1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JARRETT CHARO APC Jarrett Charo, Esq. (SBN 224001) 4079 Governor Dr., No. 1018 San Diego, California 92122 P: (619) 350-3334 jcharo@charolaw.com Joseph R. Manning, Jr., Esq. (State Bar No. 223381) MANNING LAW, APC 26100 Towne Centre Drive Foothill Ranch, CA 92610 (949) 200-8755 Phone (866) 843-8308 Fax GasVaporProp65@manninglawoffice.com Attorneys for Plaintiff RAMY KAUFLER EDEN SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	RAMY KAUFLER EDEN Plaintiff, v. APPLE MARKETS, INC., and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, Defendants.	Case No.: CWPS2401867 COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES (Violation of Health & Safety Code section 25249.5, et seq.)	

Plaintiff Ramy Kaufler Eden ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, alleges the following based on information and belief and investigation of counsel:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. California's Proposition 65, codified in California Health & Safety Code section 25249.5, *et seq.*, makes it unlawful for businesses to knowingly and intentionally expose individuals in California to chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm without first providing clear and reasonable warnings to the exposed individuals.
- 2. Unleaded Gasoline (Wholly Vaporized) (herein, "Gasoline") is known to the State of California to cause cancer.
- 3. Defendant Apple Markets, Inc., ("Defendant") owns and operates service stations located at 56491 US Highway 111, Thermal, California 92274 ("US Highway Station"), and 80631 Indio Blvd, Indio, California 92201 ("Indio Station"). These service stations are collectively referred to herein as the ("Subject Service Stations").
- 4. Defendant exposes individuals who come onto the Subject Service Stations' premises to Gasoline without first warning of such exposure.
- 5. By exposing individuals to Gasoline at the Subject Service Stations without providing any warnings whatsoever about the carcinogenic hazards associated with Gasoline exposure, Defendant violates the warning provision of Proposition 65. *See* Health & Saf. Code § 25249.6.
- 6. This Complaint ("Complaint") seeks to remedy Defendant's failure to warn of this toxic exposure and hold Defendant accountable for violating California's Proposition 65.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in California. He brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(d).
- 8. Defendant is incorporated or organized in the State of California, has its principal place of business in California, and is a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11. Defendant owns and operates the Subject Service Stations and exposes individuals there to Gasoline without first providing any warnings of the carcinogenic

hazards associated with such exposure.

- 9. DOES 1 through 50 are each a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.
- 10. The true names of DOES 1 through 50 are either unknown to Plaintiff at this time or the applicable time period before which Plaintiff may file a Proposition 65 action against them has not yet run. When their identities are ascertained or the applicable time period before which Plaintiff may file a Proposition 65 action against them has run, the Complaint shall be amended to reflect their true names. Defendant and DOES 1 through 50 are collectively referred to herein as "Defendant."

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7, which allows enforcement in any court of competent jurisdiction, and pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, because this case is a cause not given by statute to other trial courts.
- 12. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because it is either a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, and/or intentionally avails itself of the California market through operation of the Subject Service Stations in California or by having such other contacts with California so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 13. Venue is proper in Riverside County Superior Court because one or more of the violations arise in the County of Riverside.

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 14. The People of the State of California have declared by initiative under Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." Proposition 65, § 1(b).
- 15. To effectuate this goal, Proposition 65 prohibits exposing people to chemicals listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm without a "clear and reasonable warning" unless the business responsible for the exposure can prove that it fits within a statutory exemption. Health & Safety Code section 25249.6 states, in pertinent part: "No

person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual" Health & Saf. Code § 25249.6

16. California Code of Regulations Title 27, sections 25607.26 and 25607.27 set forth "clear and reasonable warnings" for environmental exposures from service stations. Such warnings consist of the following content—printed in no smaller than 22-point type and enclosed in a box—posted on a sign at each gas pump of the service station:

▲ WARNING: Breathing the air in this area or skin contact with petroleum products can expose you to chemicals including benzene, motor vehicle exhaust and carbon monoxide, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Do not stay in this area longer than necessary. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/service-station

- 17. Additionally, if other signage at the service station is provided for the public in a language other than English, the warning content set forth above must be provided in both English and that other language.
- 18. Proposition 65 provides that any "person who violates or threatens to violate" the statute may be enjoined in a court of competent jurisdiction. Health & Saf. Code § 25249.7. Violators are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation of the Act. *See id.* Any person acting in the public interest has standing to enforce violations of Proposition 65 provided that such person has supplied the requisite public enforcers with a valid 60-Day Notice of Violation and such public enforcers are not diligently prosecuting the action within such time. *See* Health & Saf. Code § 25249.7(d).
- 19. On April 1, 1988, the State of California officially listed Gasoline as a chemical known to cause cancer and one year later, Gasoline became subject to the clear and reasonable warning requirement under Proposition 65. Health & Saf. Code § 25249.10(b).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 20. At all relevant times—including the period from at least one year preceding the filing of this Complaint and continuing through the filing of this Complaint—Defendant knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals who came onto the premises of the Subject Service Stations to Gasoline without first providing a "clear and reasonable" warning of such exposure. The primary route of exposure to Gasoline at the Subject Service Stations is through inhalation.
- 21. At all such times, Defendant was a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11. During such times, as a proximate result of acts by Defendant, individuals have been exposed to Gasoline on the premises of the Subject Service Stations without first being provided a clear and reasonable warning concerning such exposure.

SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENTS

- 22. More than sixty days prior to naming Defendant in this lawsuit, Plaintiff served a 60-Day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 ("Notice") upon the named Defendant, the California Attorney General, and the Riverside County District Attorney.
- 23. The Notice complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65, including the attachment of a Certificate of Merit.
- 24. After receiving the Notice, and to the best of Plaintiff's information and belief, as of the filing of this Complaint, none of the noticed public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted a cause of action against Defendant under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations set forth in the Notice.
- 25. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty days from the date of the Notice to Defendant.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against Defendant for Violations of Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 at US Highway Station)

- 26. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
 - 27. Defendant has, at all times mentioned herein, acted as a person in the course of doing

business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.

- 28. Defendant, through its ownership and operation of the US Highway Station, has exposed individuals who come onto that station's premises to Gasoline, a hazardous chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.
- 29. Defendant knows that individuals will be exposed to Gasoline when those individuals come onto that station's premises.
- 30. Defendant failed to provide such individuals with any clear or reasonable warnings concerning Gasoline exposure on the US Highway Station's premises.
- 31. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all relevant times herein, and at least as of one year preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendant knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals who came onto the premises of the US Highway Station to Gasoline without providing the warnings required by Proposition 65—and Defendant continues to do so.
- 32. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the claims set forth in this Cause of Action prior to filing this Complaint.
- 33. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above-described acts at the US Highway Station, Defendant is liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day.
- 34. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against Defendant for Violations of Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 at Indio Station)

- 35. Plaintiff hereby repeats, and incorporates by reference, paragraphs 1 through 25 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 36. Defendant has, at all times mentioned herein, acted as a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.
- 37. Defendant, through its ownership and operation of the Indio Station, has exposed individuals who come onto that station's premises to Gasoline, a hazardous chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

- 38. Defendant knows that individuals will be exposed to Gasoline when those individuals come onto that station's premises.
- 39. Defendant failed to provide such individuals with any clear or reasonable warnings concerning Gasoline exposure on the Indio Station's premises.
- 40. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all relevant times herein, and at least as of one year preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendant knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals who came onto the premises of the Indio Station to Gasoline without providing the warnings required by Proposition 65—and Defendant continues to do so.
- 41. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the claims set forth in this Cause of Action prior to filing this Complaint.
- 42. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above-described acts at the Indio Station, Defendant is liable for an additional maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day.
- 43. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- 1. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a), preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendant from exposing individuals to Gasoline at each of the Subject Service Stations without providing prior clear and reasonable warnings as to such exposure;
- 2. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), assess civil penalties against Defendant in the amount of \$2,500 per day per Subject Service Station for each violation of Proposition 65 according to proof;
- 3. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a), order Defendant to take action to stop ongoing unwarned exposures to Gasoline at the Subject Service Stations;
- 4. That the Court, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 or any other applicable theory, grant Plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
 - 5. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

1	1			
2	2 Dated: _March 18_, 2024	J	ARRETT CHARO APC	
3	3		~ m ~	
4	4	By:	Ku-a-	
5			Jarrett S. Charo, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6			·	
7			MANNING LAW APC	
8 9			Opt 11	
10		And By:		
11		J	ose Manning, Jr., Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff	
12				
13				
14	4			
15	.5			
16	6			
17	7			
18	8			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23 24				
$\begin{vmatrix} 24 \\ 25 \end{vmatrix}$				
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$				
27				
28				
	Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief			