Third Street	P.O. Box 310
P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120	Los Angeles, CA 90012	San Bernardino, CA 92415	Weaverville, CA 96093
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney
Amador County	Madera County	San Diego County	Tulare County
708 Court Street, #202	209 West Yosemite Avenue	CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov	Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us
Jackson, CA 95642	Madera, CA 93637		
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney
Butte County	Marin County	San Francisco County	Tuolumne County
25 County Center Drive	3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130	gregory.alker@sfgov.org	423 N. Washington Street
Oroville, CA 95965	San Rafael, CA 94903		Sonora, CA 95370
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney
Calaveras County	Mariposa County	San Joaquin County	Ventura County
Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us	P.O. Box 730	DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org	daspecialops@ventura.org
com ou Bississian	Mariposa, CA 95338	COS CH. Distingu	Office for District
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney Mendocino County	Office of the District Attorney San Luis Obispo County	Office of the District Attorney Yolo County
Colusa County 346 5th Street, Suite 101	P.O. Box 1000	edobroth@co.slo.ca.us	cfepd@yolocounty.org
Colusa, CA 95932	Ukiah, CA 95482	Cuchionigeo.sio.ca.us	crepaceyorocounty.org
	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney
Office of the District Attorney	Merced County	San Mateo County	Yuha County
Contra Costa County	550 West Main Street	400 County Center, Third Floor	215 Fifth Street, Suite 152
sgrassini@contracostada.org	Merced, CA 95340	Redwood City, CA 94063	Marysville, CA 95901
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Oakland City Attorney
Del Norte County	Modoc County	Santa Barbara County	City Hall, 6th Floor
450 H Street, Room 171	204 S. Court Street Room 202	DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us	l Frank Ogawa Plaza
Crescent City, CA 95531	Alturas, CA 96101		Oakland, California 94612
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the City Attorney
El Dorado County	Mono County	Santa Clara County	City of San Francisco
515 Main Street	P.O. Box 617	EPU@da.sccgov.org	City Hall, Room 234
Placerville, CA 95667	Bridgeport, CA 93517		l Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl.
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	San Francisco, CA 94102 Office of the City Attorney
Fresno County	Monterey County	Santa Cruz County	City of Sacramento
2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000	Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us	Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us	915 I Street, 4th Floor
Fresno, CA 93721	110700011000111010110111101	. reperson to the same of the	Sacramento, CA 95814
Office of the District Attorney	OSS	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the City Attorney
Glenn County	Office of the District Attorney Napa County	Shasta County	City of San Jose
P.O. Box 430	CEPD@countyofnapa.org	1355 West Street	200 E. Santa Clara St.
Willows, CA 95988		Redding, CA 96001	San Jose, CA 95113
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the City Attorney
Humboldt County	Nevada County	Sierra County	City of Los Angeles
825 5th Street, 4th Floor	201 Commercial Street	P.O. Box 457	200 N. Main Street, Suite 800
Eureka, CA 95501	Nevada City, CA 95959	Downieville, CA 95936	Los Angeles, CA 90012
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attacker	Office of the City Atta
Imperial County	Orange County	Office of the District Attorney Siskiyou County	Office of the City Attorney
940 West Main Street, Suite 102	401 Civic Center Drive West	P.O. Box 986	City of San Diego 1200 Third Ave., Suite 1620
El Centro, CA 92243	Santa Ana, CA 92701	Yreka, CA 96097	San Diego, CA 92101
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Proposition 65 Enforcement
Inyo County	Placer County	Solano County	Reporting
inyoda@inyocounty.us	10810 Justice Center Drive	675 Texas Street, Suite 4500	Attn: Prop 65 Coordinator
· ·	Roseville, CA 95678	Fairfield, CA 94533	1515 Clay Street , Su 74 2000
			P.O. Box 70550
			Oakland, CA 94612
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	
Kern County	Plumas County	Sonoma County	
1215 Truxtun Avenue	520 Main Street, Room 404	jbarnes@sonoma-county.org	
Bakersfield, CA 93301	Quincy, CA 95971	000 100 5	
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	
Kings County 1400 West Lacey Blvd.	Riverside County	Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Suite 300	1
Hanford, CA 93230	Prop65@rivcoda.org	Modesto, CA 95354	
Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	Office of the District Attorney	
Lake County	Sacramento County	Sutter County	<u>†</u>
	,		1
255 N. Forbes Street	Prop65@sacda.org	446 Second Street, Suite 102	,