



1           3.       DEHP is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and  
2 reproductive toxicity. On January 1, 1988, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known  
3 to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since  
4 that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b).  
5 On October 24, 2003, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause  
6 reproductive toxicity.

7           4.       Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate  
8 within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations. Included in  
9 such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing a Proposition  
10 65-listed chemical with a “clear and reasonable” warning before “knowingly and intentionally”  
11 exposing any person to any such listed chemical.

12           5.       Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation  
13 for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000.00) to be  
14 imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code  
15 § 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin the  
16 actions of a defendant which “violate or threaten to violate” the statute. Health & Safety Code §  
17 25249.7.

18           6.       Plaintiff alleges that Defendant distributes and/or offers for sale in California,  
19 without a requisite exposure warning, Stark expandable cargo bags (the “Products”) that expose  
20 persons to DEHP.

21           7.       Defendant’s failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the  
22 health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP in conjunction with the sale and/or distribution  
23 of the Products is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendant to the enjoinder and civil  
24 penalties described herein.

25           8.       Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendant for its violations of Proposition 65  
26 in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

27           9.       Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief, preliminarily and permanently, requiring  
28 Defendant to provide purchasers or users of the Products with required warnings related to the

1 dangers and health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP pursuant to Health and Safety Code  
2 § 25249.7(a).

3 10. Plaintiff further seeks a reasonable award of attorney's fees and costs.

4 **PARTIES**

5 11. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general  
6 public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and to  
7 improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. He brings this  
8 action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d).

9 12. Defendant Home Depot, through its business, effectively imports, distributes, sells,  
10 and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that  
11 it imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California.

12 13. Plaintiff alleges that defendant Home Depot is a "person" in the course of doing  
13 business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

14 **VENUE AND JURISDICTION**

15 14. Venue is proper in the County of San Francisco because one or more of the  
16 instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur in this county and/or because  
17 Defendant conducted, and continues to conduct, business in the County of San Francisco with  
18 respect to the Products.

19 15. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution  
20 Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those  
21 given by statute to other trial courts. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 allows for the enforcement  
22 of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction; therefore, this Court has  
23 jurisdiction over this lawsuit.

24 16. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is either a citizen of  
25 the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, is registered  
26 with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do business in the State  
27 of California, and/or has otherwise purposefully availed itself of the California market. Such  
28

1 purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts consistent and  
2 permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

3 **STATUTORY BACKGROUND**

4 17. The people of the State of California declared in Proposition 65 their right “[t]o be  
5 informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive  
6 harm.” (Section 1(b) of Initiative Measure, Proposition 65.)

7 18. To effect this goal, Proposition 65 requires that individuals be provided with a  
8 “clear and reasonable warning” before being exposed to substances listed by the State of California  
9 as causing cancer or reproductive toxicity. H&S Code § 25249.6 states, in pertinent part:

10 No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any  
11 individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without  
12 first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual...

13 19. An exposure to a chemical in a consumer product is one “which results from a  
14 person’s acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption or other reasonably foreseeable use of a  
15 consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service.” (27 CCR §  
16 25602, para (b).) H&S Code § 25603(c) states that “a person in the course of doing business ...  
17 shall provide a warning to any person to whom the product is sold or transferred unless the product  
18 is packaged or labeled with a clear and reasonable warning.”

19 20. Pursuant to H&S Code § 25603.1, the warning may be provided by using one or  
20 more of the following methods individually or in combination:<sup>1</sup>

- 21 a. A warning that appears on a product’s label or other labeling.
- 22 b. Identification of the product at the retail outlet in a manner which provides  
23 a warning. Identification may be through shelf labeling, signs, menus, or a combination  
24 thereof.

25  
26  
27 <sup>1</sup> Alternatively, a person in the course of doing business may elect to comply with the warning  
28 requirements set out in the amended version of 27 CCR 25601, *et.seq.* as amended on August 30,  
2016, and operative on August 30, 2018.

1 c. The warnings provided pursuant to subparagraphs (a) and (b) shall be  
2 prominently placed upon a product's labels or other labeling or displayed at the retail outlet  
3 with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices  
4 in the label, labeling or display as to render it likely to be read and understood by an  
5 ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use.

6 d. A system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free  
7 information services, or any other system that provides clear and reasonable warnings.

8 21. Proposition 65 provides that any "person who violates or threatens to violate" the  
9 statute may be enjoined in a court of competent jurisdiction. (H&S Code § 25249.7.) The phrase  
10 "threaten to violate" is defined to mean creating "a condition in which there is a substantial  
11 probability that a violation will occur." (H&S Code § 25249.11(e).) Violators are liable for civil  
12 penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day for each violation of the Act (H&S Code § 25249.7) for up to  
13 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000.00).

14 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

15 22. On January 1, 1988, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to  
16 the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since  
17 that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b).  
18 On October 24, 2003, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause  
19 reproductive toxicity. In summary, the Listed Chemical was listed under Proposition 65 as a  
20 chemical known to the State to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity.

21 23. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this Complaint result from through  
22 dermal absorption. Users can be exposed to DEHP by dermal absorption through direct skin  
23 contact with the Products when handled with bare hands. DEHP from the Products can absorb to  
24 the surface of contents placed in the Products that are subsequently handled, held in direct contact  
25 with skin, mouthed, or ingested by the user. If the Products are stored or transported in a carrier,  
26 DEHP that leaches from the Products may contaminate other articles contained within these closed  
27 spaces that are subsequently handled, worn, mouthed, or ingested by the user. Finally, while  
28

1 mouthing of the Products does not seem likely, some amount of exposure through ingestion can  
2 occur by touching the Products with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth.

3 24. Defendant has supplied, processed, marketed, distributed, offered to sell and/or sold  
4 the Products in California since at least January 17, 2020. The Products continue to be distributed  
5 and sold in California without the requisite warning information.

6 25. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant has knowingly and intentionally  
7 exposed users, consumers and/or patients to the Products and the Listed Chemical without first  
8 giving a clear and reasonable exposure warning to such individuals.

9 26. As a proximate result of acts by Defendant, as a person in the course of doing  
10 business within the meaning of H&S Code § 25249.11, individuals throughout the State of  
11 California, including in San Francisco County, have been exposed to the Listed Chemical without  
12 a clear and reasonable warning on the Products. The individuals subject to the violative exposures  
13 include normal and foreseeable users, consumers and patients that use the Products, as well as all  
14 others exposed to the Products.

15 **SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENTS**

16 27. On January 17, 2020, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety  
17 Code § 25249.6 (the "Notice") to Defendant concerning the exposure of California citizens to  
18 DEHP contained in the Products without proper warning, subject to a private action to Defendant  
19 and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District attorneys and  
20 City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons wherein the herein  
21 violations allegedly occurred.

22 28. The Notice complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including  
23 the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at  
24 least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding  
25 DEHP exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a private  
26 action.

27 29. After receiving the Notice, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, none of  
28 the noticed appropriate public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted a

1 cause of action against Defendant under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations which are  
2 the subject of the Notice.

3 30. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the date of the  
4 Notice to Defendant, as required by law.

5 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

6 **(By Plaintiff against Defendant for the Violation of Proposition 65)**

7 31. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 30 of  
8 this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

9 32. Defendant has, at all times mentioned herein, acted as distributor, and/or retailer of  
10 the Product.

11 33. The Products contain DEHP, a hazardous chemical found on the Proposition 65 list  
12 of chemicals known to be hazardous to human health.

13 34. The Products do not comply with the Proposition 65 warning requirements.

14 35. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that at all relevant times  
15 herein, and at least since January 17, 2020, continuing until the present, that Defendant has  
16 continued to knowingly and intentionally expose California users and consumers of the Product to  
17 DEHP without providing required warnings under Proposition 65.

18 36. The exposures that are the subject of the Notice result from the purchase,  
19 acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Products. Consequently, the primary route of  
20 exposure to these chemicals is through dermal absorption. Users can be exposed to DEHP by  
21 dermal absorption through direct skin contact with the Products when handled with bare hands.  
22 DEHP from the Products can absorb to the surface of contents placed in the Products that are  
23 subsequently handled, held in direct contact with skin, mouthed, or ingested by the user. If the  
24 Products are stored or transported in a carrier, DEHP that leaches from the Products may  
25 contaminate other articles contained within these closed spaces that are subsequently handled,  
26 worn, mouthed, or ingested by the user. Finally, while mouthing of the Products does not seem  
27 likely, some amount of exposure through ingestion can occur by touching the Products with  
28 subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth:

1 37. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that such exposures will  
2 continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to Product purchasers and  
3 users or until this known toxic chemical is removed from the Products.

4 38. Defendant has knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the  
5 Product exposes individuals to DEHP, and Defendant intends that exposures to DEHP will occur  
6 by its deliberate, non-accidental participation in the importation, distribution, sale and offering of  
7 the Products to consumers in California

8 39. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the herein claims prior to this  
9 Complaint.

10 40. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above  
11 described acts, Defendant is liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day per violation.

12 41. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically  
13 authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant.

14 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

15 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant and requests the following  
16 relief:

17 A. That the court assess civil penalties against each Defendant in the amount of \$2,500  
18 per day for each violation for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per  
19 violation of \$912,000.00) in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b);

20 B. That the court preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendant mandating  
21 Proposition 65 compliant warnings on the Products;

22 C. That the court grant Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit, in the  
23 amount of \$50,000.00.

24 D. That the court grant any further relief as may be just and proper.

25 Dated: July 24, 2020

BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC

26 By:  \_\_\_\_\_

Evan J. Smith (SBN242352)

Ryan P. Cardona (SBN302113)

9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900

27  
28 - § -



Beverly Hills, CA 90212  
Telephone: (877) 534-2590  
Facsimile: (310) 247-0160


*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address):  
Evan J. Smith (SBN242352)  
Brodsky & Smith, LLC  
9595 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 900  
Beverly Hills, CA 90212  
TELEPHONE NO: 877-534-2590 FAX NO: 310-247-0160  
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): ANTHONY FERREIRO

FOR COURT USE ONLY  
**FILED**  
Superior Court of California  
County of San Francisco

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister St.  
MAILING ADDRESS:  
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco CA 94102  
BRANCH NAME:

JUL 27 2020  
CLERK OF THE COURT  
BY:   
ANGELICA SUNGA Deputy Clerk

CASE NAME:  
ANTHONY FERREIRO v. THE HOME DEPOT, INC.

**CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET**  
 **Unlimited**  
(Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000)  
 **Limited**  
(Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)

**Complex Case Designation**  
 **Counter**  **Joinder**  
Filed with first appearance by defendant  
(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)

CASE NUMBER:  
**CGC-20-585793**  
JUDGE:  
DEPT:

Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2)

1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:

<b>Auto Tort</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Auto (22) <input type="checkbox"/> Uninsured motorist (46) <b>Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos (04) <input type="checkbox"/> Product liability (24) <input type="checkbox"/> Medical malpractice (45) <input type="checkbox"/> Other PI/PD/WD (23) <b>Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Business tort/unfair business practice (07) <input type="checkbox"/> Civil rights (08) <input type="checkbox"/> Defamation (13) <input type="checkbox"/> Fraud (16) <input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual property (19) <input type="checkbox"/> Professional negligence (25) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) <b>Employment</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful termination (36) <input type="checkbox"/> Other employment (15)	<b>Contract</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Breach of contract/warranty (06) <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 3.740 collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Other collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage (18) <input type="checkbox"/> Other contract (37) <b>Real Property</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14) <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful eviction (33) <input type="checkbox"/> Other real property (26) <b>Unlawful Detainer</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial (31) <input type="checkbox"/> Residential (32) <input type="checkbox"/> Drugs (38) <b>Judicial Review</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Asset forfeiture (05) <input type="checkbox"/> Petition re: arbitration award (11) <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of mandate (02) <input type="checkbox"/> Other judicial review (39)	<b>Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) <input type="checkbox"/> Construction defect (10) <input type="checkbox"/> Mass tort (40) <input type="checkbox"/> Securities litigation (28) <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental/Toxic tort (30) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41) <b>Enforcement of Judgment</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement of judgment (20) <b>Miscellaneous Civil Complaint</b> <input type="checkbox"/> RICO (27) <input type="checkbox"/> Other complaint (not specified above) (42) <b>Miscellaneous Civil Petition</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership and corporate governance (21) <input type="checkbox"/> Other petition (not specified above) (43)
---	--	--

2. This case  is  is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:
- |  |  |
|--|--|
| a. <input type="checkbox"/> Large number of separately represented parties   | d. <input type="checkbox"/> Large number of witnesses  |
| b. <input type="checkbox"/> Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve | e. <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or in a federal court |
| c. <input type="checkbox"/> Substantial amount of documentary evidence   | f. <input type="checkbox"/> Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision  |
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.  monetary b.  nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c.  punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 1
5. This case  is  is not a class action suit.
6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)

**BY FAX**

Date: July 24, 2020  
Evan J. Smith (SBN 242352)

  
(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

**NOTICE**

- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
- If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
- Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

**INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET**

**To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers.** If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you **must** complete and file, along with your first paper, the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check **one** box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the **primary** cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

**To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases.** A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

**To Parties in Complex Cases.** In complex cases only, parties must also use the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

**CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES**

**Auto Tort**

- Auto (22)—Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death
- Uninsured Motorist (46) *(if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim, subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)*

**Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort**

- Asbestos (04)
  - Asbestos Property Damage
  - Asbestos Personal Injury/Wrongful Death
- Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)
- Medical Malpractice (45)
  - Medical Malpractice—Physicians & Surgeons
  - Other Professional Health Care Malpractice
- Other PI/PD/WD (23)
  - Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)
  - Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)
  - Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress
  - Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress
  - Other PI/PD/WD

**Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort**

- Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)
- Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)
- Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)
- Fraud (16)
- Intellectual Property (19)
- Professional Negligence (25)
  - Legal Malpractice
  - Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)
- Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

**Employment**

- Wrongful Termination (36)
- Other Employment (15)

**Contract**

- Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)
  - Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)
  - Contract/Warranty Breach—Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)
  - Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty
  - Other Breach of Contract/Warranty
- Collections (e.g., money owed, open-book accounts) (09)
  - Collection Case—Seller Plaintiff
  - Other Promissory Note/Collections Case
- Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18)
  - Auto Subrogation
  - Other Coverage
- Other Contract (37)
  - Contractual Fraud
  - Other Contract Dispute

**Real Property**

- Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)
- Wrongful Eviction (33)
- Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)
  - Writ of Possession of Real Property
  - Mortgage Foreclosure
  - Quiet Title
  - Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure)

**Unlawful Detainer**

- Commercial (31)
- Residential (32)
  - Drugs (38) *(if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)*

**Judicial Review**

- Asset Forfeiture (05)
- Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)
- Writ of Mandate (02)
  - Writ—Administrative Mandamus
  - Writ—Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter
  - Writ—Other Limited Court Case Review
- Other Judicial Review (39)
  - Review of Health Officer Order
  - Notice of Appeal—Labor Commissioner Appeals

**Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400–3.403)**

- Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
- Construction Defect (19)
- Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
- Securities Litigation (28)
- Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
- Insurance Coverage Claims *(arising from provisionally complex case type listed above)* (41)

**Enforcement of Judgment**

- Enforcement of Judgment (20)
  - Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)
  - Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)
  - Sister State Judgment
  - Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)
  - Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
  - Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

**Miscellaneous Civil Complaint**

- RICO (27)
- Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)
  - Declaratory Relief Only
  - Injunctive Relief Only (non-harassment)
  - Mechanics Lien
  - Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)
  - Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)

**Miscellaneous Civil Petition**

- Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)
- Other Petition (not specified above) (43)
  - Civil Harassment
  - Workplace Violence
  - Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse
  - Election Contest
  - Petition for Name Change
  - Petition for Relief From Late Claim
  - Other Civil Petition