1	Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352) Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113)	ELECTRONICALLY	
2	BRODSKY SMITH 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900	FILED Superior Court of California,	
3	Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: (877) 534-2590	County of San Francisco 08/02/2023	
4	Facsimile: (310) 247-0160	Clerk of the Court BY: JEFFREY FLORES	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	Deputy Clerk	
6	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
7	COUNTY OF	SAN FRANCISCO CGC-23-60805	6
8	EMA DELL	Case No.:	
9	EMA BELL,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND	
10	Plaintiff,	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
11	VS.	(Violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.)	
12	THE TJX COMPANIES, INC.,		
13	Defendant.		
14	Plaintiff Ema Bell ("Plaintiff"), by and	d through her attorneys, alleges the following cause	
15	of action in the public interest of the citizens of	of the State of California.	
16	BACKGROU	ND OF THE CASE	
17	1. Plaintiff brings this representa	ative action on behalf of all California citizens to	
18	enforce relevant portions of Safe Drinking Wa	ater and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at	
19	the Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 et sec	q ("Proposition 65"), which reads, in relevant part,	
20	"[n]o person in the course of doing busine	ess shall knowingly and intentionally expose any	
21	individual to a chemical known to the state to	cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first	
22	giving clear and reasonable warning to such ir	ndividual". Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.	
23	2. This complaint is a representat	ive action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest	
24	of the citizens of the State of California to enf	force the People's right to be informed of the health	
25	hazards caused by exposure to lead, diethanol	amine (DEA), and/or diisononyl phthalate (DINP),	
26	toxic chemicals found in products sold and/or	distributed by defendant The TJX Companies, Inc.	
27	("TJX" or "Defendant") in California.		
28			
	.	e 1 ec	

- 3. Lead¹ is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. DEA² and DINP³ are harmful chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer.
- 4. Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations. Included in such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing a Proposition 65-listed chemical that will create an exposure above safe harbor levels with a "clear and reasonable" warning before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing any person to any such listed chemical.
- 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000.00) to be imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code \$ 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin the actions of a defendant which "violate or threaten to violate" the statute. Health & Safety Code \$ 25249.7.
- 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant distributes and/or offers for sale in California, without a requisite exposure warning, (a) *Nicole Miller*® heels, # 2518-1850-374452-01 (DINP), (b) Retinol facial essence masks, UPC # 4582419536982 (DEA), (c) *Cooksmart*® fine china mugs, # 2865-708246 (lead), and (d) *Heathcote & Ivory*® magical myth marvel mugs, # 9418-639010

¹ On October 1, 1992, the state of California listed lead as a chemical known to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On February 27, 1987, the State of California listed lead as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

² On June 22, 2012, the State of California listed DEA as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b).

On December 20, 2013, the State of California listed DINP as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b).

(lead), (collectively, the "Products") that expose persons to lead, DEA, and/or DINP when used for their intended purpose.

- 7. Defendant's failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the health hazards associated with exposure to lead, DEA, and/or DINP in conjunction with the sale and/or distribution of the Products is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendant to the enjoinment and civil penalties described herein.
- 8. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendant for its violations of Proposition 65 in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).
- 9. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief, preliminarily and permanently, requiring Defendant to provide purchasers or users of the Products with required warnings related to the dangers and health hazards associated with exposure to lead, DEA, and/or DINP pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a).
 - 10. Plaintiff further seeks a reasonable award of attorney's fees and costs.

PARTIES

- 11. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. She brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 12. Defendant TJX, through its business, effectively imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California.
- 13. Plaintiff alleges that defendant TJX is a "person" in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

14. Venue is proper in the County of San Francisco because one or more of the instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur in this county and/or because Defendant conducted, and continues to conduct, business in the County of San Francisco with respect to the Products.

- 15. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 allows for the enforcement of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction; therefore, this Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit.
- 16. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is either a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, is registered with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do business in the State of California, and/or has otherwise purposefully availed itself of the California market. Such purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts consistent and permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 17. The people of the State of California declared in Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." (Section 1(b) of Initiative Measure, Proposition 65.)
- 18. To effect this goal, Proposition 65 requires that individuals be provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" before being exposed to substances listed by the State of California as causing cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. H&S Code § 25249.6 states, in pertinent part:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual...

19. An exposure to a chemical in a consumer product is one "which results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." (27 CCR § 25602, para (b).) H&S Code § 25603(c) states that "a person in the course of doing business ... shall provide a warning to any person to whom the product is sold or transferred unless the product is packaged or labeled with a clear and reasonable warning."

- 20. Pursuant to H&S Code § 25603.1, the warning may be provided by using one or more of the following methods individually or in combination:⁴
 - a. A warning that appears on a product's label or other labeling.
 - b. Identification of the product at the retail outlet in a manner which provides a warning. Identification may be through shelf labeling, signs, menus, or a combination thereof.
 - c. The warnings provided pursuant to subparagraphs (a) and (b) shall be prominently placed upon a product's labels or other labeling or displayed at the retail outlet with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices in the label, labeling or display as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use.
 - d. A system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free information services, or any other system that provides clear and reasonable warnings.
- 21. Proposition 65 provides that any "person who violates or threatens to violate" the statute may be enjoined in a court of competent jurisdiction. (H&S Code § 25249.7.) The phrase "threaten to violate" is defined to mean creating "a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." (H&S Code § 25249.11(e).) Violators are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day for each violation of the Act (H&S Code § 25249.7) for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000.00).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

22. On October 1, 1992, the state of California listed lead as a chemical known to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On February 27, 1987, the State of California listed lead as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other

⁴ Alternatively, a person in the course of doing business may elect to comply with the warning requirements set out in the amended version of 27 CCR 25601, *et.seq.*. as amended on August 30, 2016, and operative on August 30, 2018.

reproductive harm. In summary, lead was listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

- 23. On December 20, 2013, the State of California listed DINP as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b).
- 24. On June 22, 2012, the State of California listed DEA as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b).
- 25. The exposures that are the subject of the Notices result from the purchase, acquisition, handling, and recommended use of the Products. The primary route of exposure to lead, DEA, and/or DINP is through dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers use, touch, or handle the Products. Exposure through ingestion will occur by touching the Product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth. No clear and reasonable warning is provided with the Products regarding the health hazards of exposure.
- 26. Defendant has processed, marketed, distributed, offered to sell and/or sold the Products in California since at least August 3, 2022 with respect to the *Nicole Miller*® Product; since at least August 4, 2022 with respect to the Retinol facial essence masks; since at least August 18, 2022 with respect to the *Cooksmart*® Product; and since at least February 3, 2023 with respect to the *Heathcote & Ivory*® Product. The Products continue to be distributed and sold in California without the requisite warning information.
- 27. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant has knowingly and intentionally exposed users and/or consumers of the Products to lead, DEA, and/or DINP without first giving a clear and reasonable exposure warning to such individuals.
- 28. As a proximate result of acts by Defendant, as a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of H&S Code § 25249.11, individuals throughout the State of California, including in San Francisco County, have been exposed to lead, DEA, and/or DINP without a clear and reasonable warning on the Products. The individuals subject to the violative

exposures include normal and foreseeable users and consumers that use the Products, as well as all others exposed to the Products.

SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMNTS

- 29. Plaintiff purchased the Products from TJX. At the time of purchase, TJX did not provide a Proposition 65 exposure warning for lead, DEA, DINP, or any other Proposition 65 listed chemical in a manner consistent with H&S Code § 25603.1 as described *supra*.
- 30. The *Cooksmart*® product and *Heathcote* & *Ivory*® product were sent to a testing laboratory to determine if, and what amount of lead would migrate and/or leach from the products; the *Nicole Miller*® product was sent to a testing laboratory for phthalate testing to determine the phthalate content of the product; and the Retinol facial essence masks were sent to a testing laboratory for diethanolamine testing to determine the diethanolamine content of the product.
- 31. For each Product that was sent to the laboratory, Plaintiff received a chemical test report (collectively, the "Chemical Test Reports"). The Chemical Test Report findings determined the *Cooksmart*® product and *Heathcote & Ivory*® product exposes users to lead; the *Nicole Miller*® product exposes users to DINP; and the Retinol facial essence masks exposes users to DEA.
- 32. Plaintiff provided each Chemical Test Report and each Product to an analytical chemist to determine if, based on the findings of the Chemical Test Reports and the reasonable and foreseeable use of the Products, exposure to lead, DEA, and/or DINP will occur at levels that require Proposition 65 warnings under the Clear and Reasonable Warnings section 25601 of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations.
- 33. On August 3, 2022, Plaintiff received from the analytical chemist an exposure assessment report for the *Nicole Miller*® product that concluded that persons in California who use the *Nicole Miller*® product will be exposed to levels of DINP that require a Proposition 65 exposure warning. On August 4, 2022, Plaintiff received from the analytical chemist an exposure assessment report for the Retinol facial essence masks that concluded that persons in California who use the Retinol facial essence masks will be exposed to levels of DEA that require a Proposition 65 exposure warning. On August 18, 2022, Plaintiff received from the analytical

chemist an exposure assessment report for the *Cooksmart*® product that concluded that persons in California who use the *Cooksmart*® product will be exposed to levels of lead that require a Proposition 65 exposure warning. On February 3, 2023, Plaintiff received from the analytical chemist an exposure assessment report for the *Heathcote & Ivory*® product that concluded that persons in California who use the *Heathcote & Ivory*® product will be exposed to levels of lead that require a Proposition 65 exposure warning.

- 34. On August 3, 2022 (*Nicole Miller*® heels), August 4, 2022 (Retinol facial essence masks), August 18, 2022 (*Cooksmart*® fine china mugs), and February 3, 2023 (*Heathcote & Ivory*® magical myth marvel mugs), Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 (collectively, the "Notices") to Defendant concerning the exposure of California citizens to lead, DEA and/or DINP contained in the Products without proper warning, subject to a private action to Defendant and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred. See attached at Exhibits "A" "D" a true and correct copy of the Notices.
- 35. The Notices complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding lead, DEA, and/or DINP exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a private action.
- 36. After receiving the Notices, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, none of the noticed appropriate public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted a cause of action against Defendant under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations which are the subject of the Notices.
- 37. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the date of each Notice to Defendant, as required by law.

4

3

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(By Plaintiff against Defendant for the Violation of Proposition 65)

- 38. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 37 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 39. Defendant has, at all times mentioned herein, acted as distributer, and/or retailer of the Products.
- 40. Use of the Products will expose users to lead, DEA, and/or DINP, hazardous chemicals found on the Proposition 65 list of chemicals known to be hazardous to human health.
 - 41. The Products do not comply with the Proposition 65 warning requirements.
- 42. Plaintiff, based on her best information and belief, avers that at all relevant times herein, and at least since at least August 3, 2022 with respect to the *Nicole Miller*® heels; since at least August 4, 2022 with respect to the Retinol facial essence masks; since at least August 18, 2022 with respect to the Cooksmart® fine china mugs; and since at least February 3, 2023 with respect to the *Heathcote & Ivory*® magical myth marvel mugs, continuing until the present, that Defendant has continued to knowingly and intentionally expose California users and consumers of the Products to lead, DEA, and/or DINP without providing required warnings under Proposition 65.
- 43. The exposures that are the subject of the Notices result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Products. The primary route of exposure to the is through dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers use, touch, or handle the Products. Exposure through ingestion will occur by touching the Product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth. No clear and reasonable warning is provided with the Products regarding the health hazards of exposure.
- 44. Plaintiff, based on her best information and belief, avers that such exposures will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to purchasers and users or until these known toxic chemicals are removed from the Products.
- 45. Defendant has knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Products expose individuals to lead, DEA, and/or DINP, and Defendant intends that exposures to

EXHIBIT "A"

LAW OFFICES

BRODSKY & SMITH

9595 WILSHIRE BLVD., STE. 900 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212 877.534.2590 www.brodskysmith.com

NEW JERSEY OFFICE 1310 NORTH KINGS HIGHWAY CHERRY HILL, NJ 08934 856.795.7250 NEW YORK OFFICE 240 MINEOLA BOULEVARD MINEOLA, NY 11501 516.741.4977 PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE TWO BALA PLAZA, STE. 805 BALA CYNWYD, PA 19004 610.667.6200

August 3, 2022

President/CEO	Kenneth S. Frieze, CEO
Kobra International, Ltd.	Kobra International, Ltd. dba Nicole Miller
c/o CT Corporation System	800 Boylston Street, 27th Floor
28 Liberty Street	Boston, MA 02199
New York, NY 10005	
President/CEO	President/CEO
The TJX Companies, Inc.	The TJX Companies, Inc. dba Marshalls
c/o The Corporation Trust Company	c/o The Corporation Trust Company
Corporation Trust Center	Corporation Trust Center
1209 Orange Street	1209 Orange Street
Wilmington, DE 19801	Wilmington, DE 19801

60-Day Notice of Violation of California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act1

To Whom It May Concern:

This Notice of Violation (the "Notice") is provided to you pursuant to and in compliance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).

Brodsky & Smith ("Brodsky Smith") represents Ema Bell ("Bell"), a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals from use of consumer products sold in California and to improve human health and the environment by reducing hazardous substances.

With respect to the Product herein, Bell has identified a violation of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") codified at Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. This violation has occurred and continues to occur because the alleged Violator(s) failed to provide a clear and reasonable health hazard warning in connection with the sale or use of the Product in California. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 provides that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individual ..." Without proper warnings regarding the toxic effects of exposures to the Listed Chemical resulting from use of the Product, California citizens lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and/or how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the Listed Chemical from the reasonably foreseeable use of the Product.

I. <u>DESCRIPTION OF THE VIOLATION</u>

¹ The public enforcement agencies that have been served with copies of this Notice are identified in the attached distribution list accompanying the Certificate of Service.

- Enforcer: Ema Bell, 222 S. Figueroa St. Apt. 1212, Los Angeles, CA 90012-2581; (Ph) 424-332-3817.
- Alleged Violator(s): Kobra International, Ltd.; The TJX Companies, Inc.; TJX Companies, Inc. dba Marshalls
- 3. Time Period of Exposure: Violations have been occurring since at least August 3, 2022 and are continuing to this day.
- 4. Listed Chemical: Diisononyl phthalate (DINP). DINP is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer.

5. Product:

Product ²	Non- Exclusive Examples of the Product
heels	Nicole Miller Heels
	2518-1850-374452-01

6. Description of Exposure: The exposures that are the subject of this Notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Product. The primary route of exposure to the Listed Chemical is through dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers use, touch, or handle the Products. Some amount of exposure through ingestion can occur by touching the Product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth. No clear and reasonable warning is provided with the Products regarding the health hazards of exposure to the Listed Chemical.

II. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION

For the Violators' reference, enclosed is a copy of "Proposition 65: A Summary" that has been prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"). For more information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, contact OEHHA at 916.445.6900.

III. RESOLUTION OF THE CLAIMS

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, Brodsky Smith intends to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit on behalf of Bell against the alleged Violator(s) unless such Violator(s) agree in a binding written agreement to: (1) recall Products already sold; (2) provide Proposition 65 compliant exposure warnings for Products sold in the future or reformulate the Products to eliminate exposures to the Listed Chemical; and (3) pay an appropriate civil penalty based on the factors enumerated in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and the desire to have these violations of California law quickly rectified, Bell is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of the claims in this Notice without engaging in costly and protracted litigation.

² The specifically identified example of the Product in this Notice is to assist the recipients' investigation of, among other things, the magnitude of potential exposures to the Listed Chemical from other items within the definition of Products. This example is not intended to be an exhaustive or comprehensive identification of each specific offending Product. It is Bell's position that the alleged Violators are obligated to conduct a good faith investigation into other Products that may have been manufactured, distributed, sold, shipped, stored (or otherwise within the alleged Violators' custody or control) during the relevant period to ensure that requisite health hazard warnings were and are provided to California citizens prior to purchase and use.

Bell has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this Notice. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice to my attention at Brodsky & Smith, 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, (877) 534-2590, esmith@brodskysmith.com.

Sincerely,

van V Smith

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Action of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary

EXHIBIT "B"

LAW OFFICES

BRODSKY & SMITH

9595 WILSHIRE BLVD., STE. 900 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212 877.534.2590 www.brodskysmith.com

NEW JERSEY OFFICE 1310 NORTH KINGS HIGHWAY CHERRY HILL, NJ 08934 856.795.7250 NEW YORK OFFICE 240 MINEOLA BOULEVARD MINEOLA, NY 11501 516,741.4977 PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE TWO BALA PLAZA, STE. 805 BALA CYNWYD, PA 19004 610.667.6200

August 4, 2022

President/CEO	President/CEO	
Mitomo Co., Ltd.	Mitomo Cosmetic Co., Ltd.	
3-3-9 Rinkaicho	Kitahinata	
Edogawa-Ku	Chosei Gun Shirako Machi	
Tokyo, JAPAN	Chiba	
-	JAPAN 2994206	
President/CEO	President/CEO	
The TJX Companies, Inc.	The TJX Companies, Inc. dba TJ Maxx	
c/o The Corporation Trust Company	c/o The Corporation Trust Company	
Corporation Trust Center	Corporation Trust Center	7.5
1209 Orange Street	1209 Orange Street	
Wilmington, DE 19801	Wilmington, DE 19801	

60-Day Notice of Violation of California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act1

To Whom It May Concern:

This Notice of Violation (the "Notice") is provided to you pursuant to and in compliance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).

Brodsky & Smith ("Brodsky Smith") represents Ema Bell ("Bell"), a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals from use of consumer products sold in California and to improve human health and the environment by reducing hazardous substances.

With respect to the Product herein, Bell has identified a violation of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") codified at Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. This violation has occurred and continues to occur because the alleged Violator(s) failed to provide a clear and reasonable health hazard warning in connection with the sale or use of the Product in California. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 provides that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the State to cause cancer without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individual ..." Without proper warnings regarding the toxic effects of exposures to the Listed Chemical resulting from use of the Product, California citizens lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and/or how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the Listed Chemical from the reasonably foreseeable use of the Product.

I. DESCRIPTION OF THE VIOLATION

¹ The public enforcement agencies that have been served with copies of this Notice are identified in the attached distribution list accompanying the Certificate of Service.

- Enforcer: Ema Bell, 222 S. Figueroa St. Apt. 1212, Los Angeles, CA 90012-2581; (Ph) 424-332-3817.
- Alleged Violator(s): Mitomo Co., Ltd.; Mitomo Cosmetic Co., Ltd.; The TJX Companies, Inc.; The TJX Companies dba TJ Maxx
- 3. Time Period of Exposure: Violations have been occurring since at least August 4, 2022 and are continuing to this day.
- 4. Listed Chemical: Diethanolamine (DEA) is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer.

5. Product:

Product ²	Non- Exclusive Examples of the Product
Facial Mask	Retinol Facial Essence Mask
	UPC# 4582419536982

6. Description of Exposure: The exposures that are the subject of this Notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Product. The primary route of exposure to the Listed Chemical is through dermal exposure. Some amount of exposure through ingestion can occur by touching the Product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth. No clear and reasonable warning is provided with the Products regarding the health hazards of exposure to the Listed Chemical.

II. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION

For the Violators' reference, enclosed is a copy of "Proposition 65: A Summary" that has been prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"). For more information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, contact OEHHA at 916.445.6900.

III. RESOLUTION OF THE CLAIMS

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, Brodsky Smith intends to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit on behalf of Bell against the alleged Violator(s) unless such Violator(s) agree in a binding written agreement to: (1) recall Products already sold; (2) provide Proposition 65 compliant exposure warnings for Products sold in the future or reformulate the Products to eliminate exposures to the Listed Chemical; and (3) pay an appropriate civil penalty based on the factors enumerated in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and the desire to have these violations of California law quickly rectified, Bell is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of the claims in this Notice without engaging in costly and protracted litigation.

² The specifically identified example of the Product in this Notice is to assist the recipients' investigation of, among other things, the magnitude of potential exposures to the Listed Chemical from other items within the definition of Products. This example is not intended to be an exhaustive or comprehensive identification of each specific offending Product. It is Bell's position that the alleged Violators are obligated to conduct a good faith investigation into other Products that may have been manufactured, distributed, sold, shipped, stored (or otherwise within the alleged Violators' custody or control) during the relevant period to ensure that requisite health hazard warnings were and are provided to California citizens prior to purchase and use.

Bell has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this Notice. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice to my attention at Brodsky & Smith, 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, (877) 534-2590, esmith@brodskysmith.com.

Sincerely,

Evan I Smith

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Action of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary

EXHIBIT "C"

LAW OFFICES

BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC

9595 WILSHIRE BLVD., STE. 900 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212 877.534.2590 www.brodskysmith.com

NEW JERSEY OFFICE 1310 NORTH KINGS HIGHWAY CHERRY HILL, NJ 08934 856.795.7250 NEW YORK OFFICE 240 MINEOLA BOULEVARD MINEOLA, NY 11501 516,741.4977 PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE TWO BALA PI.AZA, STE. 805 BALA CYNWYD, PA 19004 610.667.6200

August 18, 2022

President/CEO	President/CEO
Citylook Imports Ltd.	The TJX Companies, Inc.
Harrison Trading Estate	c/o The Corporation Trust Company
Longworth Street	Corporation Trust Center
Preston	1209 Orange Street
Lancashire	Wilmington, DE 19801
UNITED KINGDOM PR 15DL	
President/CEO	President/CEO
The TJX Companies, Inc. dba TJ Maxx	The TJX Operating Companies, Inc.
c/o The Corporation Trust Company	c/o The Corporation Trust Company
Corporation Trust Center	Corporation Trust Center
1209 Orange Street	1209 Orange Street
Wilmington, DE 19801	Wilmington, DE 19801

60-Day Notice of Violation of California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act

To Whom It May Concern:

This Notice of Violation (the "Notice") is provided to you pursuant to and in compliance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).

Brodsky & Smith, LLC ("Brodsky Smith") represents Ema Bell ("Bell"), a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals from use of consumer products sold in California and to improve human health and the environment by reducing hazardous substances.

With respect to the Product herein, Bell has identified a violation of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") codified at Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. This violation has occurred and continues to occur because the alleged Violator(s) failed to provide a clear and reasonable health hazard warning in connection with the sale or use of the Product in California. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 provides that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individual ..." Without proper warnings regarding the toxic effects of exposures to the Listed Chemical resulting from use of the Product, California citizens lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and/or how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the Listed Chemical from the reasonably foreseeable use of the Product.

I. DESCRIPTION OF THE VIOLATION

¹ The public enforcement agencies that have been served with copies of this Notice are identified in the attached distribution list accompanying the Certificate of Service.

- Enforcer: Ema Bell, 222 S. Figueroa St. Apt. 1212, Los Angeles, CA 90012-2581; (Ph) 424-332-3817.
- 2. Alleged Violator(s): Citylook Imports Ltd.; The TJX Companies, Inc.; The TJX Companies, Inc. dba TJ Maxx; The TJX Operating Companies, Inc.
- 3. Time Period of Exposure: Violations have been occurring since at least August 18, 2022 and are continuing to this day.
- 4. Listed Chemical: Lead is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity.

5. Product:

Pi	Product ² Non- Exclusive Examples of the Produc	
Mug		Cooksmart Fine China Mug

6. Description of Exposure: The exposures that are the subject of this Notice result from the purchase and recommended use of the Product. The primary route of exposure to the Listed Chemical is through ingestion and dermal absorption exposure. When used for its intended purpose, the Product will be in contact with foods. The Listed Chemical will leach into the foods it comes into contact with. When foods contaminated with the Listed Chemical are consumed, ingestion of the Listed Chemical will occur which will increase BLLs. No clear and reasonable warning is provided with the Products regarding the health hazards of exposure to the Listed Chemical.

II. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION

For the Violators' reference, enclosed is a copy of "Proposition 65: A Summary" that has been prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"). For more information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, contact OEHHA at 916.445.6900.

III. RESOLUTION OF THE CLAIMS

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, Brodsky Smith intends to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit on behalf of Bell against the alleged Violator(s) unless such Violator(s) agree in a binding written agreement to: (1) recall Products already sold; (2) provide Proposition 65 compliant exposure warnings for Products sold in the future or reformulate the Products to eliminate exposures to the Listed Chemical; and (3) pay an appropriate civil penalty based on the factors enumerated in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and the desire to have these violations of California law quickly rectified, Bell is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of the claims in this Notice without engaging in costly and protracted litigation.

² The specifically identified example of the Product in this Notice is to assist the recipients' investigation of, among other things, the magnitude of potential exposures to the Listed Chemical from other items within the definition of Products. This example is not intended to be an exhaustive or comprehensive identification of each specific offending Product. It is Bell's position that the alleged Violators are obligated to conduct a good faith investigation into other Products that may have been manufactured, distributed, sold, shipped, stored (or otherwise within the alleged Violators' custody or control) during the relevant period to ensure that requisite health hazard warnings were and are provided to California citizens prior to purchase and use.

Bell has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this Notice. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice to my attention at Brodsky & Smith, LLC, 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, (877) 534-2590, esmith@brodskysmith.com.

Sincerely,

Evan J. Smith

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Action of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary

EXHIBIT "D"

LAW OFFICES

BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC

9595 WILSHIRE BLVD., STE. 900 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212 877.534.2590 www.brodskysmith.com

NEW JERSEY OFFICE 1310 NORTH KINGS HIGHWAY CHERRY HILL, NJ 08934 856,795,7250 NEW YORK OFFICE 240 MINEOLA BOULEVARD MINEOLA, NY 11501 516.741.4977 PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE TWO BALA PLAZA, STE. 805 BALA CYNWYD, PA 19004 610.667.6200

February 3, 2023

President/CEO	President/CEO
Heathcote & Ivory Limited	Heathcote & Ivory Limited
2 Lonsdale Road	7 Chalcot Road
London, NW6 6RD	London NW6 6RD
GREAT BRITAIN	GREAT BRITAIN
President/CEO	President/CEO
Heathcote & Ivory Limited	Heathcote & Ivory (Asia Pacific) PTY LTD
Rm 1701-02A 17/F	12 Illawara Cres, North Ballajura
625 King's Road,	Western Australia, 6066 Australia
North Point, Hong Kong	
President/CEO	President/CEO
Heathcote & Ivory Ireland Limited	H & I Toiletries Ltd.
RBK House,	25 Oldbury Place,
Irishtown,	London, W1U 5PN
Athlone Co. Westmeath,	GREAT BRITAIN
Athlone, Westmeath	
N37 XP52	
The ŢJX Companies, Inc. dba TJ Maxx	The TJX Companies, Inc.
c/o CT Corporation System	c/o The Corporation Trust Company
101 Federal Street	Corporation Trust Center
Boston, MA 02110	1209 Orange Street
	Wilmington, DE 19801

60-Day Notice of Violation of California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act

To Whom It May Concern:

This Notice of Violation (the "Notice") is provided to you pursuant to and in compliance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).

Brodsky & Smith, LLC ("Brodsky Smith") represents Ema Bell ("Bell"), a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals from use of consumer products sold in California and to improve human health and the environment by reducing hazardous substances.

With respect to the Product herein, Bell has identified a violation of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") codified at Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. This violation has occurred and continues to occur because the alleged Violator(s) failed to

¹ The public enforcement agencies that have been served with copies of this Notice are identified in the attached distribution list accompanying the Certificate of Service.

provide a clear and reasonable health hazard warning in connection with the sale or use of the Product in California. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 provides that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individual ..." Without proper warnings regarding the toxic effects of exposures to the Listed Chemical resulting from use of the Product, California citizens lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and/or how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the Listed Chemical from the reasonably foreseeable use of the Product.

I. DESCRIPTION OF THE VIOLATION

- Enforcer: Ema Bell, 222 S. Figueroa St. Apt. 1212, Los Angeles, CA 90012-2581; (Ph) 424-332-3817.
- Alleged Violator(s): Heathcote & Ivory Limited; Heathcote & Ivory (Asia Pacific) PTY LTD; Heathcote & Ivory Ireland Limited; H & I Toiletries Ltd.; The TJX Companies, Inc.; The TJX Companies, Inc. dba TJ Maxx
- 3. Time Period of Exposure: Violations have been occurring since at least February 3, 2023 and are continuing to this day.
- 4. Listed Chemical: Lead. Lead is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity.

5. Product:

Product ²	Non- Exclusive Examples of the Product
Mug	Heathcote & Ivory Magic Myth Marvel Mug
	UPC# 5 015632102782

6. Description of Exposure: The exposures that are the subject of this Notice result from the purchase and recommended use of the Product. The primary route of exposure to the Listed Chemical is through ingestion and dermal absorption exposure. When used for its intended purpose, the Product will be in contact with foods. The Listed Chemical will leach into the foods it comes into contact with. When foods contaminated with the Listed Chemical are consumed, ingestion of the Listed Chemical will occur which will increase BLLs. No clear and reasonable warning is provided with the Products regarding the health hazards of exposure to the Listed Chemical.

II. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION

For the Violators' reference, enclosed is a copy of "Proposition 65: A Summary" that has been prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"). For more information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, contact OEHHA at 916.445.6900.

² The specifically identified example of the Product in this Notice is to assist the recipients' investigation of, among other things, the magnitude of potential exposures to the Listed Chemical from other items within the definition of Products. This example is not intended to be an exhaustive or comprehensive identification of each specific offending Product. It is Bell's position that the alleged Violators are obligated to conduct a good faith investigation into other Products that may have been manufactured, distributed, sold, shipped, stored (or otherwise within the alleged Violators' custody or control) during the relevant period to ensure that requisite health hazard warnings were and are provided to California citizens prior to purchase and use.

III. RESOLUTION OF THE CLAIMS

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, Brodsky Smith intends to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit on behalf of Bell against the alleged Violator(s) unless such Violator(s) agree in a binding written agreement to: (1) recall Products already sold; (2) provide Proposition 65 compliant exposure warnings for Products sold in the future or reformulate the Products to eliminate exposures to the Listed Chemical; and (3) pay an appropriate civil penalty based on the factors enumerated in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and the desire to have these violations of California law quickly rectified, Bell is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of the claims in this Notice without engaging in costly and protracted litigation.

Bell has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this Notice. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice to my attention at Brodsky & Smith, LLC, 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, (877) 534-2590, esmith@brodskysmith.com.

Sincerely.

Evan J. Smith

Attachments

Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service

The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Action of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary