To: Page 4 of 9

#### 2020-06-19 17:19:10 (GMT)

16193930154 From: Samantha Dice

11       SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA         12       IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA         13       KIM EMBRY, an individual,       Case No.:         14       Plaintiff,       Case No.:         15       v.       Complaint FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF         16       ZACHARY CONFECTIONS, INC., an Indiana corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)         18       Defendants.       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)         19       Defendants.       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)         101       Defendants.       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)         12       Defendants.       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)         13       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)         14       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)         15       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)         16       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)         17       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)         18       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)       (Health & Safety Code § 25249	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	GLICK LAW GROUP, PC Noam Glick (SBN 251582) 225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 382-3400 Fax: (619) 393-0154 Email: noam@glicklawgroup.com NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) Jake W. Schulte (SBN 293777) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 325-0492 Email: cnicholas@nicholaslaw.org Email: jschulte@nicholaslaw.org	FILED BY FAX ALAMEDA COUNTY June 19, 2020 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By Shabra Iyamu, Deputy CASE NUMBER: RG20065639
12       IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA         13       KIM EMBRY, an individual,       Case No.:         14       Plaintiff,       Case No.:         15       v.       Complaint FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF         16       ZACHARY CONFECTIONS, INC., an Indiana corporation, and DOES I through 100, inclusive,       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)         18       Defendants.       (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)         19	11		
13     KIM EMBRY, an individual,     Case No.:       14     Plaintiff,     Case No.:       15     v.     ComPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF       16     ZACHARY CONFECTIONS, INC., an Indiana corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,     (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)       18     Defendants.       19	12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
14     Plaintiff,       15     v.       16     ZACHARY CONFECTIONS, INC., an Indiana corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,       17     Defendants.       18     Defendants.       19	13	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
Plaintiff, v.COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF16ZACHARY CONFECTIONS, INC., an Indiana corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)18Defendants19		KIM EMBRY, an individual,	Case No.:
Indiana corporation, and DOES I through 100, inclusive,       Inclusive,         18       Defendants.         19       Inclusive,         20       Inclusive,         21       Inclusive,         22       Inclusive,         23       Inclusive,         24       Inclusive,         25       Inclusive,         26       Inclusive,         27       Inclusive,		-	
18       Defendants.         19		Indiana corporation, and DOES 1 through 100,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
19         20         21         22         23         24         25         26         27	18		
21         22         23         24         25         26         27			
22	20		
23         24         25         26         27	21		
24       25       26       27	22		
25 26 27	23		
26 27	24		
27	25		
	26		
28	27		
	28		

I.
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>

1

23

24

This Complaint is a representative action brought by Kim Embry ("Plaintiff") in the
 public interest of the citizens of the State of California ("the People"). Plaintiff seeks to remedy
 Defendant's failure to inform the People of exposure to acrylamide, a known carcinogen. Defendant
 exposes consumers to acrylamide by manufacturing, importing, selling, and/or distributing Market
 Pantry Dark Chocolate Covered Almonds ("Products"). Defendant knows and intends that customers
 will ingest Products containing acrylamide.

8 2. Under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California
9 Health and Safety Code, section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), "[n]o person in the course of doing
10 business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to
11 cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such
12 individual..." (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.6.)

3. California identified and listed acrylamide as a chemical known to cause cancer as early
as January 1, 1990, and as a chemical known to cause developmental/reproductive toxicity in February
of 2011.

4. Defendant failed to sufficiently warn consumers and individuals in California about
potential exposure to acrylamide in connection with Defendant's manufacture, import, sale, or
distribution of Products. This is a violation of Proposition 65.

Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief compelling Defendant to sufficiently warn consumers in
 California before exposing them to acrylamide in Products. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7(a).)
 Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against Defendant for its violations of Proposition 65 along with
 attorney's fees and costs. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7(b).)

# II. <u>PARTIES</u>

6. Plaintiff KIM EMBRY ("Plaintiff") is a citizen of the State of California dedicated to
protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination or reduction of toxic exposure from
consumer products. She brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code,
section 25249.7.

7. Defendant ZACHARY CONFECTIONS, INC. ("Zachary") is a corporation organized
 and existing under the laws of Indiana. Zachary is registered to do business in California, and does
 business in the County of Alameda, within the meaning of Health and Safety Code, section 25249.11.
 Zachary manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes the Products in California and Alameda County.

8. Plaintiff does not know the true names and/or capacities, whether individual, partners,
or corporate, of the defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, and for that reason sues
said defendants under fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint when the true
names and capacities of these defendants have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and
thereon alleges that these defendants are responsible in whole or in part for Plaintiffs' alleged damages.

## III. VENUE AND JURISDICTION

10

11

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9. California Constitution Article VI, Section 10 grants the Superior Court original
jurisdiction in all cases except those given by statute to other trial courts. The Health and Safety Code
statute upon which this action is based does not give jurisdiction to any other court. As such, this Court
has jurisdiction.

16 10. Venue is proper in Alameda County Superior Court pursuant to Code of Civil
17 Procedure, sections 394, 395, and 395.5. Wrongful conduct occurred and continues to occur in this
18 County. Defendant conducted and continues to conduct business in this County as it relates to Products.

19 11. Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California or otherwise
20 purposefully avails itself of the California market. Exercising jurisdiction over Defendant would be
21 consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

### IV. CAUSES OF ACTION

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Violation of Proposition 65 – Against all Defendants)

12. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained above.

13. Proposition 65 mandates that citizens be informed about exposures to chemicals that

1

cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm.

14. Defendant manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed Products containing
acrylamide in violation of Health and Safety Code, section 25249.6 et seq. Plaintiff is informed and
believes such violations have continued after receipt of the Notice (defined *infra*) and will continue to
occur into the future.

6 15. In manufacturing, importing, selling, and/or distributing Products, Defendant failed to
7 provide a clear and reasonable warning to consumers and individuals in California who may be exposed
8 to acrylamide through reasonably foreseeable use of the Products.

9 16. Products expose individuals to acrylamide through direct ingestion. This exposure is a
10 natural and foreseeable consequence of Defendant placing Products into the stream of commerce. As
11 such, Defendant intends that consumers will ingest Products, exposing them to acrylamide.

12 17. Defendant knew or should have known that the Products contained acrylamide and 13 exposed individuals to acrylamide in the ways provided above. The Notice informed Defendant of the 14 presence of acrylamide in the Products. Likewise, media coverage concerning acrylamide and related 15 chemicals in consumer products provided constructive notice to Defendant.

16

27

28

18.

Defendant's action in this regard were deliberate and not accidental.

17 19. More than sixty days prior to naming each defendant in this lawsuit, Plaintiff issued a
60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice") as required by and in compliance with Proposition 65. Plaintiff
provided the Notice to the various required public enforcement agencies along with a certificate of merit.
20 The Notice alleged that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in
21 California of the health hazards associated with exposures to acrylamide contained in the Products.

22 20. The appropriate public enforcement agencies provided with the Notice failed to
23 commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against Defendant.

24 21. Individuals exposed to acrylamide contained in Products through direct ingestion
25 resulting from reasonably foreseeable use of the Products have suffered and continue to suffer
26 irreparable harm. There is no other plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.

1	1 22. Defendant is liable for a maximum	civil penalty of \$2,500 per day for each violation of		
2	Proposition 65 pursuant to Health and Safety Code, section 252497(b). Injunctive relief is also			
3	3 appropriate pursuant to Health and Safety Code, see	appropriate pursuant to Health and Safety Code, section 25249.7(a).		
4	4 PRAYER FO	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
5	5 Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment aga	Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant, and each of them, as follows:		
6	1. Civil penalties in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation;			
7	7 2. A preliminary and permanent in	2. A preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendant from manufacturing,		
8	importing, selling, and/or distributing Products in California without providing a clear and reasonable			
9	warning as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations;			
10	03.Reasonable attorney's fees and cost	s of suit; and		
11	1 4. Such other and further relief as may	be just and proper.		
12	Respectfully submitted:			
13	3 Dated: June 19, 2020 GLIC	CK LAW GROUP, PC		
14	4			
15	5			
16		Noam Slich		
17	7 By:	Noam Glick		
18	8	Attorney for Plaintiff		
19	9			
20	0			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	8			