SUM-100 SUMMONS FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE) (CITACION JUDICIAL) FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: ANABI REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT, LLC; RADC (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): ENTERPRISES, INC., and DOES 1 through 50, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO inclusive. APR 05 2024 YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: RAMY KAUFLER EDEN (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): MARIAH MORA, DEPUTY NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación. Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haye un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso. The name and address of the court is: VSB 2407282 (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino 247 West Third Street San Bernardino, Ca 92415 The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: Joseph R. Manning, Jr. #223381 (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Manning Law, APC 26100 Towne Center Drive, Foothill Ranch, CA 92610 Mariah Mora49-200-8755 DATE: Clerk, by APR 0 5 2024 , Deputy (Fecha) (Secretario) (Adjunto) (For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) (Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served [SEAL] 1. as an individual defendant. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify): 2. 3. L ____ on behalf of (specify): under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person) other (specify); 4. by personal delivery on (date): Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Page 1 of 1 SUMMONS



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO San Bernardino District 247 West 3rd St San Bernardino CA 92415 www.sb-court.org 909-708-8678

Eden -v- Anabi Real Estate Development, LLC et al

NOTICE OF TRIAL SETTING CONFERENCE and NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT

CIVSB2407282

Case Number

Manning Law, APC 26100 Towne Centre Drive Foothill Ranch CA 92610

This case has been assigned to: Wilfred J Schneider, Jr. in Department S32 - SBJC for all purposes.

Notice is hereby given that the above-entitled case has been set for Trial Setting Conference on:

Hearing Date: 10/02/2024 at 8:30 AM in Department S32 - SBJC

Bv:

Date: 4/5/2024

Mariah Mora

Mariah Mora, Deputy Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court for the County of San Bernardino at the above-listed address. I am not a party to this action and on the date and place shown below, I served a copy of the above-listed notice by:

Enclosed in a sealed envelope mailed to the interested party addressed above for collection and mailing this date, following standard Court practices.

Enclosed in a sealed envelope, first class postage prepaid in the U.S. mail at the location shown above, mailed to the interested party and addressed as shown above or as shown on the attached listing.

A copy of this notice was given to the filing party at the counter.

A copy of this notice was placed in the bin located at this office and identified as the location for the above law firm's collection of file-stamped documents.

Date of Mailing: 4/5/2024

I declare under penalty of perjury that the forgoing is true and correct. Executed on 4/5/2024 at San Bernardino, CA.

Marlah Mora By:

Mariah Mora, Deputy Clerk

COPY

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

Ramy Kaufler Eden

CASE NO .: CIV SB 2407282

VS.

CERTIFICATE OF ASSIGNMENT

Anabi Real Estate Development, LLC, et al.

& FAXED

A civil action or proceeding presented for filing must be accompanied by this Certificate. If the ground is the residence of a party, name and residence shall be stated.

The undersigned declares that the above-entitled matter is filed for proceedings in the San Bernardino District of the Superior Court under Rule 404 of this court for the

checked reason:

Collection

1	Notice of Antion	Original
	Nature of Action	Ground
Ц	1. Adoption	Petitioner resides within the district
	2. Conservator	Petitioner or conservatee resides within the district.
	3. Contract	Performance in the district is expressly provided for.
	4. Equity	The cause of action arose within the district.
X	5. Eminent Domain	The property is located within the district.
\square	6. Family Law	Plaintiff, defendant, petitioner or respondent resides within the district.
П	7. Guardianship	Petitioner or ward resides within the district or has property within the district.
F	8. Harassment	Plaintiff, defendant, petitioner or respondent resides within the district.
H	9. Mandate	The defendant functions wholly within the district.
H	10. Name Change	The petitioner resides within the district.
H	11. Personal Injury	The injury occurred within the district.
	12. Personal Property	The property is located within the district.
	13. Probate	Decedent resided or resides within the district or had property within the
		district.
	14. Prohibition	The defendant functions wholly within the district.
	15. Review	The defendant functions wholly within the district.
	16. Title to Real Property	The property is located within the district.
	17. Transferred Action	The lower court is located within the district.
\square	18. Unlawful Detainer	The property is located within the district.
	19. Domestic Violence	The petitioner, defendant, plaintiff or respondent resides within the district.
Ē	20. Other	
П	21. THIS FILING WOULD	NORMALLY FALL WITHIN JURISDICTION OF SUPERIOR COURT

The address of the accident, performance, party, detention, place of business, or other factor which qualifies this case for filing in the above-designed district is:

ANABI REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT, LLC	202 S. Mountain Ave.		
NAME - INDICATE TITLE OR OTHER QUALIFYING FACTOR	AD	DRESS	
Upland	CA	91786	
CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on 03/26/2024 at Manning Law, APC, 26100 Towne Center Drive, Foothill Ranch, 92610, California

Signature of Altorney/Party

CERTIFICATE OF ASSIGNMENT

	C)	\bigcirc	
NAN	ME AND ADDRESS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTOR	NEY	STATE BAR NUMBER	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
E-N AT FA	LEPHONE NO.: /AIL ADDRESS: TORNEY FOR (Name): X NO. (Optional):	UNLIMITED CASE:	RENCE DATE:	
cc	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIF	ORNIA, COUNTY	OF SAN BERNARDIN	0
	AINTIFF: FENDANT:			
-	INITIAL TRIAL SETTI	NG CONFEREN	CE STATEMENT	CASE NUMBER:
1.	a. This statement is submi b. This statement is submi	tted by party (<i>name</i>): tted jointly by parties	(names):	
2.	Service of Complaint on all parties			
3.	Service of Cross-Complaint on all	parties has 🔲 has r	not 🔲 been completed.	
4.	Description of case in Complaint:			
5.	Description of case in Cross-Comp	laint:		
6.	Has all discovery been completed:	/es 🗌 No 🗌 Da	ate discovery anticipated to b	e completed:
7.	Do you agree to mediation? Yes] No 🗌 Please c	heck type agreed to: Private	Court-sponsored:
8.	Related cases, consolidation, and	coordination: Pleas	e attach a Notice of Related	Case.
	A motion to Consolidate	Trial dates reque	sted: Yes 🗌 No 🗌 Ava	ilable dates:
9.	Other issues:	are requested to be co	onsidered by the Court:	

10. Meet and Confer:

The parties represent that they have met and conferred on all subjects required by California Rules of Court, Rule 3.724.

The parties have entered into the following stipulation(s):

11. Total number of pages attached (if any): _____

I am completely familiar with this case and will be fully prepared to discuss the status of discovery and alternative dispute resolution, as well as other issues raised by this statement, and will possess the authority to enter into stipulations on these issues at the time of the Initial Trial Setting Conference, including the written authority of the party where required. Date:

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY

Form # 13-09001-360 Mandatory Form INITIAL TRIAL SETTING CONFERENCE STATEMENT

		COPY	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JARRETT CHARO APC Jarrett Charo, Esq. (SBN 224001) 4079 Governor Dr., No. 1018 San Diego, California 92122 P: (619) 350-3334 jcharo@charolaw.com Joseph R. Manning, Jr., Esq. (State Bar No. MANNING LAW, APC 26100 Towne Centre Drive Foothill Ranch, CA 92610 (949) 200-8755 Phone (866) 843-8308 Fax newgasemailaddress@manninglawoffice.com Attorneys for Plaintiff RAMY KAUFLER EDEN	BYMARIAH MORA. DEPUT	
10			
11	SUPEDIOD COUDT OF		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNADINO		
13	COUNTIO	'F SAN DEKNADINO	
14 15	RAMY KAUFLER EDEN	Case No.: CIV SB 2407282	
16	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
17	v.	AND CIVIL PENALTIES	
18 19	ANABI REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT, LLC; RADC ENTERPRISES, INC., and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	(Violation of Health & Safety Code section 25249.5, et seq.)	
20	Defendants.		
21	Doronanito.	CHERON CONTRACTOR	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		Penalties and Injunctive Relief	

Plaintiff Ramy Kaufler Eden ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, alleges the following based on information and belief and investigation of counsel:

INTRODUCTION

1. California's Proposition 65, codified in California Health & Safety Code section 25249.5, et seq., makes it unlawful for businesses to knowingly and intentionally expose individuals in California to chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm without first providing clear and reasonable warnings to the exposed individuals.

2. Unleaded Gasoline (Wholly Vaporized) (herein, "Gasoline") is known to the State of California to cause cancer.

3. Defendant Anabi Real Estate Development, LLC ("Anabi") and RADC Enterprises, Inc. ("RADC" and, together with Anabi, "Defendants") own and operate service stations located at 202 S. Mountain Ave., Upland, California 91786 ("Mountain Station") and 5137 N. Figueroa St., Los Angeles, California 90042 ("Figueroa Station"). These service stations are collectively referred to herein as the ("Subject Service Stations").

4. Defendants expose individuals who come onto the Subject Service Stations' premises to Gasoline without first warning of such exposure.

5. By exposing individuals to Gasoline at the Subject Service Stations without providing any warnings whatsoever about the carcinogenic hazards associated with Gasoline exposure, Defendants violate the warning provision of Proposition 65. See Health & Saf. Code § 25249.6.

6. This Complaint ("Complaint") seeks to remedy Defendants' failure to warn of these toxic exposures and hold Defendants accountable for violating California's Proposition 65.

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in California. He brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(d).

26

8. Defendant Anabi is incorporated or organized in the State of Delaware, has its principal 27 place of business in the County of San Bernardino, State of California, and is a "person in the course 28 of doing business" within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11. Anabi owns and

operates the Subject Service Stations and exposes individuals there to Gasoline without first providing any warnings of the carcinogenic hazards associated with such exposure.

9. Defendant RADC is incorporated or organized in the State of California, has its principal place of business in the County of San Bernardino, State of California, and is a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11. RADC owns and operates the Subject Service Stations and exposes individuals there to Gasoline without first providing any warnings of the carcinogenic hazards associated with such exposure.

8 10. DOES 1 through 50 are each a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11. 9

10 11. The true names of DOES 1 through 50 are either unknown to Plaintiff at this time or the applicable time period before which Plaintiff may file a Proposition 65 action against them has not 11 12 yet run. When their identities are ascertained or the applicable time period before which Plaintiff may 13 file a Proposition 65 action against them has run, the Complaint shall be amended to reflect their true names. Defendants and DOES 1 through 50 are collectively referred to herein as "Defendants." 14

15

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

12. 16 The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7, which allows enforcement in any court of competent jurisdiction, and pursuant to California 18 Constitution Article VI, Section 10, because this case is a cause not given by statute to other trial 19 courts.

20 13. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because each is either a citizen of the State 21 of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, and/or intentionally avails 22 itself of the California market through operation of the Subject Service Stations in California or by 23 having such other contacts with California so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the 24 California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

25 14. Venue is proper in San Bernadino County Superior Court because both Defendants 26 reside in the County of San Bernardino and because one or more of the violations arise in the County 27 of San Bernadino.

1	STATUTORY BACKGROUND	
2	15. The People of the State of California have declared by initiative under Proposition 65	
3	their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other	
4	reproductive harm." Proposition 65, § 1(b).	
5	16. To effectuate this goal, Proposition 65 prohibits exposing people to chemicals listed by	
6	the State of California as known to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm without a	
7	"clear and reasonable warning" unless the business responsible for the exposure can prove that it fits	
8	within a statutory exemption. Health & Safety Code section 25249.6 states, in pertinent part: "No	
9	person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a	
10	chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and	
11	reasonable warning to such individual" Health & Saf. Code § 25249.6	
12	17. California Code of Regulations Title 27, sections 25607.26 and 25607.27 set forth	
13	"clear and reasonable warnings" for environmental exposures from service stations. Such warnings	
14	consist of the following content—printed in no smaller than 22-point type and enclosed in a box—	
15	posted on a sign at each gas pump of the service station:	
16	WARNING : Breathing the air in this area or skin contact with petroleum products	
17	can expose you to chemicals including benzene, motor vehicle exhaust and carbon	
18	monoxide, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth	
19	defects or other reproductive harm. Do not stay in this area longer than necessary.	
20	For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/service-station	
21		
22	18. Additionally, if other signage at the service station is provided for the public in a	
23	language other than English, the warning content set forth above must be provided in both English and	
24	that other language.	
25	19. Proposition 65 provides that any "person who violates or threatens to violate" the	
26	statute may be enjoined in a court of competent jurisdiction. Health & Saf. Code § 25249.7. Violators	
27	are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation of the Act. <i>See id</i> . Any person	
28	are nable for ervir penalues of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation of the Act. see the Ally person	

acting in the public interest has standing to enforce violations of Proposition 65 provided that such person has supplied the requisite public enforcers with a valid 60-Day Notice of Violation and such public enforcers are not diligently prosecuting the action within such time. *See* Health & Saf. Code § 25249.7(d).

20. On April 1, 1988, the State of California officially listed Gasoline as a chemical known to cause cancer and one year later, Gasoline became subject to the clear and reasonable warning requirement under Proposition 65. Health & Saf. Code § 25249.10(b).

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

21. At all relevant times—including the period from at least one year preceding the filing of this Complaint and continuing through the filing of this Complaint—Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals who came onto the premises of the Subject Service Stations to Gasoline without first providing a "clear and reasonable" warning of such exposure. The primary route of exposure to Gasoline at the Subject Service Stations is through inhalation.

At all such times, each Defendant was a "person in the course of doing business" within
the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11. During such times, as a proximate result of
acts by Defendants, individuals have been exposed to Gasoline on the premises of the Subject Service
Stations without first being provided a clear and reasonable warning concerning such exposure.

18

SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENTS

More than sixty days prior to naming Defendants in this lawsuit, Plaintiff served a 60Day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 ("Notice") concerning the Mountain Station upon the
named Defendants, the California Attorney General, and the San Bernadino County District Attorney
and a Notice concerning the Figueroa Station upon the named Defendants, the California Attorney
General, the Los Angeles County District Attorney, and the Los Angeles City Attorney.

24 24. Both Notices complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65, including
25 the attachment of a Certificate of Merit.

26 25. After receiving the Notices, and to the best of Plaintiff's information and belief, as of
27 the filing of this Complaint, none of the noticed public enforcement agencies have commenced and
28 diligently prosecuted a cause of action against Defendants under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged

1	violations set	forth in either of the Notices.
2	26.	Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty days from the date of the Notices
3	to Defendants	
4		FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
5	(Against I	Defendants for Violations of Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 at Mountain
6		Station)
7	27.	Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this
8	Complaint as	though fully set forth herein.
9	28.	Defendants have, at all times mentioned herein, acted as a person in the course of doing
10	business withi	in the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.
11	29.	Defendants, through their ownership and operation of the Mountain Station, have
12	exposed indiv	iduals who come onto that station's premises to Gasoline, a hazardous chemical known
13	to the State of	California to cause cancer.
14	30.	Defendants know that individuals will be exposed to Gasoline when those individuals
15	come onto tha	t station's premises.
16	31.	Defendants failed to provide such individuals with any clear or reasonable warnings
17	concerning Ga	asoline exposure on the Mountain Station's premises.
18	32.	Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all relevant times herein, and at least as of one
19	year precedin	g the filing of this Complaint, Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed
20	individuals who came onto the premises of the Mountain Station to Gasoline without providing the	
21	warnings requ	ired by Proposition 65—and Defendants continue to do so.
22	33.	Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the claims set forth in this Cause
23	of Action prior to filing this Complaint.	
24	34.	Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above-
25	described acts at the Mountain Station, Defendants are each liable for a maximum civil penalty of	
26	\$2,500 per day	у.
27	35.	Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically
28	authorized to	grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants.
		5 Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief

1	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	
2	(Against Defendants for Violations of Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 at Figueroa	
3	Station)	
4	36. Plaintiff hereby repeats, and incorporates by reference, paragraphs 1 through 26 of this	
5	Complaint as though fully set forth herein.	
6	37. Defendants have, at all times mentioned herein, acted as a person in the course of doing	
7	business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.	
8	38. Defendants, through their ownership and operation of the Figueroa Station, have	
9	exposed individuals who come onto that station's premises to Gasoline, a hazardous chemical known	
10	to the State of California to cause cancer.	
11	39. Defendants know that individuals will be exposed to Gasoline when those individuals	
12	come onto that station's premises.	
13	40. Defendants failed to provide such individuals with any clear or reasonable warnings	
14	concerning Gasoline exposure on the Figueroa Station's premises.	
15	41. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all relevant times herein, and at least as of one	
16	year preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed	
17	individuals who came onto the premises of the Figueroa Station to Gasoline without providing the	
18	warnings required by Proposition 65—and Defendants continue to do so.	
19	42. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the claims set forth in this Cause	
20	of Action prior to filing this Complaint.	
21	43. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above-	
22	described acts at the Figueroa Station, Defendants are each liable for an additional maximum civil	
23	penalty of \$2,500 per day.	
24	44. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically	
25	authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants.	
26	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
27	Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:	
28	1. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a), preliminarily and	
	<u>6</u> Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief	
	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

1	permanently enjoin Defendants from exposing individuals to Gasoline at each of the Subject Service	
2	Stations without providing prior clear and reasonable warnings as to such exposure;	
3	2. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), assess civil penalties	
4	against each Defendant in the amount of \$2,500 per day per Subject Service Station for each violation	
5	of Proposition 65 according to proof;	
6	3. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a), order Defendants to	
7	take action to stop ongoing unwarned exposures to Gasoline at the Subject Service Stations;	
8	4. That the Court, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 or any other applicable	
9	theory, grant Plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and	
10	5. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.	
11		
12	Dated: March 26, 2024JARRETT CHARO APC	
13	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
14	By:	
15	Jarrett S. Charo, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff	
16 17		
17	MANNING LAW APC	
10		
20	And By:	
21	Joseph Manning, Jr., Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	7	
	Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief	