1 2 3 4 5	Laralei Paras, State Bar No. 203319 Rebecca Jackson, State Bar No. 221583 Seven Hills LLP 4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 926-7247 laralei@sevenhillsllp.com rebecca@sevenhillsllp.com	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco 02/10/2022 Clerk of the Court BY: SANDRA SCHIRO Deputy Clerk		
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff KEEP AMERICA SAFE AND BEAUTIFUL			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION			
11				
12	KEEP AMERICA SAFE AND BEAUTIFUL,	Case No. CGC-21-595273		
13	Plaintiff,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR		
14	v.	CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF		
15	TRUE FABRICATIONS, INC.; and DOES 1-30,			
16 17	inclusive, Defendants.	Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 <i>et seq.</i>)		
18		UNLIMITED CIVIL		
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR CIV	IL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF		

Plaintiff KEEP AMERICA SAFE AND BEAUTIFUL, acting in the public interest, alleges a cause of action against Defendants TRUE FABRICATIONS, INC. and DOES 1-30.

INTRODUCTION AND NATURE OF THE ACTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1. This First Amended Complaint is a representative action brought by plaintiff Keep America Safe and Beautiful ("**KASB**") in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be informed of the health hazards caused by exposures to di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("**DEHP**") and diisononyl phthalate ("**DINP**") toxic chemicals found in and on the wine boxes with vinyl straps and faux leather totes manufactured, imported, distributed, sold or offered for sale by Defendants in the State of California.

By this First Amended Complaint, plaintiff seeks to remedy Defendants' continuing
 failure to warn individuals not covered by California's Occupational Safety Health Act, Labor Code
 § 6300 *et seq.* ("**consumers**") they are being exposed to substances known to the State of California
 to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm through exposures to DEHP and DINP,
 when they purchase, use or handle Defendants' wine boxes with vinyl straps and faux leather totes.

15 3. Detectable levels of DEHP are found in and on the wine boxes with vinyl straps that
16 Defendants manufacture, import, sell or distribute for sale to individuals throughout California.

Detectable levels of DINP are found in and on the faux leather totes that Defendants
 manufacture, import, sell or distribute for sale to individuals throughout California.

5. Pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at
 Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 *et seq.* ("**Proposition 65**"), it is unlawful for a person in the course
 of doing business to knowingly and intentionally expose consumers in California to chemicals known
 to the State to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm, without first providing a "clear
 and reasonable" health hazard warning to such individuals prior to purchase or use.

KASB contends and alleges Defendants manufacture, distribute, import, sell, and offer
 for sale, in and into California wine boxes with vinyl straps and faux leather totes (collectively, the
 "PRODUCTS") containing DEHP and DINP, without Proposition 65's requisite health hazard
 warning regarding the harms associated with exposures to the chemicals, including, but not limited to,
 Twine Living Co 2 Bottle Antique Wooden Wine Box, Item: 0308, UPC# 8 76718 00308 0 and *Brown*

Faux Leather Double-Bottle Wine Tote by Viski, Item: 5220, UPC# 8 42094 15220 9. Defendants' conduct subjects them to civil penalties for each violation, enjoinment as well as preliminary and permanent injunctive relief. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(a) and (b).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff KASB is a non-profit corporation organized under the laws of California and acting in the interest of the general public, dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens and the environment through the elimination or reduction of toxic chemicals utilized in manufacturing consumer products and to increasing public awareness of those chemicals through the promotion of sound environmental practices and corporate responsibility. KASB is a person within the meaning of Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(a), and it brings this action in the public interest, pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d).

12 8. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges, at all relevant times, Defendant TRUE FABRICATIONS, INC. ("TRUE FABRICATIONS") was and is a "person" "in the course of doing business" with ten (10) or more employees, within the meanings of Health and Safety Code 14 15 §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11.

9. 16 TRUE FABRICATIONS manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California, or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, 17 18 imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California.

19 10. Defendants DOES 1-10 ("MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS") are each a person 20 in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code §§ 25249.6 and 21 25249.11. MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS, and each of them, assemble, fabricate, and 22 manufacture, or each implies by its conduct that it does such for one or more of the PRODUCTS 23 offered for sale or use in California.

24 11. Defendants DOES 11-20 ("DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS") are each a person in 25 the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11. 26 DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS, and each of them, distribute, transfer, and transport, or each 27 impliedly does so by its conduct, one or more of the PRODUCTS to individuals, businesses, or retailers for sale or use in the State of California 28

12. Defendants DOES 21-30 ("RETAILER DEFENDANTS") are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code §§ 25249.6 and 25249.11.
 RETAILER DEFENDANTS, and each of them, by and through their conduct, offer the PRODUCTS for sale to individuals in the State of California.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

13. At this time, the true names of Defendants DOES 1 through 30, inclusive, are unknown to plaintiff, who, therefore, sues said DOES Defendants by their fictitious names, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 474. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, each of the fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for the acts and occurrences alleged herein and the damages caused thereby. When ascertained, their true names and capacities shall be reflected in an amended complaint.

14. At all times mentioned herein, TRUE FABRICATIONS, MANUFACTURER
 DEFENDANTS, DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS, and RETAILER DEFENDANTS shall,
 hereinafter, where appropriate, be referred to collectively as the "DEFENDANTS."

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

15 15. This Court has jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code
§ 25249.7, allowing enforcement by any court of competent jurisdiction. The California Superior
Court has jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, section 10,
which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to
other trial courts." The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of
subject matter jurisdiction.

21 16. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over DEFENDANTS, based on 22 plaintiff's information and good faith belief DEFENDANTS are each a person, firm, corporation or 23 association that is a citizen of the State of California, does sufficient business in California, has 24 sufficient minimum contacts in California, and/or otherwise purposefully and intentionally avail 25 themselves of the California market through their manufacture, importation, distribution, promotion, marketing or sale of PRODUCTS within the State. DEFENDANTS' purposeful availment renders 26 27 the exercise of personal jurisdiction by California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair 28 play and substantial justice.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1

2

3

4

17. Venue is proper in the Superior Court for the County of San Francisco, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §§ 393, 395, and 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction, because plaintiff seeks civil penalties against DEFENDANTS, because one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur, in this county, and/or because DEFENDANTS conducted, and continue to conduct, business in the County of San Francisco with respect to the PRODUCTS that are the subject of this action.

REGULATORY BACKGROUND AND LAW

18. In 1986, the people of the State of California approved an initiative addressing the harms caused by hazardous chemicals and declared their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." Ballot Pamp., Proposed General Law, Gen, Elec. (Nov. 4, 1986) at p.3.

12 19. Formally known as the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 and
13 codified at Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 *et seq.*, Proposition 65 states, in relevant part, "[n]o
14 person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a
15 chemical known to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving a clear and reasonable
16 warning to such individual..."

17 20. Under the Act, a "person in the course of doing business" is defined as a business with
18 ten (10) or more employees. Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(b). Businesses are prohibited from
19 exposing individuals to hazardous chemicals without first giving a "clear and reasonable" warning.
20 Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

21 21. Exposing individuals to hazardous chemicals means to cause individuals to ingest,
inhale, contact via body surfaces or otherwise come into contact with a listed chemical. 27 CCR
§ 25102(i). An exposure to a hazardous chemical is defined as one that "results from a person's
acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption or other reasonably foreseeable use of a product..."
27 C.C.R. § 25600(h).

26 22. Under Proposition 65, persons violating the statute may be enjoined in any court of
27 competent jurisdiction and may be subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day, per violation.
28 Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.

1 23. On October 24, 2003, pursuant to Proposition 65's implementing regulations, 2 California identified and listed DEHP as a chemical known to the State to cause birth defects and 3 reproductive harm. DEHP became subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements one year later, on October 24, 2004. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code 4 §§ 25249.8, 25249.10(b). 5 24. On December 20, 2013, pursuant to Proposition 65, California identified and listed 6 7 DINP as a chemical known to cause cancer. DINP became subject to the "clear and reasonable 8 warning" requirements one year later, on December 20, 2014. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(c); 9 Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8, 25249.10(b). 10 **STATEMENT OF FACTS** 11 25. Plaintiff purchased DEFENDANTS' PRODUCTS, without a warning, in California. 12 26. Plaintiff investigated and tested DEFENDANTS' PRODUCTS at an accredited lab, 13 and consulted with a person with relevant and appropriate knowledge and expertise, who, after reviewing the collected data and analyzing the risk of exposure to DEHP and DINP, determined the 14 15 PRODUCTS subject consumers in California to exposure to the listed chemicals at levels requiring a warning under the statute, based on touching, handling or otherwise utilizing PRODUCTS in 16 17 accordance with their reasonably foreseeable and intended usages. 27. 18 Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff's attorney executed a certificate of merit, attesting 19 there was a reasonable and meritorious case for this private action and included the factual 20 information supporting the certificate when it served the notice on the California Attorney General's 21 Office, as required. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d); Title 11 C.C.R. § 3102. 22 28. Thereafter, on October 21, 2020, plaintiff served a 60-Day Notice of Violation 23 ("Notice"), together with the certificate of merit, on TRUE FABRICATIONS, the California Attorney General's Office, and the requisite public enforcement agencies, alleging, as a result of 24 DEFENDANTS' sales of wine boxes with vinyl straps, consumers in the State of California were, 25 26 and are, being exposed to DEHP through their reasonably foreseeable use of the wine boxes with 27 vinyl straps as intended without first receiving a "clear and reasonable warning," as required by 28 Proposition 65.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1	29. On September 3, 2021, plaintiff served a Supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation		
2	(with Notice, collectively, the "Notices"), together with the certificate of merit, on TRUE		
3	FABRICATIONS, the California Attorney General's Office, and the requisite public enforcement		
4	agencies, alleging, as a result of DEFENDANTS' sales of the PRODUCTS, consumers in the State of		
5	California were, and are, being exposed to DEHP and DINP through their reasonably foreseeable use		
6	of the PRODUCTS as intended without first receiving a "clear and reasonable warning," as required		
7	by Proposition 65.		
8	30. After receiving plaintiff's Notices, no public enforcement agency has commenced and		
9	is diligently prosecuting a cause of action against DEFENDANTS under Proposition 65 to enforce		
10	the alleged violations that are the subject of the Notices.		
11	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION		
12	(Violation of Proposition 65 - Against All DEFENDANTS)		
13	31. KASB realleges and incorporates by reference, as if fully stated herein, the allegations		
14	set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 30, inclusive.		
15	32. DEFENDANTS' PRODUCTS contain DEHP and DINP at levels requiring a clear and		
16	reasonable warning under Proposition 65.		
17	33. DEFENDANTS know or should have known the PRODUCTS they manufacture,		
18	import, distribute, sell, and offer for sale in California contain DEHP and DINP. As a result of		
19	plaintiff's Notices, DEFENDANTS also have actual knowledge of the presence of DEHP and DINP		
20	in the PRODUCTS.		
21	34. The PRODUCTS DEFENDANTS manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer for		
22	sale in or into the State of California cause exposures to DEHP and DINP, both direct and/or indirect		
23	dermal contact and ingestion, through the reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS.		
24	35. The normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS has caused, and		
25	continues to cause, exposures to DEHP and DINP.		
26	36. DEFENDANTS know the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS		
27	exposes individuals to DEHP and DINP through direct and indirect dermal contact and/or ingestion.		
28			
	6		

1 37. DEFENDANTS intend that exposures to DEHP and DINP from the reasonably 2 foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS will occur by their deliberate, non-accidental participation in the 3 California marketplace.

38. The exposures to DEHP and DINP, caused by DEFENDANTS and endured by consumers and other individuals in California, are not exempt from the "clear and reasonable" 5 6 warning requirements of Proposition 65.

39. 7 DEFENDANTS failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those consumers 8 and other individuals in California who have been, or who will be, exposed to DEHP and DINP 9 through direct and indirect dermal contact and/or ingestion resulting from the use of the PRODUCTS 10 as intended.

11 40. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65, consumers 12 and other individuals, exposed to DEHP and DINP through dermal contact and ingestion as a result of 13 their use of the PRODUCTS that DEFENDANTS sold without a "clear and reasonable" health hazard warning, have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable harm for which they have no plain, speedy, 14 15 or adequate remedy at law.

41. DEFENDANTS manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer the PRODUCTS for 16 sale or use in violation of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6, and DEFENDANTS' violations have 17 18 continued beyond their receipt of plaintiff's Notices. As such, DEFENDANTS' violations are 19 ongoing and continuous in nature and, unless enjoined, will continue in the future.

20 42. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above-21 described acts, DEFENDANTS, and each of them, are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day for each violation. 22

23 43. As a consequence of the above-described acts, Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against DEFENDANTS. 24

/// 25 26 ///

4

27 ///

28

///

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
2	Wherefore, KASB prays for relief and judgment against DEFENDANTS, and each of them,		
3	as follows:		
4	1.	That the Court, pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a), preliminarily and	
5	permanently enjoin DEFENDANTS from manufacturing, distributing, importing, marketing or		
6	otherwise offering the PRODUCTS for sale or use in California without first providing a "clear and		
7	reasonable warning" to consumers addressing the harms associated with exposures to DEHP and		
8	DINP;		
9	2.	That the Court, pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a), issue preliminary	
10	and permane	ent injunctions mandating DEFENDANTS recall all PRODUCTS currently in the chain	
11	of commerce	e in California that do not bear a clear and reasonable health hazard warning;	
12	3.	That the Court assess civil penalties against DEFENDANTS, and each of them, in the	
13	amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation of Proposition 65, in an amount to be determined at trial;		
14	4.	That the Court award plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit, incurred	
15	herein; and		
16	5.	That the Court grant any further relief as it deems just and equitable.	
17	Dated: February 3, 2022 Respectfully submitted,		
18		SEVEN HILLS LLP	
19		AD.	
20		By:	
21		Laralei Paras Attorneys for Plaintiff	
22		Keep America Safe and Beautiful	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		8 FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	