| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 CHANLER, LLC 72 Huckleberry Hill Road New Canaan, CT 06840 Telephone: (203) 594-9246 Facsimile: (203) 702-5011 Email: Clifford@ChanlerLLC.com Steven Y. Chen, State Bar No. 243200 STEVEN Y. CHEN, A PROFESSIONAL LAW 2650 River Avenue, Unit A Rosemead, CA 91702 Telephone: (626) 782-5017 Facsimile: (626) 307-1657 Email: Schen@Schenlaw.com | FI Superior County 09/ Clerk | RONICALLY L E D Court of California, of San Francisco 28/2022 of the Court FFREY FLORES Deputy Clerk | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| 9 10 | Attorneys for Plaintiff PAUL WOZNIAK | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | | | |
| 13 | COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CGC-22-602014 | | | | |
| 14 | UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | PAUL WOZNIAK, | Case No. | | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff, | | | | |
| 18 | V. | COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL AND INJUNCTIVE RELIE | | | |
| 19 | ALCO SALES & SERVICE CO.; | (Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.) | | | |
| 20 | AMAZON.COM, INC., Defendants. | | | | |
| 21 | Derendants. | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |
| 28 | COMDI AINT EOD CIVIL DENIA | LTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF | | | |

NATURE OF THE ACTION 1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by plaintiff PAUL WOZNIAK in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be informed of the health hazards caused by exposures to di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP) and Diisononyl Phthalate (DINP), toxic chemicals found in and on padded armrest pads used for wheelchairs (Products) sold by defendants ALCO SALES & SERVICE CO. and AMAZON.COM, INC. in California.

8 2. By this Complaint, plaintiff seeks to remedy defendants' continuing failure to warn
9 individuals not covered by California's Occupational Safety Health Act, Labor Code §6300 *et*10 *seq.*, about the risks of exposure to DEHP and DINP present in and on the Products that are
11 manufactured, distributed, and offered for sale or use throughout the State of California.
12 Individuals not covered by California's Occupational Safety Health Act, Labor Code §6300 *et*13 *seq.*, who purchase, use or handle defendants' Products, are referred to hereinafter as "consumers."

3. Detectable levels of DEHP and DINP are found in and on Products that defendants
manufacture, distribute, and/or offer for sale to consumers and other individuals throughout the
State of California.

4. Under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at
Health & safety Code §25249.6 *et seq.* (Proposition 65), "[n]o person in the course of doing
business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state
to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such
individual . . ." Health & Safety Code §25249.6.

5. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on October 24, 2003, California identified and listed
DEHP as a chemical known to cause birth defects (and reproductive harm). DEHP became
subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of the act one year later on October 24,
2004. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, §27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§25249.8 and 25249.10(b).

26 6. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on December 20, 2013, California identified and listed
27 DINP as a chemical known to cause cancer. DINP became subject to the "clear and reasonable

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

1 warning" requirements of the act one year later on December 20, 2014. Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27,
2 §27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§25249.8 and 25249.10(b).

7. Defendants manufacture, distribute, import, sells, and offer for sale without health
hazard warnings in California, the Products including, but not limited to, the *Alco Heavy Duty Desk Length 10-1/4"*) *Wheelchair Arm Pads, Black, #AL66055BK-A3, ASIN #B0767VLR6F*All
such Products containing DEHP and DINP that were (and in many instances continue to be)
manufactured, distributed, sold, or offered for sale in the State of California are referred to
collectively hereinafter as "PRODUCTS."

9 8. Defendants' failure to warn consumers and other individuals in the State of
10 California of the health hazards associated with exposures to DEHP and DINP in conjunction with
11 defendants' sales of the PRODUCTS are violations of Proposition 65, and subject the defendants
12 to enjoinment of such conduct as well as civil penalties for each violation. Health & Safety Code
13 §25249.7(a) and (b)(1). Violative sales of the PRODUCTS include transactions by downstream
14 sellers such as online retailers.

9. For defendants' violations of Proposition 65, plaintiff seeks preliminary and
permanent injunctive relief to compel defendants to provide consumers of the PRODUCTS with
the required warning regarding the health hazards associated with exposures to DEHP and DINP.
Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a).

19 10. Pursuant to Health & safety Code §25249.7(b), plaintiff also seeks civil penalties
20 against defendants for their violations of Proposition 65.

21

PARTIES

11. Plaintiff PAUL WOZNIAK is a citizen of the State of California who is dedicated
to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination or reduction of toxic
exposures from consumer products, and he brings this action in the public interest pursuant to
Health & safety Code §25249.7(d).

26 12. Defendant ALCO SALES & SERVICE CO. is a person in the course of doing
27 business within the meaning of Health & safety Code §§25249.6 and 25249.11.

28

1 13. ALCO SALES & SERVICE CO. manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or 2 offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California, or implies by its conduct that it 3 manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State 4 of California. 5 14. Defendant AMAZON.COM, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within 6 the meaning of Health & safety Code §§25249.6 and 25249.11. 7 15. AMAZON.COM, INC. manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the 8 PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State of California, or implies by its conduct that it 9 manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the PRODUCTS for sale or use in the State 10 of California. 11 16. ALCO SALES & SERVICE CO. and AMAZON.COM, INC. shall hereinafter, 12 where appropriate, be referred to as the "DEFENDANTS." 13 VENUE AND JURISDICTION 17. 14 Venue is proper in the Superior Court for the County of San Francisco pursuant to 15 Code of Civil Procedure §§393, 395, and 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent 16 jurisdiction, because plaintiff seeks civil penalties against DEFENDANTS, because one or more 17 instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur, in this county, and/or because DEFENDANTS conducted, and continue to conduct, business in San Francisco with respect to the 18 19 PRODUCTS. 20 18. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 21 California Constitution Article VI, §10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in 22 all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action 23 is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction. 24 19. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over DEFENDANTS based on 25 plaintiff's information and good faith belief that DEFENDANTS are each a person, firm, 26 corporation or association that is a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum 27 contacts in the State of California, and/or otherwise purposefully avails itself of the California 28 3

market. DEFENDANTS' purposeful availment renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction by 1 2 California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. 3 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 4 (Violation of Proposition 65) 5 20. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, 6 Paragraphs 1 through 18, inclusive. 7 21. In enacting Proposition 65, in the preamble to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic 8 Enforcement Act of 1986, the People of California expressly declared their right "[t]o be informed 9 about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." 10 22. Proposition 65 states, "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly 11 and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or 12 reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual" 13 Health & Safety Code §25249.6. 23. 14 On June 1, 2022, plaintiff served a 60-Day Notice of Violation (the Notice), together with a certificate of merit, on ALCO SALES & SERVICE CO. and AMAZON.COM, 15 16 INC., the California Attorney General's Office, and the requisite public enforcement agencies 17 alleging that, as a result of DEFENDANTS' sales of the PRODUCTS, consumers in the State of 18 California are being exposed to DEHP and DINP resulting from their reasonably foreseeable use 19 of the PRODUCTS, without the consumers first receiving a "clear and reasonable warning" 20 regarding the harms associated with exposures to DEHP and DINP, as required by Proposition 65. 21 24. DEFENDANTS manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and offer the PRODUCTS for 22 sale or use in violation of Health & safety Code §25249.6, and DEFENDANTS' violations have 23 continued beyond their receipt of plaintiff's Notice. As such, DEFENDANTS' violations are 24 ongoing and continuous in nature and, unless enjoined will continue in the future. 25 25. After receiving plaintiff's Notice, no public enforcement agency has commenced 26 and diligently prosecuted a cause of action against DEFENDANTS under Proposition 65 to 27 enforce the alleged violations that are the subject of plaintiff's Notice. 28 4

Che PRODUCTS that DEFENDANTS manufacture, import, distribute, sell, and
 offer for sale or use in California cause exposures to DEHP and DINP as a result of the reasonably
 foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS. Such exposures caused by DEFENDANTS and endured by
 consumers and other individuals in California are not exempt from the "clear and reasonable"
 warning requirements of Proposition 65, yet DEFENDANTS provide no warning.

6 27. DEFENDANTS knew or should have known that the PRODUCTS it manufactures,
7 imports, distributes, sells, and offers for sale in California contain DEHP and DINP.

8 28. DEHP and DINP are present in or on the PRODUCTS in such a way as to expose
9 consumers through dermal contact and/or ingestion during reasonably foreseeable use.

10 29. The normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS has caused, and
11 continues to cause, consumer product exposures to DEHP and DINP, as defined by title 27 of the
12 California Code of Regulations, §25600.1(e).

1330.DEFENDANTS know that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the14PRODUCTS exposes individuals to DEHP and DINP through dermal contact and/or ingestion.

15 31. DEFENDANTS intended that exposures to DEHP and DINP from the reasonably
16 foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS will occur by their deliberate, non-accidental participation in
17 the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, and offering of the PRODUCTS for sale or use to
18 consumers in California.

19 32. DEFENDANTS failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those
20 consumers in California who have been, or who will be, exposed to DEHP and DINP through
21 dermal contact and/or ingestion resulting from their use of the PRODUCTS.

33. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65 enacted
directly by California voters, consumers exposed to DEHP and DINP through dermal contact
and/or ingestion as a result of their use of the PRODUCTS that DEFENDANTS sold without a
"clear and reasonable" health hazard warning, have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable
harm for which they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.

- 27
- 28

| 1 | 34. Pursuant to Health & safety Code §25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above- | | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | described acts, DEFENDANTS are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day for each | | | | |
| 3 | violation. | | | | |
| 4 | 35. | As a consequence of the above-de | scribed acts, Health & safety Code §25249.7(a) | | |
| 5 | also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against DEFENDANTS. | | | | |
| 6 | PRAYER FOR RELIEF | | | | |
| 7 | Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against DEFENDANTS as follows: | | | | |
| 8 | 1. | 1. That the Court, pursuant to Health & safety Code §25249.7(b), assess civil penalties | | | |
| 9 | against DEFENDANTS in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation; | | | | |
| 10 | 2. | 2. That the Court, pursuant to Health & safety Code §25249.7(a), preliminarily and | | | |
| 11 | permanently enjoin DEFENDANTS from manufacturing, distributing, or offering the | | | | |
| 12 | PRODUCTS for sale or use in California without first providing a "clear and reasonable warning" | | | | |
| 13 | in accordance with title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, §25600 et seq., regarding the | | | | |
| 14 | harms associated with exposures to DEHP and DINP; | | | | |
| 15 | 3. | That the Court, pursuant to Health | a & safety Code §25249.7(a), issue preliminary | | |
| 16 | and permanent injunctions mandating that DEFENDANTS recall all PRODUCTS currently in the | | | | |
| 17 | chain of commerce in California without a "clear and reasonable warning" as defined by | | | | |
| 18 | California Code of Regulations title 27, §25600 et seq.; | | | | |
| 19 | 4. | That the Court grant plaintiff his r | easonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and | | |
| 20 | 5. | That the Court grant such other an | d further relief as may be just and proper. | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | Dated: September 28, 2022 | | Respectfully submitted, | | |
| 23 | | | CHANLER, LLC | | |
| 24 | | | Chips cer | | |
| 25 | | | By: | | |
| 26 | | | Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff PAUL WOZNIAK | | |
| 27 | | | FAOL WOZNIAK | | |
| 28 | | | | | |
| | | COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENA | 6 LTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF | | |
| | | | | | |