

1 Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352)  
2 Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113)  
3 BRODSKY SMITH  
4 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900  
5 Beverly Hills, CA 90212  
6 Telephone: (877) 534-2590  
7 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160

8 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

ELECTRONICALLY  
**FILED**  
Superior Court of California,  
County of San Francisco

**04/05/2024**  
Clerk of the Court  
BY: DAEJA ROGERS  
Deputy Clerk

9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
10 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

11 PRECILA BALABBO,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 THE TJX COMPANIES, INC.

15 Defendant.

Case No.:

**CGC-24-613722**

**COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND  
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

**(Violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et  
seq.)**

16 Plaintiff Precila Balabbo (“Plaintiff”), by and through her attorneys, alleges the following  
17 cause of action in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California.

18 **BACKGROUND OF THE CASE**

19 1. Plaintiff brings this representative action on behalf of all California citizens to  
20 enforce relevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at  
21 the Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq (“Proposition 65”), which reads, in relevant part,  
22 “[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any  
23 individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first  
24 giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual ...”. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

25 2. This complaint is a representative action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest  
26 of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People’s right to be informed of the health  
27 hazards caused by exposure to lead, a toxic chemical found in PlayStation coffee mugs sold and/or  
28 distributed by defendant The TJX Companies, Inc. (“Defendant”) in California.

3. Lead is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and  
birth defects or other reproductive harm. On October 1, 1992, the state of California listed lead as

1 a chemical known to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations  
2 since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 &  
3 25249.10(b). On February 27, 1987, the State of California listed lead as a chemical known to  
4 cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

5 4. Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate  
6 within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations. Included in  
7 such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing a Proposition  
8 65-listed chemical that will create an exposure above safe harbor levels with a “clear and  
9 reasonable” warning before “knowingly and intentionally” exposing any person to any such listed  
10 chemical.

11 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation  
12 for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000.00) to be  
13 imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code  
14 § 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin the  
15 actions of a defendant which “violate or threaten to violate” the statute. Health & Safety Code §  
16 25249.7.

17 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant distributes and/or offers for sale in California,  
18 without a requisite exposure warning, PlayStation coffee mugs (the “Products”) that expose  
19 persons to lead when used for their intended purpose.

20 7. Defendant’s failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the  
21 health hazards associated with exposure to lead in conjunction with the sale and/or distribution of  
22 the Products is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendant to the enjoinder and civil  
23 penalties described herein.

24 8. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendant for its violations of Proposition 65  
25 in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

26 9. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief, preliminarily and permanently, requiring  
27 Defendant to provide purchasers or users of the Products with required warnings related to the  
28

1 dangers and health hazards associated with exposure to lead pursuant to Health and Safety Code §  
2 25249.7(a).

3 10. Plaintiff further seeks a reasonable award of attorney's fees and costs.

4 **PARTIES**

5 11. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general  
6 public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and to  
7 improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. She brings this  
8 action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d).

9 12. Defendant The TJX Companies, Inc., through its business, effectively imports,  
10 distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies  
11 by its conduct that it imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the  
12 State of California. Plaintiff alleges that defendant The TJX Companies, Inc. is a "person" in the  
13 course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and  
14 25249.11.

15 **VENUE AND JURISDICTION**

16 13. Venue is proper in the County of San Francisco because one or more of the  
17 instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur in this county and/or because  
18 Defendant conducted, and continues to conduct, business in the County of San Francisco with  
19 respect to the Products.

20 14. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution  
21 Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those  
22 given by statute to other trial courts. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 allows for the enforcement  
23 of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction; therefore, this Court has  
24 jurisdiction over this lawsuit.

25 15. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is either a citizen of  
26 the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, is registered  
27 with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do business in the State  
28 of California, and/or has otherwise purposefully availed itself of the California market. Such

1 purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts consistent and  
2 permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

### 3 STATUTORY BACKGROUND

4 16. The people of the State of California declared in Proposition 65 their right “[t]o be  
5 informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive  
6 harm.” (Section 1(b) of Initiative Measure, Proposition 65.)

7 17. To effect this goal, Proposition 65 requires that individuals be provided with a  
8 “clear and reasonable warning” before being exposed to substances listed by the State of California  
9 as causing cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. H&S Code § 25249.6 states, in  
10 pertinent part:

11 No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any  
12 individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without  
13 first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual...

14 18. An exposure to a chemical in a consumer product is one “which results from a  
15 person’s acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption or other reasonably foreseeable use of a  
16 consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service.” (27 CCR §  
17 25602, para (b).) H&S Code § 25603(c) states that “a person in the course of doing business ...  
18 shall provide a warning to any person to whom the product is sold or transferred unless the product  
19 is packaged or labeled with a clear and reasonable warning.”

20 19. Pursuant to H&S Code § 25603.1, the warning may be provided by using one or  
21 more of the following methods individually or in combination:<sup>1</sup>

22 a. A warning that appears on a product’s label or other labeling.

23 b. Identification of the product at the retail outlet in a manner which provides  
24 a warning. Identification may be through shelf labeling, signs, menus, or a combination  
25 thereof.

---

26  
27 <sup>1</sup> Alternatively, a person in the course of doing business may elect to comply with the warning  
28 requirements set out in the amended version of 27 CCR 25601, *et seq.* as amended on August 30,  
2016, and operative on August 30, 2018.

1 c. The warnings provided pursuant to subparagraphs (a) and (b) shall be  
2 prominently placed upon a product's labels or other labeling or displayed at the retail outlet  
3 with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices  
4 in the label, labeling or display as to render it likely to be read and understood by an  
5 ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use.

6 d. A system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free  
7 information services, or any other system that provides clear and reasonable warnings.

8 20. Proposition 65 provides that any "person who violates or threatens to violate" the  
9 statute may be enjoined in a court of competent jurisdiction. (H&S Code § 25249.7.) The phrase  
10 "threaten to violate" is defined to mean creating "a condition in which there is a substantial  
11 probability that a violation will occur." (H&S Code § 25249.11(e).) Violators are liable for civil  
12 penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day for each violation of the Act (H&S Code § 25249.7) for up to  
13 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000.00).

14 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

15 21. On October 1, 1992, the state of California listed lead as a chemical known to cause  
16 cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code  
17 Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On February 27,  
18 1987, the State of California listed lead as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other  
19 reproductive harm. In summary, lead was listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the  
20 State to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

21 22. The exposures that are the subject of the Notice result from the purchase,  
22 acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Product. The primary route of exposure to the  
23 chemical is through dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers use, touch, or  
24 handle the Products. Exposure through ingestion will occur by touching the Product with  
25 subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth. No clear and reasonable warning is provided  
26 with the Products regarding the health hazards of exposure.

1           23.    Defendant has processed, marketed, distributed, offered to sell and/or sold the  
2 Products in California since at least January 12, 2023. The Products continue to be distributed and  
3 sold in California without the requisite warning information.

4           24.    At all times relevant to this action, Defendant has knowingly and intentionally  
5 exposed users and/or consumers of the Products to lead without first giving a clear and reasonable  
6 exposure warning to such individuals.

7           25.    As a proximate result of acts by Defendant, as a person in the course of doing  
8 business within the meaning of H&S Code § 25249.11, individuals throughout the State of  
9 California, including in San Francisco County, have been exposed to lead without a clear and  
10 reasonable warning on the Products. The individuals subject to the violative exposures include  
11 normal and foreseeable users and consumers that use the Products, as well as all others exposed to  
12 the Products.

13                                   **SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENTS**

14           26.    Plaintiff purchased the Product from The TJX Companies, Inc.. At the time of  
15 purchase, Defendant did not provide a Proposition 65 exposure warning for lead or any other  
16 Proposition 65 listed chemical in a manner consistent with H&S Code § 25603.1 as described  
17 *supra*.

18           27.    The Product was sent to a testing laboratory to determine if, and what amount of,  
19 lead would migrate and/or leach from the Products.

20           28.    The laboratory provided the results of its analysis. Results of this test determined  
21 the Product exposes users to lead (the “Chemical Test Report”).

22           29.    Plaintiff provided the Chemical Test Report and Product to an analytical chemist  
23 to determine if, based on the findings of the Chemical Test Report and the reasonable and  
24 foreseeable use of the Product, exposure to lead will occur at levels that require Proposition 65  
25 warnings under the Clear and Reasonable Warnings section 25601 of Title 27 of the California  
26 Code of Regulations.



1           38.     The Product does not comply with the Proposition 65 warning requirements.

2           39.     Plaintiff, based on her best information and belief, avers that at all relevant times  
3 herein, and at least since January 12, 2023, continuing until the present, that Defendant has  
4 continued to knowingly and intentionally expose California users and consumers of the Product to  
5 lead without providing required warnings under Proposition 65.

6           40.     The exposures that are the subject of the Notice result from the purchase,  
7 acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Product. The primary route of exposure to the  
8 chemical is through dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers use, touch, or  
9 handle the Products. Exposure through ingestion will occur by touching the Product with  
10 subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth. No clear and reasonable warning is provided  
11 with the Products regarding the health hazards of exposure.

12          41.     Plaintiff, based on her best information and belief, avers that such exposures will  
13 continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to purchasers and users or  
14 until this known toxic chemical is removed from the Products.

15          42.     Defendant has knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the  
16 Products exposes individuals to lead, and Defendant intends that exposures to lead will occur by  
17 its deliberate, non-accidental participation in the importation, distribution, sale and offering of the  
18 Products to consumers in California

19          43.     Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the herein claims prior to this  
20 Complaint.

21          44.     Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above  
22 described acts, Defendant is liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day per violation.

23          45.     Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically  
24 authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant.

25  
26  
27  
28



1 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

2 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant and requests the following  
3 relief:

4 A. That the court assess civil penalties against Defendant in the amount of \$2,500 per  
5 day for each violation for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per  
6 violation of \$912,000.00) in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b);

7 B. That the court preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendant mandating  
8 Proposition 65 compliant warnings on the Products;

9 C. That the court grant Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit, in the  
10 amount of \$50,000.00.

11 D. That the court grant any further relief as may be just and proper.

12 Dated: April 5, 2024

BRODSKY SMITH

13 By: 

14 Evan J. Smith (SBN242352)

15 Ryan P. Cardona (SBN302113)

9595 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 900

Beverly Hills, CA 90212

16 Telephone: (877) 534-2590

17 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160

18 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*