Fax Server 2/15/2019 10:39:48 AM PAGE 1/001 Fax Server

To: Clerk of Civil Filing Page 4 of 15 2019-02-13 18:55:33 (GMT) From: Lexington Law Group

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 Lisa Burger, State Bar No. 239676 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com lburger@lexlawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	FILED BY FAX ALAMEDA COUNTY February 13, 2019 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By Lynn Wiley, Deputy CASE NUMBER: RG18933923	
8 9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
11			
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Case No. RG 18-933923	
13	a non-profit corporation,		
14	Plaintiff,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND	
15	V.	CIVIL PENALTIES	
16	CORNERSTONE APPAREL, INC.; DESS, INC.;	Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq.	
17	WINSTON & BLUE, INC.; MYSTIC, INC.; BOOHOO.COM USA, INC.; BOOHOO.COM	(0.1)	
18	UK LIMITED; BOOHOO.COM USA LIMITED; and DOES 1 through 300, inclusive,	(Other)	
19	Defendants.		
20			
21 22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER			
	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCT	IVE KELIEF AND CIVIL PENALITES	

6

16

12

13

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Plaintiff Center for Environmental Health, in the public interest, based on information and belief and investigation of counsel, except for information based on knowledge, hereby makes the following allegations:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This Complaint seeks to remedy Defendants' continuing failure to warn individuals in California that they are being exposed to lead and lead compounds (collectively, "Lead"). Lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm. Such exposures have occurred, and continue to occur, through the manufacture, distribution, sale, and/or use of two types of fashion accessories: (i) belts made with leather, vinyl, or imitation leather materials ("Belts"); and (ii) wallets, handbags, purses, and clutches made with leather, vinyl, or imitation leather materials (collectively, "Handbags"). Belts and Handbags are collectively referred to herein as "Fashion Accessories." Individuals in California, including pregnant women and children, are exposed to Lead when they wear, use, touch, or handle the Fashion Accessories.
- 2. Under California's Proposition 65, Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seg., it is unlawful for businesses to knowingly and intentionally expose individuals in California to chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm without providing clear and reasonable warnings to individuals prior to their exposure. Defendants introduce Fashion Accessories contaminated with significant quantities of Lead into the California marketplace, thereby exposing users of their Fashion Accessories, many of whom are pregnant women and children, to Lead.
- 3. Despite the fact that Defendants expose pregnant women, children, and other people who come into contact with their Fashion Accessories to Lead, Defendants provide no warnings whatsoever about these Lead exposures. Defendants' conduct thus violates the warning provision of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ("CEH") is a non-profit corporation dedicated to protecting the public from environmental health hazards and toxic

exposures. CEH is based in Oakland, California and incorporated under the laws of the State of California. CEH is a "person" within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(a) and brings this enforcement action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d). CEH is a nationally recognized non-profit environmental advocacy group that has prosecuted a large number of Proposition 65 cases in the public interest. These cases have resulted in significant public benefit, including the reformulation of thousands of products to remove toxic chemicals and to make them safer. CEH also provides information to Californians about the health risks associated with exposure to hazardous substances, where manufacturers and other responsible parties fail to do so.

- 5. Defendant CORNERSTONE APPAREL, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11. Defendant CORNERSTONE APPAREL, INC. manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Belts for sale or use in California.
- 6. Defendant DESS, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11. Defendant DESS, INC. manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Belts for sale or use in California.
- 7. Defendant WINSTON & BLUE, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11. Defendant WINSTON & BLUE, INC. manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Belts for sale or use in California.
- 8. Defendant MYSTIC, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11. Defendant MYSTIC, INC. manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Belts for sale or use in California.
- 9. Defendant BOOHOO.COM USA, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11. Defendant BOOHOO.COM USA, INC. manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 10. Defendant BOOHOO.COM UK LIMITED is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11. Defendant BOOHOO.COM UK LIMITED manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.

- 11. Defendant BOOHOO.COM USA LIMITED is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11. Defendant BOOHOO.COM USA LIMITED manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Handbags for sale or use in California.
- 12. DOES 1 through 100 are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11. DOES 1 through 100 manufacture, distribute, and/or sell Belts for sale or use in California. Defendants CORNERSTONE APPAREL, INC.; DESS, INC.; WINSTON & BLUE, INC.; MYSTIC, INC.; and DOES 1 through 100 are collectively referred to herein as "Belts Defendants."
- 13. DOES 101 through 200 are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11. DOES 101 through 200 manufacture, distribute, and/or sell Handbags for sale or use in California. Defendants BOOHOO.COM USA, INC.; BOOHOO.COM UK LIMITED; BOOHOO.COM USA LIMITED; and DOES 101 through 200 are collectively referred to herein as "Handbags Defendants."
- 14. DOES 201 through 300 are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11. DOES 201 through 300 manufacture, distribute, and/or sell Belts and Handbags for sale or use in California. DOES 201 through 300 are each a "Belts Defendant" as well as a "Handbags Defendant."
- 15. The true names of DOES 1 through 300 are either unknown to CEH at this time or the applicable time period before which CEH may file a Proposition 65 action has not run. When their identities are ascertained or the applicable time period before which CEH may file a Proposition 65 action has run, the Complaint shall be amended to reflect their true names.
- 16. The defendants identified in paragraphs 5 through 11 and DOES 1 through 300 are collectively referred to herein as "Defendants."

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

17. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, which allows enforcement in any court of competent jurisdiction, and pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, because this case is a cause not given by statute to other trial courts.

- 18. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because each is a business entity that does sufficient business, has sufficient minimum contacts in California, or otherwise intentionally avails itself of the California market through the sale, marketing, or use of Fashion Accessories in California and/or by having such other contacts with California so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 19. Venue is proper in Alameda County Superior Court because one or more of the violations arise in the County of Alameda.

BACKGROUND FACTS

- 20. The People of the State of California have declared by initiative under Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." Proposition 65, § 1(b).
- 21. To effectuate this goal, Proposition 65 prohibits exposing people to chemicals listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm without a "clear and reasonable warning" unless the business responsible for the exposure can prove that it fits within a statutory exemption. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 states, in pertinent part:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual

22. On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity. Lead is specifically identified as a reproductive toxicant under three subcategories: "developmental reproductive toxicity," which means harm to the developing fetus, "female reproductive toxicity," which means harm to the female reproductive system, and "male reproductive toxicity," which means harm to the male reproductive system. 27 Cal. Code Regs. ("C.C.R.") § 27001(c). On February 27, 1988, one year after it was listed as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity, lead became subject to the clear and reasonable warning requirement regarding reproductive toxicants under Proposition 65. *Id.*; Health & Safety

- 23. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer. On October 1, 1993, one year after they were listed as chemicals known to cause cancer, lead and lead compounds became subject to the clear and reasonable warning requirement regarding carcinogens under Proposition 65. 27 C.C.R. § 27001(b); Health & Safety Code § 25249.10(b).
- 24. Young children are especially susceptible to the toxic effects of Lead. Children show a greater sensitivity to Lead's effects than do adults. Adverse health impacts from Lead exposure generally occur in children at lower blood Lead levels than in adults. Children absorb and retain more Lead in proportion to their weight than do adults. Young children also show a greater prevalence of iron deficiency, a condition that can increase gastrointestinal absorption of Lead. The body accumulates Lead over a lifetime and releases it slowly, so even small doses received in childhood, over time, can cause adverse health impacts, including but not limited to reproductive toxicity, later in life. For example, in times of physiological stress, such as pregnancy, the body can mobilize accumulated stores of Lead in tissue and bone, thereby increasing the level of Lead in the blood and increasing the risk of harm to the fetus.
- 25. There is no safe level of exposure to Lead and even minute amounts of Lead exposure have been shown to permanently reduce mental capacity. Studies have repeatedly concluded that concentrations of Lead in children's blood previously deemed acceptable can have adverse health effects. *See*, *e.g.*, Canfield, R.L., *et al.*, "Intellectual Impairment in Children with Blood Lead Concentrations below 10 ug per Deciliter," *New England Journal of Medicine* 348:16, 2003. Another study found that childhood Lead exposure predicts intellectual functioning in early adulthood in that adult IQ levels are inversely associated with blood Lead concentrations from childhood. Mazumdar, M., *et al.*, "Low-Level Environmental Lead Exposure in Childhood and Adult Intellectual Function: A Follow-Up Study," *Environmental Health* 10:24, 2011; *see also* Lanphear, B.P., *et al.*, "Subclinical Lead Toxicity in U.S. Children and Adolescents," *Neurodevelopmental Disabilities II Platform*, 2000 (concluded that even the

smallest detectable amount of blood Lead levels in children can mean the difference between an A or B grade in school).

- 26. Lead exposures for pregnant women are also of particular concern in light of evidence that even short-term Lead exposures *in utero* may have long-term harmful effects. Hu, H., *et al.*, "Fetal Lead Exposure at Each State of Pregnancy as a Predictor of Infant Mental Development," *Environmental Health Perspectives* 114:11, 2006; Schnaas, L., *et al.*, "Reduced Intellectual Development in Children with Prenatal Lead Exposure," *Environmental Health Perspectives* 114:5, 2006.
- 27. The problem of Lead in Fashion Accessories is an industry-wide problem. As the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") has found, "[p]otentially unsafe levels of lead in products such as jewelry and fashion accessories imported into the U.S. still pose a significant concern." OEHHA, Initial Statement of Reasons for Proposed Adoption of New Title 27, Article 6, at 20 (Jan. 16, 2015).
- 28. Lead is found in the fabric and/or material from which many Fashion Accessories are made. Lead is found in Fashion Accessories as a stabilizer in the vinyl or imitation leather materials, as a chemical ingredient in some of the dies, paints, and other coloring agents used in Fashion Accessories and in the chemicals used in the leather tanning process. Lead is also found in the metallic components such as zippers, zipper pulls, and buckles used on some Fashion Accessories.
- 29. Defendants' Fashion Accessories contain sufficient quantities of Lead such that individuals, including pregnant women and children, who wear, use, touch, and/or handle the Fashion Accessories are exposed to Lead through the average use of such Fashion Accessories. The routes of exposure for the violations are ingestion via hand-to-mouth contact after consumers touch and/or handle Fashion Accessories, and dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers take on or off Fashion Accessories or wear, touch, and/or handle Fashion Accessories.
- 30. Some of the Fashion Accessories are designed for and marketed to children.

 Young children are also exposed to Lead from Fashion Accessories when they touch or play with
 Fashion Accessories that are owned or used by their parents or caretakers. In addition, young

children are exposed to Lead from Handbags when they eat food that is stored or carried in Handbags or touch or play with non-food items that are stored or carried in Handbags. Additional childhood exposures to Lead occur when children touch their hands to their mouths after their hands have touched Fashion Accessories or items stored or carried in Handbags.

- 31. No clear and reasonable warning is provided with the Fashion Accessories regarding the exposures to Lead which occur through ordinary use of the Fashion Accessories.
- 32. Any person acting in the public interest has standing to enforce violations of Proposition 65 provided that such person has supplied the requisite public enforcers with a valid 60-Day Notice of Violation and such public enforcers are not diligently prosecuting the action within such time. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 33. More than sixty days prior to naming each Defendant in this lawsuit, CEH provided a 60-Day "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65" to the California Attorney General, to the District Attorneys of every county in California, to the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to each of the named Defendants. In compliance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) and 27 C.C.R. § 25903(b), each Notice included the following information: (1) the name and address of each violator; (2) the statute violated; (3) the time period during which violations occurred; (4) specific descriptions of the violations, including (a) the routes of exposure to listed chemicals from Fashion Accessories, and (b) the specific type of Fashion Accessories sold and used in violation of Proposition 65; and (5) the name of the specific Proposition 65-listed chemical that is the subject of the violations described in each Notice.
- General, to the District Attorneys of every county in California, to the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to each of the named Defendants. In compliance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) and 11 C.C.R. § 3101, each Certificate certified that CEH's counsel: (1) has consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposures to Lead alleged in each Notice; and (2) based on the information obtained through such

consultations, believes that there is a reasonable and meritorious case for a citizen enforcement action based on the facts alleged in each Notice. In compliance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) and 11 C.C.R. § 3102, each Certificate served on the Attorney General included factual information – provided on a confidential basis – sufficient to establish the basis for the Certificate, including the identity of the person(s) consulted by CEH's counsel and the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by such persons.

- 35. None of the public prosecutors with the authority to prosecute violations of Proposition 65 has commenced and/or is diligently prosecuting a cause of action against Defendants under Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.*, based on the claims asserted in CEH's Notices.
- 36. Defendants both know and intend that consumers in California, including pregnant women and children, will wear, use, touch, and/or handle the Fashion Accessories, thus exposing them to Lead through the average use of the Fashion Accessories.
- 37. Nevertheless, Defendants continue to expose consumers, including pregnant women and children, to Lead without prior clear and reasonable warnings regarding the carcinogenic and reproductive hazards of Lead.
- 38. CEH has engaged in good-faith efforts to resolve the claims alleged herein prior to filing this Complaint.
- 39. Any person "violating or threatening to violate" Proposition 65 may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7. "Threaten to violate" is defined to mean "to create a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(e). Proposition 65 provides for civil penalties not to exceed \$2,500 per day for each violation of Proposition 65.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6) (Against Belts Defendants Only)

40. CEH realleges and incorporates by reference as if specifically set forth herein Paragraphs 1 through 39, inclusive.

1	5. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.		
2			
3	Dated: February 13, 2019	Respectfully submitted,	
4		LEXINGTON LAW GROUP	
5			
6			
7		Howard Hirsch	
8		Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
9			
. 10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16 17			
18			
19			
20			
21	·		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	FIRST AMENDED CO	-11- OMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES	
	FIRST AMENDED COMERAINT FOR INCOME IT & RELIGIE AND CITE LEMANTICS		