9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 11 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, Plaintiff, Case No.: 21C∨003598 12 Plaintiff, (MPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF) 13 v. (MPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES) 14 UNREAL BRANDS, INC., a Delaware corporation; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware Corporation; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delemares. (Melth & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) 16 Defendants. (Melth & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) 17 Defendants. (Melth & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) 18 Defendants. (Melth & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) 19 Defendants. (Melth & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) 20 (Melth & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) (Melth & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) 21 (Melth & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) (Melth & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) 22 (Melth & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) (Melth & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) 23 (Melth & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) (Melth & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) 24 (Melth & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) (Melth & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) 25 (Melth & Safety Code	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ENTORNO LAW, LLP Noam Glick (SBN 251582) Jake W. Schulte (SBN 293777) Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 629-0527 Email: noam@enteronolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com Email: craig@entornolaw.com	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of Alameda 12/06/2021 at 01:32:23 PM By: Xian-xii Bowie, Deputy Clerk			
11 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC., Plaintiff, v. UNREAL BRANDS, INC., a Delaware Delaware Corporation; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Defendants. 16 Defendants. 17 Defendants. 18	9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
12 INC., 13 v. 14 UNREAL BRANDS, INC., a Delaware corporation; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) 16 Defendants. 17 Defendants. 18 Defendants. 19 Image: Corporation of the sequence of	10	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA				
12 Plaintiff, 13 v. 14 UNREAL BRANDS, INC., a Delaware corporation; AMAZON.COM, INC., a 15 Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, 16 Defendants. 17 Defendants. 18 Image: Construct of the section of the s	11		Case No.: 21CV003598			
 v. UNREAL BRANDS, INC., a Delaware corporation; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants. 	12					
 14 UNREAL BRANDS, INC., a Delaware corporation; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	13					
100, inclusive, Defendants. 17 Defendants. 18		corporation; AMAZON.COM, INC., a				
17 Defendants. 18		Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,				
18		Defendants.				
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27						
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27						
21 22 23 24 25 26 27						
22 23 24 25 26 27						
23 24 25 26 27						
24 25 26 27						
26 27						
27	25					
	26					
28	27					
	28					

INTRODUCTION				
1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by Environmental Health Advocates,				
Inc. ("Plaintiff") in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California ("the People"). Plaintiff				
seeks to remedy Defendant's failure to inform the People of exposure to Lead, a known carcinogen.				
Defendant exposes consumers to lead by manufacturing, importing, selling, and/or distributing Unreal				
Dark Chocolate Almond Butter Cups ("Products"). Defendant knows and intends that customers will				
ingest Products containing lead.				
2. Under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California				
Health and Safety Code, section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), "[n]o person in the course of doing				
business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to				
cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such				
individual" (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.6.)				
3. California identified and listed lead as a chemical known to cause cancer as early as				
October 1, 1992, and as a chemical known to cause developmental/reproductive toxicity on February				
27, 1987.				
4. Defendant failed to sufficiently warn consumers and individuals in California about				
potential exposure to lead in connection with Defendant's manufacture, import, sale, or distribution of				
Products. This is a violation of Proposition 65.				
5. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief compelling Defendant to sufficiently warn consumers in				
California before exposing them to lead in Products. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7(a).) Plaintiff				
also seeks civil penalties against Defendant for its violations of Proposition 65 along with attorney's				

I.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

II. PARTIES

fees and costs. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7(b).)

25 6. Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC. ("Plaintiff") is a 26 corporation in the State of California dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through 27 the elimination or reduction of toxic exposure from consumer products. It brings this action in the public 28 interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code, section 25249.7.

7. Defendant UNREAL BRANDS, INC. ("Unreal Brands") is a corporation organized and
 existing under the laws of Delaware. Unreal Brands is registered to do business in California, and does
 business in the County of Alameda, within the meaning of Health and Safety Code, section 25249.11.
 Unreal Brands manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes the Products in California and Alameda
 County.

8. Defendant AMAZON.COM, INC. ("Amazon") is a corporation organized and existing
under the laws of Delaware. Amazon is registered to do business in California, and does business in the
County of Alameda, within the meaning of Health and Safety Code, section 25249.11. Amazon
manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes the Products in California and Alameda County.

9. Plaintiff does not know the true names and/or capacities, whether individual, partners,
 or corporate, of the defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, and for that reason sues
 said defendants under fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint when the true
 names and capacities of these defendants have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and
 thereon alleges that these defendants are responsible in whole or in part for Plaintiff's alleged damages.

III. <u>VENUE AND JURISDICTION</u>

17 10. California Constitution Article VI, Section 10 grants the Superior Court original
18 jurisdiction in all cases except those given by statute to other trial courts. The Health and Safety Code
19 statute upon which this action is based does not give jurisdiction to any other court. As such, this Court
20 has jurisdiction.

21 11. Venue is proper in Alameda County Superior Court pursuant to Code of Civil
22 Procedure, sections 394, 395, and 395.5. Wrongful conduct occurred and continues to occur in this
23 County. Defendant conducted and continues to conduct business in this County as it relates to Products.

24 12. Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California or otherwise
25 purposefully avails itself of the California market. Exercising jurisdiction over Defendant would be
26 consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

27 ///

15

16

28 ///

1	N 7		
2	IV. <u>CAUSES OF ACTION</u>		
3	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Violation of Proposition 65 – Against all Defendants)		
4	13. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained above.		
5 6	14. Proposition 65 mandates that citizens be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm.		
7	15. Defendant manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed Products containing lead in		
8	violation of Health and Safety Code, section 25249.6 et seq. Plaintiff is informed and believes such		
9	violations have continued after receipt of the Notice (defined <i>infra</i>) and will continue to occur into the		
10	future.		
11	16. In manufacturing, importing, selling, and/or distributing Products, Defendant failed to		
12	provide a clear and reasonable warning to consumers and individuals in California who may be exposed		
13	to lead through reasonably foreseeable use of the Products.		
14	17. Products expose individuals to lead through direct ingestion. This exposure is a natural		
15	and foreseeable consequence of Defendant placing Products into the stream of commerce. As such,		
16	Defendant intends that consumers will ingest Products, exposing them to lead.		
17	18. Defendant knew or should have known that the Products contained lead and exposed		
18	individuals to lead in the ways provided above. The Notice informed Defendant of the presence of lead		
19	in the Products. Likewise, media coverage concerning lead and related chemicals in consumer products		
20	provided constructive notice to Defendant.		
21	19. Defendant's action in this regard were deliberate and not accidental.		
22	20. More than sixty days prior to naming each defendant in this lawsuit, Plaintiff issued a		
23	60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice") as required by and in compliance with Proposition 65. Plaintiff		
24	provided the Notice to the various required public enforcement agencies along with a certificate of merit.		
25	The Notice alleged that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in		
26	California of the health hazards associated with exposures to lead contained in the Products.		
27	21. The appropriate public enforcement agencies provided with the Notice failed to		
28	commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against Defendant.		

1	22.	Individuals exposed to lead contai	ned in Products through direct ingestion resulting		
2	from reasonably foreseeable use of the Products have suffered and continue to suffer irreparable harm.				
3	There is no other plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.				
4	23.				
5	Proposition	65 pursuant to Health and Safety C	ode, section 252497(b). Injunctive relief is also		
6	appropriate j	appropriate pursuant to Health and Safety Code, section 25249.7(a).			
7	PRAYER FOR RELIEF				
8	Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:				
9	1. Civil penalties in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation. Plaintiff alleges that				
10	damages total a minimum of \$1,000,000.00;				
11	2.	A preliminary and permanent in	junction against Defendant from manufacturing,		
12	importing, selling, and/or distributing Products in California without providing a clear and reasonable				
13	warning as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations;				
14	3.	Reasonable attorney's fees and cost	s of suit; and		
15	4. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.				
16	Respectfully submitted:				
17	Dated: Dec	ember 6, 2021	ENTORNO LAW, LLP		
18			Noon Steil		
19		By:			
20			Noam Glick Jake W. Schulte		
21			Craig M. Nicholas		
22			Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.		
23			Environmental meanin Advocates, me.		
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					