ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, 1 ENTORNO LAW, LLP County of Alameda Noam Glick (SBN 251582) 02/08/2023 at 12:00:14 PM Jake W. Schulte (SBN 293777) 2 Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) By: Lynn Wiley, 3 Janani Natarajan (SBN 346770) Deputy Clerk 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 629-0527 5 Email: noam@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com 6 Email: craig@entornolaw.com Email: janani@entornolaw.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC. 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 11 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, Case No.: 23C V 027499 12 INC., **COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES** AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 13 Plaintiff, v. 14 (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) MOVITA JUICE BARS, LLC, a California 15 limited liability company; MOVITA JUICE BAR FRANCHISE CORP., a California 16 corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by Environmental Health Advocates, Inc. ("Plaintiff") in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California ("the People"). Plaintiff seeks to remedy Defendants' failure to inform the People of exposure to lead, a known carcinogen. Defendants expose consumers to lead by manufacturing, importing, selling, and/or distributing protein powder including, but not limited to, Movita Plant Protein Powder ("Products"). Defendants know and intend that customers will ingest Products containing lead.
- 2. Under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code, section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual. . . ." (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.6.)
- 3. California identified and listed lead as a chemical known to cause cancer as early as October 1, 1992, and as a chemical known to cause developmental/reproductive toxicity on February 27, 1987.
- 4. Defendants failed to sufficiently warn consumers and individuals in California about potential exposure to lead in connection with Defendants' manufacture, import, sale, or distribution of Products. This is a violation of Proposition 65.
- 5. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief compelling Defendants to sufficiently warn consumers in California before exposing them to lead in Products. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7(a).) Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against Defendants for its violations of Proposition 65 along with attorney's fees and costs. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7(b).)

II. PARTIES

6. Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC. ("Plaintiff") is a corporation in the State of California dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination or reduction of toxic exposure from consumer products. It brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code, section 25249.7.

- 7. Defendant MOVITA JUICE BARS, LLC ("MJB") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of California. MJB is registered to do business in California, and does business in the County of Alameda, within the meaning of Health and Safety Code, section 25249.11. MJB manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes the Products in California and Alameda County.
- 8. Defendant MOVITA JUICE BAR FRANCHISE CORP. ("MJBF") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California. MJBF is registered to do business in California, and does business in the County of Alameda, within the meaning of Health and Safety Code, section 25249.11. MJBF manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes the Products in California and Alameda County.
- 9. Plaintiff does not know the true names and/or capacities, whether individual, partners, or corporate, of the defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, and for that reason sues said defendants under fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities of these defendants have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that these defendants are responsible in whole or in part for the remedies and penalties sought herein.

III. <u>VENUE AND JURISDICTION</u>

- 10. California Constitution Article VI, Section 10 grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all cases except those given by statute to other trial courts. The Health and Safety Code statute upon which this action is based does not give jurisdiction to any other court. As such, this Court has jurisdiction.
- 11. Venue is proper in Alameda County Superior Court pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, sections 394, 395, and 395.5. Wrongful conduct occurred and continues to occur in this County. Defendants conducted and continue to conduct business in this County as it relates to Products.
- 12. Defendants have sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California or otherwise purposefully avail themselves of the California market. Exercising jurisdiction over Defendants would be consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

13

14

15

17

21

25

27

28