Electronically FILED by	lly FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles on 01/12/2021 11:38 PM Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, by N. Alvarez, Deputy Clerk 21STCV01324		
	Assigned for all purposes to: Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Judicial Officer: Elaine Lu		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		E STATE OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES	
9			
10 11	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest,	21STCV01324 CASE NO.	
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PENALTY AND	
13	v.	INJUNCTION	
14 15	AMAZON.COM, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company;	Violation of Proposition 65, the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement	
16	AMAZON.COM SERVICES, INC., a Delaware Corporation;	Act of 1986 (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.5, et seq.)	
17	RHEE BROS., INC., a Maryland Corporation KOREAN FARM, INC., a California	ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL	
18	Corporation	CASE (exceeds \$25,000)	
19	and DOES 1-10,		
20	Defendants.		
21			
22			
23			
24	Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY G	Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. alleges one of action against	
25	defendants AMAZON.COM, LLC, AMAZON.COM SERVICES, INC., RHEE BROS., INC.,		
26	KOREAN FARM, INC., and DOES 1-10 as follows:		
27			
28			
YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law Corporations	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF PROPOSITIO	e <b>1</b> of <b>9</b> DN 65, THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC H AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.5, ET SEQ.)	

1	THE PARTIES
2	1. Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. ("Plaintiff" or "CAG") is an
3	organization qualified to do business in the State of California. CAG is a person within
4	the meaning of Health and Safety Code Section 25249.11, subdivision (a). CAG, acting
5	as a private attorney general, brings this action in the public interest as defined under
6	Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7, subdivision (d).
7	2. Defendant AMAZON.COM, LLC ("AMAZON LLC") is a Delaware Limited Liability
8	Company doing business in the State of California at all relevant times herein.
9	3. Defendant AMAZON.COM SERVICES, INC ("AMAZON INC.") is a Delaware
10	Corporation doing business in the State of California at all relevant times herein.
11	4. Defendant RHEE BROS., INC. ("RHEE") is a Maryland Corporation doing business in
12	the State of California at all relevant times herein.
13	5. Defendant KOREAN FARM, INC. ("KOREAN FARM") is a California Corporation
14	doing business in the State of California at all relevant times herein.
15	6. Plaintiff is presently unaware of the true names and capacities of defendants DOES 1-10,
16	and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this
17	Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is
18	informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each fictitiously named defendant is
19	responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged and the damages caused
20	thereby.
21	7. At all times mentioned herein, the term "Defendants" includes AMAZON LLC,
22	AMAZON INC., RHEE, KOREAN FARM, and DOES 1-10.
23	8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants at all
24	times mentioned herein have conducted business within the State of California.
25	9. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this action, each of the Defendants,
26	including DOES 1-10, was an agent, servant, or employee of each of the other
27	Defendants. In conducting the activities alleged in this Complaint, each of the
28 yeroushalmi	Page <b>2</b> of <b>9</b>
* YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law Corporations	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF PROPOSITION 65, THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.5, ET SEQ.)

Defendants was acting within the course and scope of this agency, service, or employment, and was acting with the consent, permission, and authorization of each of the other Defendants. All actions of each of the Defendants alleged in this Complaint were ratified and approved by every other Defendant or their officers or managing agents. Alternatively, each of the Defendants aided, conspired with and/or facilitated the alleged wrongful conduct of each of the other Defendants.

10. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all relevant times, each of the Defendants was a person doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code Section 25249.11, subdivision (b), and that each of the Defendants had ten (10) or more employees at all relevant times.

## **JURISDICTION**

- 11. The Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit pursuant to California Constitution Article
  VI, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except
  those given by statute to other trial courts. This Court has jurisdiction over this action
  pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7, which allows enforcement of
  violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction.
- 12. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants named herein because Defendants either reside or are located in this State or are foreign corporations authorized to do business in California, are registered with the California Secretary of State, or who do sufficient business in California, have sufficient minimum contacts with California, or otherwise intentionally avail themselves of the markets within California through their manufacture, distribution, promotion, marketing, or sale of their products within California to render the exercise of jurisdiction by the California courts permissible under traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

13. Venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles because one or more of the instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continues to occur, in the County of Los Angeles and/or

27 28 YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law Corporations Page 3 of 9

because Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in the County of Los Angeles with respect to the consumer product that is the subject of this action.

## **BACKGROUND AND PRELIMINARY FACTS**

14. In 1986, California voters approved an initiative to address growing concerns about exposure to toxic chemicals and declared their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." Ballot Pamp., Proposed Law, Gen. Elec. (Nov. 4, 1986) at p. 3. The initiative, The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"), helps to protect California's drinking water sources from contamination, to allow consumers to make informed choices about the products they buy, and to enable persons to protect themselves from toxic chemicals as they see fit.

## 15. Proposition 65 requires the Governor of California to publish a list of chemicals known to the state to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm. *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.8. The list, which the Governor updates at least once a year, contains over 700 chemicals and chemical families. Proposition 65 imposes warning requirements and other controls that apply to Proposition 65-listed chemicals.

- 16. All businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate or sell products in California must comply with Proposition 65. Under Proposition 65, businesses are: (1) prohibited from knowingly discharging Proposition 65-listed chemicals into sources of drinking water (*Health & Safety Code* § 25249.5), and (2) required to provide "clear and reasonable" warnings before exposing a person, knowingly and intentionally, to a Proposition 65-listed chemical (*Health & Safety Code* § 25249.6).
  - 17. Proposition 65 provides that any person "violating or threatening to violate" the statute may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7. "Threaten to violate" means "to create a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.11(e).

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law

Corporations

Page **4** of **9** 

1	Defendants are also liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation,
2	recoverable in a civil action. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).
3	18. Plaintiff identified certain practices of manufacturers and distributors of Rice of
4	exposing, knowingly and intentionally, persons in California to Lead and Lead
5	Compounds ("Lead") of such products without first providing clear and reasonable
6	warnings of such to the exposed persons prior to the time of exposure. Plaintiff later
7	discerned that Defendants engaged in such practice.
8	19. On October 1, 1992 the Governor of California added Lead to the list of chemicals
9	known to the State to cause cancer (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(b)). Pursuant to
10	Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.9 and 25249.10, twenty (20) months after
11	addition of Lead to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, Lead
12	became fully subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements and discharge prohibitions.
13	20. On February 27, 1987, the Governor of California added Lead to the list of chemicals
14	known to the State to cause developmental and reproductive toxicity (Cal. Code Regs.
15	tit. 27, § 27001(c)). Lead is known to the State to cause developmental, female, and
16	male reproductive toxicity. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.9 and
17	25249.10, twenty (20) months after addition of Lead to the list of chemicals known to
18	the State to cause developmental and reproductive toxicity, Lead became fully subject to
19	Proposition 65 warning requirements and discharge prohibitions.
20	SATISFACTION OF PRIOR NOTICE
21	21. Plaintiff served the following notices for alleged violations of Health and Safety Code
22	Section 25249.6, concerning consumer products exposures:
23	a. On or about August 25, 2020, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violations of
24	Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6, concerning consumer products
25	exposures subject to a private action to AMAZON LLC, AMAZON INC.,
26	RHEE, KOREAN FARM and to the California Attorney General, County
27	District Attorneys, and City Attorneys for each city containing a population of at
28	
YEROUSHALMI &	Page <b>5</b> of <b>9</b> COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF PROPOSITION 65, THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC
YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law Corporations	ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.5, ET SEQ.)

least 750,000 people in whose jurisdictions the violations allegedly occurred, concerning the Wild Rice.

22. Before sending the notice of alleged violations, Plaintiff investigated the consumer products involved, the likelihood that such products would cause users to suffer significant exposures to Lead, and the corporate structure of each of the Defendants. 23. Plaintiff's notice of alleged violation included a Certificate of Merit executed by the attorney for the noticing party, CAG. The Certificate of Merit stated that the attorney for Plaintiff who executed the certificate had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed data regarding the exposures to Lead, the subject Proposition 65-listed chemical of this action. Based on that information, the attorney for Plaintiff who executed the Certificate of Merit believed there was a reasonable and meritorious case for this private action. The attorney for Plaintiff attached to the Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General the confidential factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the Certificate of Merit. 24. Plaintiff's notice of alleged violations also included a Certificate of Service and a document entitled "The Safe Drinking Water & Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65) A Summary." Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d). 25. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the dates that Plaintiff gave notice of the alleged violations to AMAZON LLC, AMAZON INC., RHEE, KOREAN FARM and the public prosecutors referenced in Paragraph 21. 26. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that neither the Attorney General, nor any applicable district attorney or city attorney has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action against the Defendants.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(By CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. and against AMAZON LLC, AMAZON INC., RHEE, KOREAN FARM and DOES 1-10 for Violations of Proposition 65, The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (*Health & Safety Code*, §§ 25249.5, *et seq.*))

Page 6 of 9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1 Rice	
2 27. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 26 of this	complaint
3 as though fully set forth herein.	
4 28. Each of the Defendants is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a manufactu	rer,
5 distributor, promoter, or retailer of Wild Rice ("Rice"), including but not limit	ed to:
6 "Han Kuk Mi <sup>™</sup> "; "Wild Sweet Rice"; "Net Wt. 2 lb (907g)"; "X0010QA427	["; "Han
7 Kuk Mi Wild Sweet Rice, 2 Pound"; "ASIN B00DYEAR2K"; "Product of Th	ailand
8 29. Rice contains Lead.	
9 30. Defendants knew or should have known that Lead has been identified by the S	tate of
10 California as a chemical known to cause cancer, and reproductive toxicity and	l therefore
11 was subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements. Defendants were also int	formed of
12 the presence of Lead in Rice within Plaintiff's notice of alleged violations furth	ner
13 discussed above at Paragraph 21a.	
14 31. Plaintiff's allegations regarding Rice concerns "[c]onsumer products exposure	[s],"
15 which "is an exposure that results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage	ge,
16 consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any	exposure
17 that results from receiving a consumer service." <i>Cal. Code Regs.</i> tit. 27, § 256	502(b).
18 Rice is a consumer product, and, as mentioned herein, exposures to Lead took	place as a
19 result of such normal and foreseeable consumption and use.	
20 32. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that between August 25, 20	17 and the
21 present, each of the Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed Californ	ia
22 consumers and users of Rice, which Defendants manufactured, distributed, or	sold as
23 mentioned above, to Lead, without first providing any type of clear and reason	able
24 warning of such to the exposed persons before the time of exposure. Defendation	nts have
25 distributed and sold Rice in California. Defendants know and intend that Calif	fornia
26 consumers will use and consume Rice, thereby exposing them to Lead. Furthe	er, Plaintiff
27 is	
28	
YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF PROPOSITION 65, THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AN	

& YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent Association of Law Corporations

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF PROPOSITION 65, THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.5, ET SEQ.)

1 informed, believes, and thereon alleges that Defendants are selling Rice under a brand or 2 trademark that is owned or licensed by the Defendants or an entity affiliated thereto; 3 have knowingly introduced Lead into Rice or knowingly caused Lead to be created in 4 Rice; have covered, obscured or altered a warning label that has been affixed to Rice by 5 the manufacturer, producer, packager, importer, supplier or distributor of Rice; have 6 received a notice and warning materials for exposure from Rice without conspicuously 7 posting or displaying the warning materials; and/or have actual knowledge of potential 8 exposure to Lead from Rice. Defendants thereby violated Proposition 65. 9 33. The principal routes of exposure are through dermal contact, ingestion and inhalation. 10 Persons sustain exposures by handling Rice without wearing gloves or any other 11 personal protective equipment, or by touching bare skin or mucous membranes with 12 gloves after handling Rice, as well as through direct and indirect hand to mouth contact, 13 hand to mucous membrane, or breathing in particulate matter dispersed from Rice. 14 34. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each of Defendants' violations of 15 Proposition 65 as to Rice have been ongoing and continuous, as Defendants engaged and 16 continue to engage in conduct which violates Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6, 17 including the manufacture, distribution, promotion, and sale of Rice, so that a separate 18 and distinct violation of Proposition 65 occurred each and every time a person was 19 exposed to Lead by Rice as mentioned herein. 20 35. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each violation of Proposition 65 21 mentioned herein is ever continuing. Plaintiff further alleges and believes that the 22 violations alleged herein will continue to occur into the future. 23 36. Based on the allegations herein, Defendants are liable for civil penalties of up to 24 \$2,500.00 per day per individual exposure to Lead from Rice, pursuant to Health and 25 Safety Code Section 25249.7(b). 37. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the claims alleged herein prior to 26 filing this Complaint. 27 28Page 8 of 9 YEROUSHALMI COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF PROPOSITION 65, THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.5, ET SEQ.)

YEROUSHALMI \*An Independent ssociation of Law Corporations

1		
2	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
3	Plaintiff demands against each of the Defendants as follows:	
4	1. A permanent injunction mandating Proposition 65-compliant warnings;	
5	2. Penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7, subdivision (b);	
6	3. Costs of suit;	
7	4. Reasonable attorney fees and costs; and	
8	5. Any further relief that the court may deem just and equitable.	
9		
10	Dated: January 12, 2021 YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI*	
11		
12		
13	Reuben Yeroushalmi	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff, CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI *An Independent Association of Law Corporations	Page <b>9</b> of <b>9</b> COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF PROPOSITION 65, THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.5, ET SEQ.)	
L .		