Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles on 03/23/2020 02:16 PM Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, by D. Williams, Deputy Clerk 20STCV11560

	Assigned for all purposes to: Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Judicial Officer: Stephanie Bowick		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	COUNTY O	F LOS ANGELES	
	SHEFA LMV, INC.,) Unlimited Jurisdiction	
	Plaintiff,))	
	VS.) CASE NO. 208TCV11560	
	PACIFIC COAST DISTRIBUTING, INC.,)) COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTY AND	
	Defendants.) INJUNCTIVE RELIEF)	
) (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)	
)	
		Ś	
11			

1

Plaintiff, Shefa LMV, INC., hereby alleges:

2 PRELIMINARY STATEMENT I. 3 1. This complaint seeks to remedy the failure of Defendants to warn persons of exposure 4 to Di [2-Ethylhexyl] Phthalate ("DEHP"), a chemical known to the State of California to cause 5 cancer and/or reproductive harm. 6 2. Under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health & Safety 7 Code § 25249.6 (also known as "Proposition 65") businesses must provide persons with a "clear and 8 reasonable warning" before exposing individuals to chemicals known to the state to cause cancer, 9 birth defects or other reproductive harm. 10 П. PARTIES 11 3. Plaintiff is a non-profit public benefit corporation formed pursuant to the laws of the 12 State of California, made up of California citizens, represented by and through its counsel of record, 13 the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum. 14 4. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) provides that actions to enforce Proposition 65 15 may be brought by "any person in the public interest." 16 5. Defendant PACIFIC COAST DISTRIBUTING, INC., is a business entity with ten or 17 more employees that sells, or has, at times relevant to this complaint, authorized the manufacture, 18 distribution, or sale of private label aquarium fish net with plastic handle products, including, but not 19 limited to TopFin Fine Mesh Fish Net, UPC 737257322158, that contain DEHP, for sale within the 20 State of California, without first giving clear and reasonable warning. 21 III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 22 6. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, section 10, 23 because this case is a cause not given by statute to other trial courts. 24 7. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants, because they are business entities that do 25 sufficient business, have sufficient minimum contacts in California, or otherwise intentionally avail 26 themselves of the California market, through the sale, marketing, and use of its products in 27 California, to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the California courts consistent with 28 2 COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1	traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.			
2	8. Venue is proper in this Court because the cause, or part thereof, arises in Los Angel			
3	County because Defendant's products are sold and consumed in this county.			
4	IV. STATUTORY BACKGROUND			
5	9. The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 is an initiative statute			
6	passed as "Proposition 65" by a vote of the people in November of 1986.			
7	10. The warning requirement of Proposition 65 is contained in Health & Safety Code §			
8	25249.6, which provides:			
9	No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally			
10	expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or			
11	reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual, except as provided in Section 25249.10.			
12				
13	11. An exposure to a chemical in a consumer product is one "which results from a			
14	person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a			
15	consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." (27 CCR 25602(b))			
16	12. Proposition 65 establishes a procedure by which the State develops a list of chemicals			
17	"known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity." (Health & Safety Code § 25249.8.)			
18	13. No warning need be given concerning a listed chemical until one year after the			
19	chemical first appears on the list. (Health & Safety Code § 25249.10(b).)			
20	14. Any person "violating or threatening to violate" the statute may be enjoined in any			
21	court of competent jurisdiction. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7.)			
22	15. To "threaten to violate" is defined to mean "to create a condition in which there is a			
23	substantial probability that a violation will occur." (Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(e).)			
24	16. In addition, violators are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each			
25	violation, recoverable in a civil action. (Health & Safety Code § 25249.7 (b).)			
26				
27				
28				
	<u> </u>			

Π

1	17. Actions to enforce the law "may be brought by the Attorney General in the name of	of			
2	the People of the State of California [or] by any district attorney [or] by any City Attorney of a City				
3	having a population in excess of 750,000" (Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(c).)				
4	18. Private parties are given authority to enforce Proposition 65 "in the public interest	,,,			
5	but only if the private party first provides written notice of a violation to the alleged violator, the				
6	Attorney General, and every District Attorney in whose jurisdiction the alleged violation occurs.				
7	19. If no public prosecutors commence enforcement within sixty days, then the privat				
8	party may sue. (Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).)				
9	V. FACTS				
10	20. DEHP was placed on the Governor's list of chemicals known to the State to caus	se			
11	cancer on January 1, 1988. (27 CCR 27001(b))				
12	21. DEHP was placed on the Governor's list of chemicals known to the State to caus	se			
13	reproductive toxicity on October 24, 2003. (27 CCR 27001(b))				
14	22. Defendant AHQ LLC, is the manufacturer of the PRODUCTS for use by individual				
15	in the home and other endeavors.				
16	23. The PRODUCTS are sold through various retailers, including but not limited to Th	ne			
17	TJX Companies, Inc., located in California for use by citizens of the State of California.				
18	24. On May 31, 2019, Plaintiff's expert prepared a report summarizing the results of				
19	analysis on the PRODUCTS, including the amount of the DEHP in the product.				
20	25. Based on the levels, Plaintiff's expert opined that use of the PRODUCTS would lea	ıd			
21	to exposure to DEHP above the safe harbor levels set by the Office of Environment Health Hazar				
22	Assessment (OEHHA).				
23	26. Based on that report and opinion, Plaintiff and its counsel prepared a Sixty Day Notic	e			
24	of Violation.				
25	27. Pursuant to the statute and regulations referenced above, on June 19, 2019, Plainti	ff			
26	served the Sixty Day Notice of Violation on the Office of the Attorney General, Defendant, as well a				
27	all required public agencies.				
28					
	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF				

1 28. Plaintiff is unaware of any governmental prosecution against Defendant. 2 29. At least sixty (60) days have elapsed since service of the Notice of Violation. 3 30. Based upon consultation with experts, Plaintiff alleges that individuals who purchase, 4 handle, or use the PRODUCTS are exposed to DEHP chiefly through: 5 contact between the item and the skin; a. 6 b. transfer of DEHP from the skin to the mouth, both by transfer of DEHP 7 directly from the hand to mouth, and indirectly by transfer of DEHP from the skin to objects 8 that are placed in the mouth, such as food; and 9 c. absorption of DEHP through the skin. 10 31. Such individuals are thereby exposed to the DEHP present on or in the PRODUCTS 11 during the intended and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS. 12 At all times material to this complaint, Defendants have had knowledge that the 32. 13 PRODUCTS contain DEHP and that an individual's skin may contact DEHP through the intended 14 and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS. 15 33. At all times material to this complaint, Defendants have had knowledge that 16 individuals within the State of California handle the PRODUCTS, which contain DEHP. 17 34. At all times material to this complaint, Defendants knew that the PRODUCTS were 18 sold throughout the State of California in large numbers, and Defendants profited from such sales. 19 35. Notwithstanding this knowledge, Defendants intentionally authorized and reauthorized 20 the sale of the PRODUCTS, thereby exposing consumers to DEHP. 21 36. At all times material to this complaint, therefore, Defendants have knowingly and 22 intentionally exposed individuals within the State of California to DEHP. 23 37. The exposure is knowing and intentional because it is the result of the Defendant's 24 deliberate act of authorizing the sale of products known to contain DEHP, in a manner whereby these 25 products were, and would inevitably be, sold to consumers within the state of California, and with the 26 knowledge that the intended use of this PRODUCTS would result in exposures to DEHP by 27 individuals within the State of California. 28

1	38. Defendants have failed to provide clear and reasonable warnings that the use of th			
2	PRODUCTS in question in California results in exposure to a chemical known to the State			
3	California to cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm, and no such warning wa			
4	provided to those individuals by any other person.			
5	VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION			
6	(Against All Defendants for Violation of Proposition 65)			
7	39. Paragraphs 1 through 38 are re-alleged as if fully set forth herein.			
8	40. By committing the acts alleged above, Defendants have, in the course of doing			
9	business, knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals in California to chemicals known to the			
10	State of California to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonabl			
11	warning to such individuals, within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.			
12	41. Said violations render Defendants liable to Plaintiffs for civil penalties not to exceed			
13	\$2,500 per day for each violation, as well as other remedies.			
14	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
15	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that the Court:			
16	1. Pursuant to the First Causes of Action, grant civil penalties according to proof;			
17	2. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, enter such temporary restraining orders,			
18	preliminary injunctions, permanent injunctions, or other orders prohibiting Defendant from			
19	exposing persons within the State of California to Listed Chemicals caused by the use of their			
20	products without providing clear and reasonable warnings, as Plaintiffs shall specify in further			
21	application to the court;			
22	3. Award Plaintiff the costs of suit;			
23	4. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, award Plaintiff their reasonable			
24	attorney's fees and costs, including any and all multipliers allowed under California law; and			
25	5. Grant such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.			
26				
27				
28	6			
	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF			

1	1			
2	Respectfully submitted	1,		
2				
4	DATED. Watch 25, 2020	NIEL N. ODEENDALIM		
5		NIEL N. GREENBAUM		
6	and the	32		
7				
8	8 Attorneys for Plaintiff Shefa LMV, INC.			
9				
10	10			
11	11			
12	12			
13	13			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18 19				
19 20				
20				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26	26			
27	27			
28				
	7 COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTY AND INJUNCT	IVE RELIEF		