1	George Rikos, Esq. (SBN 204864) LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE RIKOS 555 West Beech Street, Suite 500	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Diego	
2	San Diego, CA 92101	06/17/2021 at 12:12:29 PM	
3	Telephone: (858) 342-9161 Facsimile: (858) 724-1453	Clerk of the Superior Court By Keira McCray,Deputy Clerk	
4	Email: george@georgerikoslaw.com		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Victoria Jamison		
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO		
10			
11	Victoria Jamison, an individual	Case No. 37-2021-00026455-CU-MC-CTL	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES	
14	PICCARD MEDS 4 PETS CORP., a Florida		
15	Corporation; DOES 1-10		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	Plaintiff Victoria Jamison ("Plaintiff") brings this action in the interests of the general public and, on information and belief, hereby alleges:		
19			
20			
21		<u>DUCTION</u>	
22	 This action seeks to remedy the continuing failure of defendants to warn individuals in California that they are being exposed to the substance Wood Dust by their product, the Easy Pine Bedding ("Product"). California's Proposition 65 (Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.), is a right to 		
23			
24			
25			
26	know statute. Under Proposition 65, it is unlawf		
27	expose individuals in California to chemicals and	d substances known to the State to cause cancer,	
28	birth defects, or other reproductive harm without providing clear and reasonable warnings to individuals prior to exposure.		
۷٥			
	COM	DI AINT	

COMPLAINT

- 3. When consumers use the Products, they are exposed to the substance Wood Dust, which has no safe harbor levels thereby requiring a "clear and reasonable warning" under Proposition 65. Despite this fact, Defendant has failed to provide a clear and reasonable warnings to individuals prior to exposure.
- 4. Defendant's past and continued manufacturing, distribution, and sale of the Product in California without a clear and reasonable warning, causes individuals to be involuntarily and unwittingly exposed to the substance Wood Dust in violation of Proposition 65.
- 5. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief enjoining Defendants from the continued manufacturing, distribution, and/or sales of the Products in California without providing a clear and reasonable warning regarding the risks of cancer posed by exposure to Wood Dust through use of the Products. Plaintiff seeks an injunctive order compelling Defendants to bring their business practices into compliance with Proposition 65 by providing a clear and reasonable warning to each individual who has been and who in the future may be exposed to Wood Dust from use of the Products. Plaintiff also seeks an order compelling Defendants to identify and locate each individual person who in the past has purchased the Product, and to provide to each such purchaser a clear and reasonable warning that use of the Product will cause exposures to Wood Dust.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.8, allowing enforcement of Proposition 65 in any court of competent jurisdiction, and pursuant to California Constitution Article VII, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other courts."
- 7. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is a business having sufficient minimum contacts with California, or otherwise intentionally availing themselves of the California market through the distribution and sale of the Products in the State of California to render the exercise of jurisdiction over this defendant by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
 - 8. Venue in this action is proper in the San Diego Superior Court because Defendant

28

15. Proposition 65 provides that any "person who violates or threatens to violate" the statute may be enjoined in a court of competent jurisdiction. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7. The phrase "threaten to violate" is defined to mean creating "a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(e). Violators are liable for visit penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation of the Act. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.

16. On or about August 30, 2016, the State of California officially listed Wood Dust as a substance known to cause cancer. Wood dust became subject to the warning requirement one year late and was therefore subject to the "clear and reasonable" warning requirements of Proposition 65 beginning on August 30, 2017. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 *et seq.*; 27 Cal. Code Regs. §§ 25000, *et seq.* Due to the carcinogenicity of Wood Dust, there is no safe harbor levels. 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25705(b)(1).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 17. To test Defendant's Products for Wood Dust, Plaintiff hired a well-respected and accredited testing laboratory. The results of testing undertaken by Plaintiff of the Products show that they were in violation as the product contained Wood Dust.
- 18. Based on testing results, on March 25, 2021, Plaintiff sent a 60-Day Notice of Proposition 65 Violations ("Notice") to defendant regarding the Product.
- 19. On the same day they were sent to Defendant, each Notice was also sent to the requisite public enforcement agencies.
- 20. The Notice described above was issued pursuant to, and in compliance with, the requirements of Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) and the statute's implementing regulations regarding the notice of the violations to be given to certain public enforcement agencies and to the violators. The Notice included, *inter alia*, the following information: the name, address, and telephone number of the noticing individuals; the name of the alleged violator; the statue violated; the approximate time period during which violations occurred; and descriptions of the violations, including the chemical involved, the routes of toxic exposure, and the specific product or type of product causing the violations, and was issued as follows:

- a. The relevant Defendants were provided a copy of the Notice by U.S. Mail.
- b. The relevant Defendants were provided a copy of the document entitled "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary," which is also known as Appendix A to Title 27 of Cal. Code Regs § 25903.
- c. The California Attorney General was provided a copy of the Notice via online submission.
- d. The California Attorney General was provided with a Certificate of Merit by the attorney for the noticing party, stating that there is a reasonable and meritorious case for this action, and attaching factual information sufficient to establish a basis for the certificate, including the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certified, and the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(h)(2).
- e. The district attorneys, city attorneys or prosecutors of each jurisdiction within which the Products are offered for sale within California were provided with a copy of the Notice pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)(1).
- 21. At least 60-days has lapsed since Plaintiff served the Notice to Defendants. The appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action under Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* against Defendants based on the allegations herein.
- 22. On information and belief, the Products have been manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendants for use in California. On information and belief, the Product continues to be distributed and sold in California without the requisite warning information.
- 23. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants have knowingly and intentionally exposed the users of the Products to Wood Dust without first giving a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals.
 - 24. As a proximate result of the acts of Defendants as persons in the course of doing

business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11, individuals throughout the State of California, including the County of San Diego, have been exposed to Wood Dust without a clear and reasonable warning. The individuals subject to the illegal exposures include normal and foreseeable users of the Products, as well as all other persons exposed to the Products.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Violations of Health and Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.)

- 25. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 24, inclusive, as if specifically set forth herein.
- 26. Defendants are a person doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11.
- 27. Wood Dust is listed on the State of California as a substance known to cause cancer.
- 28. Defendants have and continue to knowingly and intentionally expose individuals who use the Products to the substance Wood Dust without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals pursuant to Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11(f).
- 29. Continuing commission by Defendants of the acts alleged above will irreparably harm the citizens of the State of California, for which harm they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- 1. A preliminary and permanent injunction, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), enjoining Defendants, their agents, employees, assigns and all persons acting in concert or participating with Defendants, from distributing or selling the Products in California without first providing a clear and reasonable warning that consumers of the Products are exposed to Wood Dust;
 - 2. An injunctive order, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), compelling

1	Defendants to identify and locate each individual who has purchased the Product and to provide a		
2	warning to such persons that use of the Product will expose the consumers to a substance known		
3	to cause cancer.		
4	3.	An assessment of civil penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)	
5	against Defendants in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation of Proposition 65;		
6	4.	4. An award to Plaintiff of its reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit pursuant to	
7	California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, as Plaintiff shall specify in further application to the		
8	Court; and,		
9	5. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.		
10			
11	DATED: J	June 17, 2021 LAW OFFICES OF GEORGE RIKOS	
12		George Rikos	
13		George Rikos	
14		Attorney for Plaintiff Victoria Jamison	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			