CL TI THE DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar) Charles W. Poss (SBN 325366) Environmental Research Center, Inc.		FLEATBONION LU EU ES
3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400		ELECTRONICALLY FILED
San Diego, CA 92108	706 959 0226	Superior Court of California,
TELEPHONE NO.: 619-500-3090 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Environment	FAX NO.: 706-858-0326 tal Research Center Inc	·
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF A1		——
STREET ADDRESS: 1225 Fallon Street	anica	02/23/2022 at 01:34:37 PM
MAILING ADDRESS: 1225 Fallon Street		
city and zip code: Oakland, CA 94612		By: Cheryl Clark, Deputy Clerk
BRANCH NAME: Rene C. Davidson		
CASE NAME:		
Environmental Research Center, Inc.		CASE NUMBER:
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	
✓ Unlimited	Counter Joinder	22CV007440
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defen	dant JUDGE:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	
,	ow must be completed (see instructions	
1. Check <b>one</b> box below for the case type tha	t best describes this case:	
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14) Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
I Non DI/DD/M/D /Othor) Tort	Wrongial eviction (33)	• , ,
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Other real preparty (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)	,	Enforcement of Judgment
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13)	Unlawful Detainer  Commercial (31)	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16)	Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32)	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19)	Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38)	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25)	Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) Judicial Review	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Unlawful Detainer  Commercial (31)  Residential (32)  Drugs (38)  Judicial Review  Asset forfeiture (05)	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment	Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) Judicial Review Asset forfeiture (05) Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)  Employment Wrongful termination (36)	Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) Judicial Review Asset forfeiture (05) Petition re: arbitration award (11) Writ of mandate (02)	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)	Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) Judicial Review Asset forfeiture (05) Petition re: arbitration award (11) Writ of mandate (02) Other judicial review (39)	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  2. This case  is is not comp	Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) Judicial Review Asset forfeiture (05) Petition re: arbitration award (11) Writ of mandate (02) Other judicial review (39) plex under rule 3.400 of the California R	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  This case  is is not compactors requiring exceptional judicial management	Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) Judicial Review Asset forfeiture (05) Petition re: arbitration award (11) Writ of mandate (02) Other judicial review (39) plex under rule 3.400 of the California Regement:	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  This case  is is not compactors requiring exceptional judicial manages.  Large number of separately representations.	Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) Judicial Review Asset forfeiture (05) Petition re: arbitration award (11) Writ of mandate (02) Other judicial review (39) Detex under rule 3.400 of the California Regement: sented parties d. Large number	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  This case  is is not compactors requiring exceptional judicial manage a. Large number of separately represessing the service of the	Unlawful Detainer  Commercial (31)  Residential (32)  Drugs (38)  Judicial Review  Asset forfeiture (05)  Petition re: arbitration award (11)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other judicial review (39)  plex under rule 3.400 of the California Regement:  sented parties  difficult or novel  e. Coordination	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the er of witnesses with related actions pending in one or more courts
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  This case  is is not compactors requiring exceptional judicial manage a. Large number of separately representations. Extensive motion practice raising issues that will be time-consuming	Unlawful Detainer  Commercial (31)  Residential (32)  Drugs (38)  Judicial Review  Asset forfeiture (05)  Petition re: arbitration award (11)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other judicial review (39)  plex under rule 3.400 of the California Regement:  sented parties  difficult or novel  to resolve  Coordination  in other cour	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the er of witnesses with related actions pending in one or more courts aties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  This case  is is not completed in some sequiring exceptional judicial manage a. Large number of separately representations are sequiring exceptional practice raising issues that will be time-consuming c. Substantial amount of documental	Unlawful Detainer  Commercial (31)  Residential (32)  Drugs (38)  Judicial Review  Asset forfeiture (05)  Petition re: arbitration award (11)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other judicial review (39)  Detex under rule 3.400 of the California Regement:  sented parties  difficult or novel  to resolve  ry evidence  Substantial p	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the er of witnesses with related actions pending in one or more courts tries, states, or countries, or in a federal court postjudgment judicial supervision
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  2. This case  is is not complicators requiring exceptional judicial management a. Large number of separately representations are successive motion practice raising issues that will be time-consuming c. Substantial amount of documenta.  3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	Unlawful Detainer  Commercial (31)  Residential (32)  Drugs (38)  Judicial Review  Asset forfeiture (05)  Petition re: arbitration award (11)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other judicial review (39)  Detex under rule 3.400 of the California Regement:  sented parties  difficult or novel  to resolve  ry evidence  Substantial p	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the er of witnesses with related actions pending in one or more courts aties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  2. This case  is is not complete is not separately represented by its is not complete is not separately represented by its is not complete is not separately represented by its is not complete is not separately represented by its is not complete is not complete is not separately represented by its is not complete is not com	Unlawful Detainer  Commercial (31)  Residential (32)  Drugs (38)  Judicial Review  Asset forfeiture (05)  Petition re: arbitration award (11)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other judicial review (39)  plex under rule 3.400 of the California Regement:  sented parties  d. Large number difficult or novel  to resolve  ry evidence  f. V Substantial processors  nonmonetary;	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the er of witnesses with related actions pending in one or more courts tries, states, or countries, or in a federal court postjudgment judicial supervision
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  2. This case	Unlawful Detainer  Commercial (31)  Residential (32)  Drugs (38)  Judicial Review  Asset forfeiture (05)  Petition re: arbitration award (11)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other judicial review (39)  Polex under rule 3.400 of the California Regement:  sented parties  difficult or novel  to resolve  ry evidence  f. ✓ Substantial process action suit.	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the er of witnesses with related actions pending in one or more courts aties, states, or countries, or in a federal court postjudgment judicial supervision  declaratory or injunctive relief  C punitive
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  2. This case  is is not complete is not separately represented by its is not complete is not separately represented by its is not complete is not separately represented by its is not complete is not separately represented by its is not complete is not complete is not separately represented by its is not complete is not com	Unlawful Detainer  Commercial (31)  Residential (32)  Drugs (38)  Judicial Review  Asset forfeiture (05)  Petition re: arbitration award (11)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other judicial review (39)  Polex under rule 3.400 of the California Regement:  sented parties  difficult or novel  to resolve  ry evidence  f. ✓ Substantial process action suit.	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the er of witnesses  with related actions pending in one or more courts ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court postjudgment judicial supervision declaratory or injunctive relief  C punitive
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  This case  is is not compliators requiring exceptional judicial manage a. Large number of separately representations are subject to the separate of the separate of sepa	Unlawful Detainer  Commercial (31)  Residential (32)  Drugs (38)  Judicial Review  Asset forfeiture (05)  Petition re: arbitration award (11)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other judicial review (39)  Polex under rule 3.400 of the California Regement:  sented parties  difficult or novel  to resolve  ry evidence  f. ✓ Substantial process action suit.	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the er of witnesses  with related actions pending in one or more courts ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court postjudgment judicial supervision declaratory or injunctive relief  C punitive
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  2. This case	Unlawful Detainer  Commercial (31)  Residential (32)  Drugs (38)  Judicial Review  Asset forfeiture (05)  Petition re: arbitration award (11)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other judicial review (39)  Polex under rule 3.400 of the California Regement:  sented parties  difficult or novel  to resolve  ry evidence  f. ✓ Substantial process action suit.	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the er of witnesses  with related actions pending in one or more courts ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court postjudgment judicial supervision declaratory or injunctive relief  C punitive
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  This case  is is not compliators requiring exceptional judicial manage a. Large number of separately representations are subject to the separate of the separate of sepa	Unlawful Detainer  Commercial (31)  Residential (32)  Drugs (38)  Judicial Review  Asset forfeiture (05)  Petition re: arbitration award (11)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other judicial review (39)  Polex under rule 3.400 of the California Regement:  sented parties  d. Large number difficult or novel  to resolve  ry evidence  f. V Substantial processors  where the manual content is a content of the courty of the courty evidence  The manual content is a content of the courty evidence  The manual content is a content of the courty evidence  The manual content is a content of the courty evidence  The manual content is a content of the courty evidence  The manual content is a content of the courty evidence of the courty evidence of related case.	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the er of witnesses  with related actions pending in one or more courts ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court postjudgment judicial supervision declaratory or injunctive relief  C punitive
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  2. This case  is  is not compliance is in some separately represented by the consuming of the c	Unlawful Detainer  Commercial (31)  Residential (32)  Drugs (38)  Judicial Review  Asset forfeiture (05)  Petition re: arbitration award (11)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other judicial review (39)  Plex under rule 3.400 of the California Regement:  sented parties  d. Large number difficult or novel  to resolve  ry evidence  f. ✓ Substantial providence  where in other courry evidence  where in other courry evidence in other courry evidence  where in other courry evidence in other courry evidence  where in other courry evidence in other evidence in other courry evidence in other courry evidence in ot	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the er of witnesses with related actions pending in one or more courts aties, states, or countries, or in a federal court costjudgment judicial supervision declaratory or injunctive relief  C punitive
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  This case  is is not compliated in some state of the second in	Unlawful Detainer  Commercial (31)  Residential (32)  Drugs (38)  Judicial Review  Asset forfeiture (05)  Petition re: arbitration award (11)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other judicial review (39)  Plex under rule 3.400 of the California Regement:  sented parties  d. Large number of the court of	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the er of witnesses  with related actions pending in one or more courts aties, states, or countries, or in a federal court costjudgment judicial supervision declaratory or injunctive relief  C punitive
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  This case  is is not compliators requiring exceptional judicial manage issues that will be time-consuming c. Substantial amount of documenta  Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. Number of causes of action (specify): 2  This case  is  is not a class of if there are any known related cases, file a Date: February 23, 2022 Charles W. Poss  (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)  Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the funder the Probate Code, Family Code, or vin sanctions.	Unlawful Detainer  Commercial (31)  Residential (32)  Drugs (38)  Judicial Review  Asset forfeiture (05)  Petition re: arbitration award (11)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other judicial review (39)  Plex under rule 3.400 of the California Regement:  sented parties  difficult or novel  to resolve  ry evidence  word monetary  To monetary  Sa action suit.  Indicate the serve a notice of related case. (You  NOTICE  first paper filed in the action or proceeding the serve and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rule)	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the er of witnesses with related actions pending in one or more courts aties, states, or countries, or in a federal court costjudgment judicial supervision declaratory or injunctive relief  C punitive
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) Defamation (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)  This case  is is not compliance is sues that will be time-consuming issues that will be time-consuming c. Substantial amount of documenta  Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. Number of causes of action (specify): 2  This case  is  is not a class of there are any known related cases, file a class of the consuming cases. February 23, 2022 Charles W. Poss  Type or print name)  Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the funder the Probate Code, Family Code, or vin sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any covered.	Unlawful Detainer  Commercial (31)  Residential (32)  Drugs (38)  Judicial Review  Asset forfeiture (05)  Petition re: arbitration award (11)  Writ of mandate (02)  Other judicial review (39)  Plex under rule 3.400 of the California Regement:  sented parties  difficult or novel  to resolve  ry evidence  Ty evidence  Ty monetary  Ty monetary  By action suit.  Indicate the action or proceeding the paper filed in the action or proceeding welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Ruler sheet required by local court rule.	Enforcement of judgment (20)  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint  RICO (27)  Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)  Other petition (not specified above) (43)  ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the er of witnesses  with related actions pending in one or more courts aties, states, or countries, or in a federal court costjudgment judicial supervision declaratory or injunctive relief  C punitive

- other parties to the action or proceeding.
- other parties to the action or proceeding.

   Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

  Page 1 of 2

F. ADDENDUM TO CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET
Short Title:

Case Number:

Environmental Research Center, Inc. v. Excelsior Nutrition Inc et al.

## **CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM**

				IMITED CIVIL CASE FILINGS IN T	THE
	SUPERIOR COURT	OF CAL	.IFORN	IIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
IM Caldand Dan	o C. Davidson Alamada Cavate Cavate	(4)	10)	[ ] Hayward Hall of Justice (	,
	ne C. Davidson Alameda County Courth	ouse (44	10)	[ ] Pleasanton, Gale-Scheno	one hall of Justice (448)
Civil Case Cover Sheet Category	Civil Case Cover Sheet Case Type	Alam	eda C	ounty Case Type (check only o	one)
Auto Tort	Auto tort (22)	[ ]	34	Auto tort (G)	
		Is this	s an un	insured motorist case? [ ] yes [	] no
Other PI /PD /	Asbestos (04)	[ ]	75	Asbestos (D)	
WD Tort	Product liability (24)	[ ]	89	Product liability (not asbestos or toxic	c tort/environmental) (G)
	Medical malpractice (45)	[ ]	97	Medical malpractice (G)	
	Other PI/PD/WD tort (23)	[]	33	Other PI/PD/WD tort (G)	
Non - PI /PD /	Bus tort / unfair bus. practice (07)	[ ]	79	Bus tort / unfair bus. practice (G)	
WD Tort	Civil rights (08)	[ ]	80	Civil rights (G)	
	Defamation (13)	[ ]	84	Defamation (G)	
	Fraud (16)	[ ]	24	Fraud (G)	
	Intellectual property (19)	[ ]	87	Intellectual property (G)	
	Professional negligence (25)	[ ]	59	Professional negligence - non-medic	al (G)
	Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	[]	03	Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (G)	
Employment	Wrongful termination (36)	[ ]	38	Wrongful termination (G)	
	Other employment (15)	[ ]	85	Other employment (G)	
		[ ]	53	Labor comm award confirmation	
		[]	54	Notice of appeal - L.C.A.	
Contract	Breach contract / Wrnty (06)	[ ]	04	Breach contract / Wrnty (G)	
	Collections (09)	[ ]	81	Collections (G)	
	Insurance coverage (18)	[ ]	86	Ins. coverage - non-complex (G)	
Real Property	Other contract (37)		98	Other contract (G)	
Real Floperty	Eminent domain / Inv Cdm (14)	[]	18 17	Eminent domain / Inv Cdm (G)	
	Wrongful eviction (33) Other real property (26)	[]	17 36	Wrongful eviction (G) Other real property (G)	
Unlawful Detainer	Commercial (31)	[]	94	Unlawful Detainer - commercial	Is the deft. in possession
Ornawiai Detailiei	Residential (32)	[]	47	Unlawful Detainer - residential	of the property?
	Drugs (38)	[ ]	21	Unlawful detainer - drugs	[ ] Yes [ ] No
Judicial Review	Asset forfeiture (05)	[ ]	41	Asset forfeiture	
	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	[ ]	62	Pet. re: arbitration award	
	Writ of Mandate (02)	[ ]	49	Writ of mandate	
		Is this		QA action (Publ.Res.Code section 21	000 et seq) [ ] Yes [ ] No
	Other judicial review (39)	<u> </u>	64	Other judicial review	
Provisionally	Antitrust / Trade regulation (03)	[ ]	77	Antitrust / Trade regulation	
Complex	Construction defect (10)	[ ]	82	Construction defect	
	Claims involving mass tort (40)	[ ]	78	Claims involving mass tort	
	Securities litigation (28)	[ ]	91	Securities litigation	
	Toxic tort / Environmental (30)	K1	93	Toxic tort / Environmental	
	Ins covrg from cmplx case type (41)	[]	95	Ins covrg from complex case type	
Enforcement of	Enforcement of judgment (20)	[]	19	Enforcement of judgment	
Judgment	<u> </u>	[]	80	Confession of judgment	
Misc Complaint	RICO (27)	[ ]	90	RICO (G)	
	Partnership / Corp. governance (21)	[]	88 69	Partnership / Corp. governance (G)	
Mico Civil Detities	Other complaint (42)	[]	68	All other complaints (G)	
Misc. Civil Petition	Other petition (43)	[]	06 69	Change of name Other petition	
	1	1 1 1	UJ		

202-19 (5/1/00) A-13

## SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

## NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

EXCELSIOR NUTRITION INC, individually and dba 4EXCELSIOR and dba FRESH NUTRITION; FRESH NUTRITION INC.; and DOES 1-100

### YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC. a California non-profit corporation,

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

## ELECTRONICALLY FILED

Superior Court of California County of Alameda 02/23/2022

	OL, LO, LOLL	
Chad Finke	, Executive Officer / Clerk	of the Cour
Ву:	C. Clark	_ Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information helow

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá guitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:

(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Alameda County Superior Court

3.

1225 Fallon Street

Oakland, California 94612

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:

(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Charles W. Poss, ERC, Inc., 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Ste. 400, San Diego, CA 92108 (619) 500-3090

Chad Finke, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court DATE: 02/23/2022 Clerk, by C. Clark (Fecha) (Secretario) (For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) (Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served [SEAL] as an individual defendant. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):

(ASA)	COURT OF CHILD
(3	ON TY OF ALMHU

3.	on beha	alf of (specify):	
		CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
4.	by pers	other (specify): conal delivery on (date):	

CASE NUMBER:

22CV007440

, Deputy

(Adjunto)

#### **ELECTRONICALLY FILED** Superior Court of California, 1 Charles W. Poss (SBN 325366) County of Alameda Environmental Research Center, Inc. 2 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 02/23/2022 at 01:34:37 PM San Diego, CA 92108 3 By: Cheryl Clark, Deputy Clerk Ph: (619) 500-3090 4 Email: charles.poss@erc501c3.org Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. 5 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 **COUNTY OF ALAMEDA** 10 11 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, CASE NO. 22CV007440 INC., a California non-profit corporation 12 **COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE** Plaintiff, AND DECLARATORY RELIEF AND 13 **CIVIL PENALTIES** VS. 14 **EXCELSIOR NUTRITION INC, individually** [Toxic Tort/Environmental (30)] 15 and dba 4EXCELSIOR and dba FRESH Proposition 65, Health & Safety Code **NUTRITION; FRESH NUTRITION INC.;** Section 25249.5 et seq.] 16 and DOES 1-100 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. hereby alleges: 21 I 22 **INTRODUCTION** 23 1. Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or "ERC") brings 24 this action as a private attorney general enforcer and in the public interest pursuant to Health & 25 Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (d). The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement 26 Act of 1986 (Health & Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq.) also known as "Proposition 65," 27 mandates that businesses with ten or more employees must provide a "clear and reasonable 28 warning" prior to exposing any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or Page 1 of 8

Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties

21 | 22 |

reproductive toxicity. Lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm. This Complaint seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and civil penalties to remedy the ongoing failure of Defendants Excelsior Nutrition Inc, individually and dba 4Excelsior and dba Fresh Nutrition and Fresh Nutrition Inc. (collectively "Fresh Nutrition") and Does 1-100 (hereinafter individually referred to as "Defendant" or collectively as "Defendants"), to warn consumers that they have been exposed to lead from a number of Fresh Nutrition's nutritional health products as set forth in paragraph 3 at levels exceeding the applicable Maximum Allowable Dose Level ("MADL") and requiring a warning pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.6.

#### II

#### **PARTIES**

- 2. Plaintiff ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 3. Defendant Fresh Nutrition is a business that develops, manufactures, markets, distributes, and/or sells nutritional health products that have exposed users to lead in the State of California within the relevant statute of limitations period. These "SUBJECT PRODUCTS" (as identified in the Notice of Violation dated December 14, 2021 attached hereto as **Exhibit A**) are: (1) Fresh Nutrition All Natural Green Lipped Mussels, (2) Fresh Nutrition Ceylon Cinnamon, (3) Fresh Nutrition All Natural Turkey Tail Mushroom, (4) Fresh Nutrition All Natural Organic Ginger Root, (5) Fresh Nutrition All Natural Echinacea, and (6) Fresh Nutrition All Natural Red Reishi Mushroom. Excelsior Nutrition Inc, individually and dba 4Excelsior and dba Fresh Nutrition and Fresh Nutrition Inc. are companies subject to Proposition 65 as they employ ten or more persons and have employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action.
- 4. Defendants Does 1-100, are named herein under fictitious names, as their true names and capacities are unknown to ERC. ERC is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that

21 22

• •

each of said Does is responsible, in some actionable manner, for the events and happenings hereinafter referred to, either through said Does' conduct, or through the conduct of its agents, servants or employees, or in some other manner, causing the harms alleged by ERC in this Complaint. When said true names and capacities of Does are ascertained, ERC will seek leave to amend this Complaint to set forth the same.

#### Ш

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts. The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis for jurisdiction.
- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over Fresh Nutrition because Fresh Nutrition has sufficient minimum contacts with California, and otherwise intentionally avails itself of the California market through the marketing, distribution, and/or sale of the SUBJECT PRODUCTS in the State of California so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 7. The Complaint is based on allegations contained in the Notice of Violation dated December 14, 2021, served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Fresh Nutrition. The Notice of Violation constitutes adequate notice to Fresh Nutrition because it provided adequate information to allow Fresh Nutrition to assess the nature of the alleged violations, consistent with Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations. A certificate of merit and a certificate of service accompanied each copy of the Notice of Violation, and both certificates comply with Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations. The Notice of Violation served on Fresh Nutrition also included a copy of "The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary." Service of the Notice of Violation and accompanying documents complied with Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Violation and associated documents. More than 60 days have passed since ERC mailed the Notice of

Violation and no public enforcement entity has filed a Complaint in this case.

8. This Court is the proper venue for the action because the causes of action have arisen in the County of Alameda where some of the violations of law have occurred, and will continue to occur, due to the ongoing sale of Fresh Nutrition's products. Furthermore, venue is proper in this Court under Code of Civil Procedure section 395.5 and Health & Safety Code section 25249.7.

#### IV

## STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 9. The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 is an initiative statute passed as "Proposition 65" by an overwhelming majority vote of the people in November of 1986.
- 10. The warning requirement of Proposition 65 is contained in Health & Safety Code section 25249.6, which provides:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual, except as provided in Section 25249.10.

- 11. The Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), a division of Cal EPA, is the lead agency in charge of the implementation of Proposition 65. OEHHA administers the Proposition 65 program and administers regulations that govern Proposition 65 in general, including warnings to comply with the statute. The warning regulations are found at Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, Article 6. The regulations define expose as "to cause to ingest, inhale, contact via body surfaces or otherwise come into contact with a listed chemical. An individual may come into contact with a listed chemical through water, air, food, consumer products and any other environmental exposure as well as occupational exposures." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, § 25102, subd. (i).)
- 12. In this case, the exposures are caused by consumer products. A consumer product is defined as "any article, or component part thereof, including food, that is produced, distributed,

 or sold for the personal use, consumption or enjoyment of a consumer." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, § 25600.1, subd. (d).) Food "includes 'dietary supplements' as defined in California Code of Regulations, title 17, section 10200." (*Id.* at subd. (g).) A consumer product exposure is "an exposure that results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or any reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer product, including consumption of a food." (*Id.* at subd. (e).)

- 13. On August 30, 2016, the Office of Administrative Law approved the adoption of OEHHA's amendments to Article 6, Clear and Reasonable Warnings of the California Code of Regulations. This action repealed virtually all of the regulatory provisions of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, Article 6 (sections 25601 *et seq.*) and replaced the repealed sections with new regulations set forth in two new Subarticles to Article 6 that became operative on August 30, 2018 (the "New Warning Regulations"). The New Warning Regulations provide, among other things, methods of transmission and content of warnings deemed to comply with Proposition 65. Fresh Nutrition is subject to the warning requirements set forth in the New Warning Regulations that became operative on August 30, 2018.
- 14. Health & Safety Code section 25249.6 provides that "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual . . . ." The New Warning Regulations apply when clear and reasonable warnings are required under Section 25249.6. Pursuant to the New Warning Regulations, consumer product warnings "must be prominently displayed on a label, labeling, or sign, and must be displayed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs or devices on the label, labeling, or sign, as to render the warning likely to be seen, read, and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use." (*Id.* at § 25601, subd. (c).)
- 15. Proposition 65 establishes a procedure by which the State is to develop a list of chemicals "known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity." (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.8.) There is no duty to provide a clear and reasonable warning until 12-months after

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivisions (c) and (d).

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

V

19. Fresh Nutrition has developed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the SUBJECT PRODUCTS containing lead into the State of California. Consumption of the SUBJECT PRODUCTS according to the directions and/or recommendations provided for said products causes consumers to be exposed to lead at levels exceeding the 0.5 micrograms per day MADL and requiring a warning. Consumers have been ingesting these products for many years, without any knowledge of their exposure to this very dangerous chemical.

20. For many years, Fresh Nutrition has knowingly and intentionally exposed numerous

- -

## **EXHIBIT A**



## **Environmental Research Center**

3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108 619-500-3090

December 14, 2021

## NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 ET SEQ. (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violators and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I am the Executive Director of Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"). ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq., with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violators identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violators and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

<u>General Information about Proposition 65</u>. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is attached with the copy of this letter served to the alleged Violators identified below.

<u>Alleged Violators</u>. The names of the companies covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter the "Violators") are:

Excelsior Nutrition Inc, individually and dba 4Excelsior and dba Fresh Nutrition Fresh Nutrition Inc.

<u>Consumer Products and Listed Chemical</u>. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

- 1. Fresh Nutrition All Natural Green Lipped Mussels Lead
- 2. Fresh Nutrition Ceylon Cinnamon Lead
- 3. Fresh Nutrition All Natural Turkey Tail Mushroom Lead
- 4. Fresh Nutrition All Natural Organic Ginger Root Lead
- 5. Fresh Nutrition All Natural Echinacea Lead

#### 6. Fresh Nutrition All Natural Red Reishi Mushroom - Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

**Route of Exposure.** The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the recommended use of these products. Consequently, the route of exposure to this chemical has been and continues to be through ingestion.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least December 14, 2018, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until this known toxic chemical is either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemical. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violators violated Proposition 65 because they failed to provide persons ingesting these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to this chemical.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violator to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemical, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty; and (3) provide clear and reasonable warnings compliant with Proposition 65 to all persons located in California who purchased the above products in the last three years. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemical, as well as an expensive and time-consuming litigation.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to ERC at the above listed address and telephone number.

Sincerely,

Chris Heptinstall
Executive Director
Environmental Research Center

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Excelsior Nutrition Inc, individually and dba 4Excelsior and dba Fresh Nutrition; Fresh Nutrition Inc.; and their Registered Agents for Service of Process only) Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

## **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

Re: Environmental Research Center, Inc.'s Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Excelsior Nutrition Inc, individually and dba 4Excelsior and dba Fresh Nutrition; Fresh Nutrition Inc.

## I, Chris Heptinstall, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
  - 2. I am the Executive Director for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

1/11sth

	May Street Will	
Dated: December 14, 2021		
,	Chris Heptinstall	

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE PURSUANT TO 27 CCR § 25903

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States and over the age of 18 years of age. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On December 14, 2021, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties listed below and depositing it in a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current President or CEO Excelsior Nutrition Inc, individually and dba 4Excelsior and dba Fresh Nutrition 1206 N Miller St, Ste D Anaheim, CA 92806

Current President or CEO Fresh Nutrition Inc. 155 N Riverview Dr Anaheim, CA 92808

Current President or CEO Fresh Nutrition Inc. 23860 Nicole Way Yorba Linda, CA 92887

Current President or CEO Fresh Nutrition Inc. 5305 River Rd N, Ste B Keizer, OR 97303

Current President or CEO Fresh Nutrition Inc. 1601 Dove St Newport Beach, CA 92660 Current President or CEO
Excelsior Nutrition Inc, individually and dba
4Excelsior and dba Fresh Nutrition
1601 Dove St
Newport Beach, CA 92660

Benjamin Painter (Registered Agent for Fresh Nutrition Inc.) 155 N Riverview Dr Anaheim, CA 92808

Steven Jon Flipse (Registered Agent for Excelsior Nutrition Inc, individually and dba 4Excelsior and dba Fresh Nutrition) 1206 N Miller St, Ste D Anaheim, CA 92806

Ying Chen (Registered Agent for Excelsior Nutrition Inc, individually and dba 4Excelsior and dba Fresh Nutrition) 1 Chapin Rd, Unit 1 Pine Brook, NJ 07058

Northwest Registered Agent LLC (Registered Agent for Fresh Nutrition Inc.) 5305 River Rd N Ste B Keizer, OR 97303

On December 14, 2021, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I verified the following documents NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) were served on the following party when a true and correct copy thereof was uploaded on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On December 14, 2021, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, verified the following documents **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** *ET SEQ.*; **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** were served on the following parties when a true and correct copy thereof was sent via electronic mail to each of the parties listed below:

Nancy O'Malley, District Attorney Alameda County 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 650 Oakland, CA 94621 CEPDProp65@acgov.org

Barbara Yook, District Attorney Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249 Prop65Env@co.calaveras.ca.us

Stacey Grassini, Deputy District Attorney Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553 sgrassini@contracostada.org

Thomas L. Hardy, District Attorney Inyo County 168 North Edwards Street Independence, CA 93526 inyoda@inyocounty.us

Michelle Latimer, Program Coordinator Lassen County 220 S. Lassen Street Susanville, CA 96130 mlatimer@co.lassen.ca.us

Walter W. Wall, District Attorney Mariposa County P.O. Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338 mcda@mariposacounty.org

Kimberly Lewis, District Attorney Merced County 550 West Main St Merced, CA 95340 Prop65@countyofmerced.com

Jeannine M. Pacioni, District Attorney Monterey County 1200 Aguajito Road Monterey, CA 93940 Prop65DA@co.monterey.ca.us Allison Haley, District Attorney Napa County 1127 First Street, Ste C Napa, CA 94559 CEPD@countyofnapa.org

Clifford H. Newell, District Attorney Nevada County 201 Commercial St Nevada City, CA 95959 DA.Prop65@co.nevada.ca.us

Morgan Briggs Gire, District Attorney Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive Roseville, CA 95678 Prop65@placer.ca.gov

David Hollister, District Attorney Plumas County 520 Main St Quincy, CA 95971 davidhollister@countyofplumas.com

Paul E. Zellerbach, District Attorney Riverside County 3072 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501 Prop65@rivcoda.org

Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney Sacramento County 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95814 Prop65@sacda.org

Summer Stephan, District Attorney San Diego County 330 West Broadway San Diego, CA 92101 SanDiegoDAProp65@sdcda.org

Mark Ankcorn, Deputy City Attorney San Diego City Attorney 1200 Third Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 CityAttyProp65@sandiego.gov

Alethea Sargent, Assistant District Attorney White Collar Division San Francisco District Attorney's Office 350 Rhode Island Street North Building, Suite 400N San Francisco, CA 94103 alethea.sargent@sfgov.org

Valerie Lopez, Deputy City Attorney San Francisco City Attorney 1390 Market Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 Valerie.Lopez@sfcityatty.org

Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202 DAConsumer.Environmental@sjcda.org

Eric J. Dobroth, Deputy District Attorney San Luis Obispo County County Government Center Annex, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 edobroth@co.slo.ca.us

Christopher Dalbey, Deputy District Attorney Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 DAProp65@co.santa-barbara.ca.us Bud Porter, Supervising Deputy District Attorney Santa Clara County 70 W Hedding St San Jose, CA 95110 EPU@da.sccgov.org

Jeffrey S. Rosell, District Attorney Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Prop65DA@santacruzcounty.us

Stephan R. Passalacqua, District Attorney Sonoma County 600 Administration Dr Sonoma, CA 95403 jbarnes@sonoma-county.org

Phillip J. Cline, District Attorney Tulare County 221 S Mooney Blvd Visalia, CA 95370 Prop65@co.tulare.ca.us

Gregory D. Totten, District Attorney Ventura County 800 S Victoria Ave Ventura, CA 93009 daspecialops@ventura.org

Jeff W. Reisig, District Attorney Yolo County 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 cfepd@yolocounty.org

On December 14, 2021, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, I served the following documents: **NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5** *ET SEQ.*; **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT** on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on December 14, 2021, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Phyllis Junes J. Phyllis Dunwoody

#### **Service List**

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, Suite 202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 778 Pacific St. Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453 District Attorney, Los Angeles County Hall of Justice 211 West Temple St., Ste 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Orange County 300 N Flower St Santa Ana, CA 92703

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney,San Bernardino County 303 West Third Street San Bernadino, CA 92415

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County Post Office Box 457 100 Courthouse Square, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097 District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter County 463 2<sup>nd</sup> Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113

#### APPENDIX A

## OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH HAZARD ASSESSMENT CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY

The following summary has been prepared by the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), the lead agency for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (commonly known as "Proposition 65"). A copy of this summary must be included as an attachment to any notice of violation served upon an alleged violator of the Act. The summary provides basic information about the provisions of the law, and is intended to serve only as a convenient source of general information. It is not intended to provide authoritative guidance on the meaning or application of the law. The reader is directed to the statute and OEHHA implementing regulations (see citations below) for further information.

FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE BASIS FOR THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE NOTICE RELATED TO YOUR BUSINESS, CONTACT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON THE NOTICE.

The text of Proposition 65 (Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 through 25249.13) is available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65law72003.html. Regulations that provide more specific guidance on compliance, and that specify procedures to be followed by the State in carrying out certain aspects of the law, are found in Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 25102 through 27001.¹ These implementing regulations are available online at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/P65Regs.html.

#### WHAT DOES PROPOSITION 65 REQUIRE?

The "Proposition 65 List." Under Proposition 65, the lead agency (OEHHA) publishes a list of chemicals that are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or reproductive toxicity. Chemicals are placed on the Proposition 65 list if they are known to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, such as damage to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All further regulatory references are to sections of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations unless otherwise indicated. The statute, regulations and relevant case law are available on the OEHHA website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html.

female or male reproductive systems or to the developing fetus. This list must be updated at least once a year. The current Proposition 65 list of chemicals is available on the OEHHA website at: <a href="http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65\_list/Newlist.html">http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/prop65\_list/Newlist.html</a>.

Only those chemicals that are on the list are regulated under Proposition 65. Businesses that produce, use, release or otherwise engage in activities involving listed chemicals must comply with the following:

Clear and reasonable warnings. A business is required to warn a person before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing that person to a listed chemical unless an exemption applies. The warning given must be "clear and reasonable." This means that the warning must: (1) clearly make known that the chemical involved is known to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm; and (2) be given in such a way that it will effectively reach the person before he or she is exposed to that chemical. Some exposures are exempt from the warning requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

**Prohibition from discharges into drinking water.** A business must not knowingly discharge or release a listed chemical into water or onto land where it passes or probably will pass into a source of drinking water. Some discharges are exempt from this requirement under certain circumstances discussed below.

#### DOES PROPOSITION 65 PROVIDE ANY EXEMPTIONS?

Yes. You should consult the current version of the statute and regulations (http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/index.html) to determine all applicable exemptions, the most common of which are the following:

**Grace Period.** Proposition 65 warning requirements do not apply until 12 months after the chemical has been listed. The Proposition 65 discharge prohibition does not apply to a discharge or release of a chemical that takes place less than 20 months after the listing of the chemical.

**Governmental agencies and public water utilities.** All agencies of the federal, state or local government, as well as entities operating public water systems, are exempt.

**Businesses with nine or fewer employees.** Neither the warning requirement nor the discharge prohibition applies to a business that employs a total of nine or fewer employees. This includes all employees, not just those present in California.

Exposures that pose no significant risk of cancer. For chemicals that are listed under Proposition 65 as known to the State to cause cancer, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure occurs at a level that poses "no significant risk." This means that the exposure is calculated to result in not more than one excess case of cancer in 100,000 individuals exposed over a 70-year lifetime. The Proposition 65 regulations identify specific "No Significant Risk Levels" (NSRLs) for many listed carcinogens. Exposures below these levels are exempt from the warning requirement. See OEHHA's website at:

http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of NSRLs, and Section 25701 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

**Exposures that will produce no observable reproductive effect at 1,000 times the level in question.** For chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, a warning is not required if the business causing the exposure can demonstrate that the exposure will produce no observable effect, even at 1,000 times the level in question. In other words, the level of exposure must be below the "no observable effect level" divided by 1,000. This number is known as the Maximum Allowable Dose Level (MADL). See OEHHA's website at: http://www.oehha.ca.gov/prop65/getNSRLs.html for a list of MADLs, and Section 25801 *et seq.* of the regulations for information concerning how these levels are calculated.

**Exposures to Naturally Occurring Chemicals in Food.** Certain exposures to chemicals that naturally occur in foods (i.e., that do not result from any known human activity, including activity by someone other than the person causing the exposure) are exempt from the warning requirements of the law. If the chemical is a contaminant<sup>2</sup> it must be reduced to the lowest level feasible. Regulations explaining this exemption can be found in Section 25501.

Discharges that do not result in a "significant amount" of the listed chemical entering any source of drinking water. The prohibition from discharges into drinking water does not apply if the discharger is able to demonstrate that a "significant amount" of the listed chemical has not, does not, or will not pass into or probably pass into a source of drinking water, and that the discharge complies with all other applicable laws, regulations, permits, requirements, or orders. A "significant amount" means any detectable amount, except an amount that would meet the "no significant risk" level for chemicals that cause cancer or that is 1,000 times below the "no observable effect" level for chemicals that cause reproductive toxicity, if an individual were exposed to that amount in drinking water.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Section 25501(a)(4).

#### **HOW IS PROPOSITION 65 ENFORCED?**

Enforcement is carried out through civil lawsuits. These lawsuits may be brought by the Attorney General, any district attorney, or certain city attorneys. Lawsuits may also be brought by private parties acting in the public interest, but only after providing notice of the alleged violation to the Attorney General, the appropriate district attorney and city attorney, and the business accused of the violation. The notice must provide adequate information to allow the recipient to assess the nature of the alleged violation. The notice must comply with the information and procedural requirements specified in Section 25903 of Title 27 and sections 3100-3103 of Title 11. A private party may not pursue an independent enforcement action under Proposition 65 if one of the governmental officials noted above initiates an enforcement action within sixty days of the notice.

A business found to be in violation of Proposition 65 is subject to civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation. In addition, the business may be ordered by a court to stop committing the violation.

A private party may not file an enforcement action based on certain exposures if the alleged violator meets specific conditions. For the following types of exposures, the Act provides an opportunity for the business to correct the alleged violation:

- An exposure to alcoholic beverages that are consumed on the alleged violator's premises to the extent onsite consumption is permitted by law;
- An exposure to a Proposition 65 listed chemical in a food or beverage prepared and sold on the alleged violator's premises that is primarily intended for immediate consumption on- or off-premises. This only applies if the chemical was not intentionally added to the food, and was formed by cooking or similar preparation of food or beverage components necessary to render the food or beverage palatable or to avoid microbiological contamination;
- An exposure to environmental tobacco smoke caused by entry of persons (other than employees) on premises owned or operated by the alleged violator where smoking is permitted at any location on the premises;
- An exposure to listed chemicals in engine exhaust, to the extent the exposure occurs inside a facility owned or operated by the alleged violator and primarily intended for parking non-commercial vehicles.

If a private party alleges that a violation occurred based on one of the exposures described above, the private party must first provide the alleged violator a notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form.

A copy of the notice of special compliance procedure and proof of compliance form is included in Appendix B and can be downloaded from OEHHA's website at: http://oehha.ca.gov/prop65/law/p65law72003.html.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE LAW OR REGULATIONS...

Contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900 or via e-mail at P65Public.Comments@oehha.ca.gov.

Revised: May 2017

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 25249.12, Health and Safety Code. Reference: Sections 25249.5, 25249.6, 25249.7, 25249.9, 25249.10 and 25249.11, Health and Safety Code.