1 2 3 4 5 6	Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352) Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113) BRODSKY & SMITH 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: (877) 534-2590 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160 Attorneys for Plaintiff	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco 10/18/2022 Clerk of the Court BY: JEFFREY FLORES Deputy Clerk		
7	STIDEDTOD COTIDA OF A	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8		SAN FRANCISCO		
9		,		
10	GABRIEL ESPINOZA,	Case No.: CGC-22-60246	5	
11	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF		
12	VS.	(Violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et		
13	MACY'S, INC.,	seq.)		
14	Defendant.			
15 16	Plaintiff Gabriel Espinoza ("Plaintiff") cause of action in the public interest of the citi	b, by and through his attorneys, alleges the following dizens of the State of California.		
17	BACKGROUND OF THE CASE			
18	Ε			
19		ative action on behalf of all California citizens to		
20	enforce relevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at the Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq ("Proposition 65"), which reads, in relevant part,			
21		ess shall knowingly and intentionally expose any		
22	individual to a chemical known to the state to	cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first		
23	giving clear and reasonable warning to such in	ndividual". Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.		
24 25	2. This complaint is a representati	ive action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest		
26 26	of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be informed of the health			
27	hazards caused by exposure to chromium (he	exavalent compounds) ("chromium (VI)"), a toxic		
28				
- 11	4	I		

chemical found in Fashion Focus belts, UPC# 806264124670, sold and/or distributed by defendant Macy's, Inc. ("Macy's" or "Defendant") in California.

- 3. Chromium (VI) is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, reproductive toxicity, and adverse developmental effects. On February 27, 1987, the State of California listed chromium (VI) as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On December 19, 2008, the State of California listed chromium (VI) as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity and adverse developmental effects.
- 4. Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations. Included in such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing a Proposition 65-listed chemical that will create an exposure above safe harbor levels with a "clear and reasonable" warning before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing any person to any such listed chemical.
- 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000.00) to be imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code \$ 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin the actions of a defendant which "violate or threaten to violate" the statute. Health & Safety Code \$ 25249.7.
- 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant distributes and/or offers for sale in California, without a requisite exposure warning, Fashion Focus belts, UPC# 806264124670 (the "Products") that expose persons to chromium (VI) when used for their intended purpose.
- 7. Defendant's failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the health hazards associated with exposure to chromium (VI) in conjunction with the sale and/or distribution of the Products is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendant to the enjoinment and civil penalties described herein.

- 8. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendant for its violations of Proposition 65 in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).
- 9. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief, preliminarily and permanently, requiring Defendant to provide purchasers or users of the Products with required warnings related to the dangers and health hazards associated with exposure to chromium (VI) pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a).
 - 10. Plaintiff further seeks a reasonable award of attorney's fees and costs.

PARTIES

- 11. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. He brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 12. Defendant Macy's, through its business, effectively imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California.
- 13. Plaintiff alleges that defendant Macy's is a "person" in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 14. Venue is proper in the County of San Francisco because one or more of the instances of wrongful conduct occurred and continue to occur in this county and/or because Defendant conducted, and continues to conduct, business in the County of San Francisco with respect to the Products.
- 15. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 allows for the enforcement of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction; therefore, this Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit.

16. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because defendant is either a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, is registered with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do business in the State of California, and/or has otherwise purposefully availed itself of the California market. Such purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts consistent and permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 17. The people of the State of California declared in Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." (Section 1(b) of Initiative Measure, Proposition 65.)
- 18. To effect this goal, Proposition 65 requires that individuals be provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" before being exposed to substances listed by the State of California as causing cancer or reproductive toxicity. H&S Code § 25249.6 states, in pertinent part:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual...

- 19. An exposure to a chemical in a consumer product is one "which results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." (27 CCR § 25602, para (b).) H&S Code § 25603(c) states that "a person in the course of doing business ... shall provide a warning to any person to whom the product is sold or transferred unless the product is packaged or labeled with a clear and reasonable warning."
- 20. Pursuant to H&S Code § 25603.1, the warning may be provided by using one or more of the following methods individually or in combination:¹
 - a. A warning that appears on a product's label or other labeling.

¹ Alternatively, a person in the course of doing business may elect to comply with the warning requirements set out in the amended version of 27 CCR 25601, *et.seq.*. as amended on August 30, 2016, and operative on August 30, 2018.

- b. Identification of the product at the retail outlet in a manner which provides a warning. Identification may be through shelf labeling, signs, menus, or a combination thereof.
- c. The warnings provided pursuant to subparagraphs (a) and (b) shall be prominently placed upon a product's labels or other labeling or displayed at the retail outlet with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices in the label, labeling or display as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use.
- d. A system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free information services, or any other system that provides clear and reasonable warnings.
- 21. Proposition 65 provides that any "person who violates or threatens to violate" the statute may be enjoined in a court of competent jurisdiction. (H&S Code § 25249.7.) The phrase "threaten to violate" is defined to mean creating "a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." (H&S Code § 25249.11(e).) Violators are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day for each violation of the Act (H&S Code § 25249.7) for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000.00).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 22. Chromium (VI) is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, reproductive toxicity, and adverse developmental effects. On February 27, 1987, the State of California listed chromium (VI) as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On December 19, 2008, the State of California listed chromium (VI) as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity and adverse developmental effects.
- 23. The exposures that are the subject of the Notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Products. The primary route of exposure to the is through dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers use, touch, or handle the Products. Increased duration of contact with the Products, natural aging of the Products,

temperature, light exposure, and contact of the Products with oxidizing agents and alkaline solutions will result in increased conversion of chromium (III) to chromium (VI) in the Products and thus increased dermal exposure to chromium (VI). Additionally, oral exposure to chromium (VI) will occur by touching the Products with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth.

- 24. Defendant has manufactured, processed, marketed, distributed, offered to sell and/or sold the Products in California since at least October 25, 2021. The Products continue to be distributed and sold in California without the requisite warning information.
- 25. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant has knowingly and intentionally exposed users, consumers and/or patients to the Products and the chromium (VI) without first giving a clear and reasonable exposure warning to such individuals.
- 26. As a proximate result of acts by each defendant, as a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of H&S Code § 25249.11, individuals throughout the State of California, including in San Francisco County, have been exposed to chromium (VI) without a clear and reasonable warning on the Products. The individuals subject to the violative exposures include normal and foreseeable users, consumers and patients that use the Products, as well as all others exposed to the Products.

SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMNTS

- 27. On September 1, 2021, Plaintiff purchased the Product from Macys.com. At the time of purchase, Macy's did not provide a Proposition 65 exposure warning for chromium (VI) or any other Proposition 65 listed chemical in a manner consistent with H&S Code § 25603.1 as described *supra*.
- 28. On or about October 4, 2022, the Product was sent to a testing laboratory to determine the chromium (VI) content of the Product.
- 29. On October 6, 2022, the laboratory provided the results of its analysis. Results of this test determined the Product exposes users to chromium (VI) (the "Chemical Test Report").
- 30. Plaintiff provided the Chemical Test Report and Product to an analytical chemist to determine if, based on the findings of the Chemical Test Report and the reasonable and foreseeable use of the Product, exposure to chromium (VI) will occur at levels that require

Proposition 65 warnings under the Clear and Reasonable Warnings section 25601 of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations.

- 31. On October 23, 2022, Plaintiff received from the analytical chemist an exposure assessment report which concluded that persons in California who use the Products will be exposed to levels of chromium (VI) that require a Proposition 65 exposure warning.
- 32. On October 25, 2021, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 (the "Notice") to Defendant concerning the exposure of California citizens to chromium (VI) contained in the Products without proper warning, subject to a private action to Defendant and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred.
- 33. The Notice complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding chromium (VI) exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a private action.
- 34. After receiving the Notice, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, none of the noticed appropriate public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted a cause of action against Defendant under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations which are the subject of the Notice.
- 35. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the date of the Notice to Defendant, as required by law.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(By Plaintiff against Defendant for the Violation of Proposition 65)

- 36. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 35 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 37. Defendant has, at all times mentioned herein, acted as distributer, and/or retailer of the Products.

- 38. Use of the Products will expose users and consumers thereof to chromium (VI), a hazardous chemical found on the Proposition 65 list of chemicals known to be hazardous to human health.
 - 39. The Products do not comply with the Proposition 65 warning requirements.
- 40. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that at all relevant times herein, and at least since October 25, 2021, continuing until the present, that Defendant has continued to knowingly and intentionally expose California users and consumers of the Products to chromium (VI) without providing required warnings under Proposition 65.
- 41. The exposures that are the subject of the Notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Products. The primary route of exposure to the is through dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers use, touch, or handle the Products. Increased duration of contact with the Products, natural aging of the Products, temperature, light exposure, and contact of the Products with oxidizing agents and alkaline solutions will result in increased conversion of chromium (III) to chromium (VI) in the Products and thus increased dermal exposure to chromium (VI). Additionally, oral exposure to chromium (VI) will occur by touching the Products with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth.
- 42. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that such exposures will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to purchasers and users or until this known toxic chemical is removed from the Products.
- 43. Defendant has knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Product exposes individuals to chromium (VI), and Defendant intends those exposures to chromium (VI) will occur by its deliberate, non-accidental participation in the importation, distribution, sale and offering of the Products to consumers in California
- 44. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the herein claims prior to this Complaint.
- 45. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above described acts, Defendant is liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day per violation.

1	46. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically
2	authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant.
3	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
4	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant and requests the followin
5	relief:
6	A. That the court assess civil penalties against each defendant in the amount of \$2,50
7	per day for each violation for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount pe
8	violation of \$912,000.00) in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b);
9	B. That the court preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendant mandatin
10	Proposition 65 compliant warnings on the Products;
11	C. That the court grant Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit, in the
12	amount of \$50,000.00.
13	D. That the court grant any further relief as may be just and proper.
14	Dated: October 18, 2022 BRODSKY & SMITH
15	By: Evan J. Smith (SBN242352)
16	Ryan P. Cardona (SBN302113)
17	9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212
18	Telephone: (877) 534-2590 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160
19	
20	Attorneys for Plaintiff
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	