

Jarrett Charo, Esq. (State Bar No. 224001)
JARRETT CHARO APC
4079 Governor Dr., No. 1018
San Diego, California 92122
P: (619) 350-3334
jcharo@charolaw.com

Joseph R. Manning, Jr., Esq. (State Bar No. 223381)
MANNING LAW, APC
26100 Towne Centre Drive
Foothill Ranch, CA 92610
(949) 200-8755 Phone
(866) 843-8308 Fax
GasVaporProp65@manninglawoffice.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
RAMY KAUFLEDER EDEN

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA**

RAMY KAUFLEDER EDEN

Plaintiff,

v.

NEW ERA ENERGY, LLC, ENGINEER'S
ASSOCIATES, INC., and DOES 1 through
50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: **24CV081671**

**COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
AND CIVIL PENALTIES**

(Violation of Health & Safety Code section
25249.5, *et seq.*)

1 Plaintiff Ramy Kaufler Eden (“Plaintiff”), by and through his attorneys, alleges the following
2 based on information and belief and investigation of counsel:

3 **INTRODUCTION**

4 1. California’s Proposition 65, codified in California Health & Safety Code section
5 25249.5, *et seq.*, makes it unlawful for businesses to knowingly and intentionally expose individuals
6 in California to chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm
7 without first providing clear and reasonable warnings to the exposed individuals.

8 2. Unleaded Gasoline (Wholly Vaporized) (herein, “Gasoline”) is known to the State of
9 California to cause cancer.

10 3. Defendant NEW ERA ENERGY, LLC (“NEW ERA”) and ENGINEER’S
11 ASSOCIATES, INC. (“ENGINEER’S” and, together with NEW ERA, “Defendants”) own and
12 operate the service station located at 849 University Avenue, Berkeley, California 94710 (“Subject
13 Service Station”).

14 4. Defendants expose individuals who come onto the Subject Service Station’s premises
15 to Gasoline without first providing a clear and reasonable warning of such exposure.

16 5. By exposing individuals to Gasoline at the Subject Service Station without providing a
17 clear and reasonable warning about the carcinogenic hazards associated with Gasoline exposure,
18 Defendants violate the warning provision of Proposition 65. *See* Health & Saf. Code § 25249.6.

19 6. This Complaint (“Complaint”) seeks to remedy Defendants’ failure to warn of these
20 toxic exposures and hold Defendants accountable for violating California’s Proposition 65.

21 **PARTIES**

22 7. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public
23 to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in California. He brings this action in the public
24 interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(d).

25 8. Defendant NEW ERA is incorporated or organized in the State of California, has its
26 principal place of business in California, and is a “person in the course of doing business” within the
27 meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11. NEW ERA owns and operates the Subject Service
28 Station and exposes individuals there to Gasoline without first providing a clear and reasonable

1 warning of the carcinogenic hazards associated with such exposure.

2 9. Defendant ENGINEER’S is incorporated or organized in the State of California, has
3 its principal place of business in California, and is a “person in the course of doing business” within
4 the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11. ENGINEER’S owns and operates the Subject
5 Service Station and exposes individuals there to Gasoline without first providing a clear and
6 reasonable warning of the carcinogenic hazards associated with such exposure.

7 10. DOES 1 through 50 are each a “person in the course of doing business” within the
8 meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.

9 11. The true names of DOES 1 through 50 are either unknown to Plaintiff at this time or
10 the applicable time period before which Plaintiff may file a Proposition 65 action against them has not
11 yet run. When their identities are ascertained or the applicable time period before which Plaintiff may
12 file a Proposition 65 action against them has run, the Complaint shall be amended to reflect their true
13 names. Defendants and DOES 1 through 50 are collectively referred to herein as “Defendants.”

14 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

15 12. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Health & Safety Code section
16 25249.7, which allows enforcement in any court of competent jurisdiction, and pursuant to California
17 Constitution Article VI, Section 10, because this case is a cause not given by statute to other trial
18 courts.

19 13. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because each is either a citizen of the State
20 of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, and/or intentionally avails
21 itself of the California market through operation of the Subject Service Station in California or by
22 having such other contacts with California so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the
23 California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

24 14. Venue is proper in Alameda County Superior Court because the violations alleged
25 herein arise in the County of Alameda.


26 **STATUTORY BACKGROUND**

27 15. The People of the State of California have declared by initiative under Proposition 65
28 their right “[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other

1 reproductive harm.” Proposition 65, § 1(b).

2 16. To effectuate this goal, Proposition 65 prohibits exposing people to chemicals listed by
3 the State of California as known to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm without a
4 “clear and reasonable warning” unless the business responsible for the exposure can prove that it fits
5 within a statutory exemption. Health & Safety Code section 25249.6 states, in pertinent part: “No
6 person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a
7 chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and
8 reasonable warning to such individual” Health & Saf. Code § 25249.6

9 17. California Code of Regulations Title 27, sections 25607.26 and 25607.27 set forth
10 “clear and reasonable warnings” for environmental exposures from service stations. Such warnings
11 consist of the following content—printed in no smaller than 22-point type and enclosed in a box—
12 posted on a sign at each gas pump of the service station:

13  **WARNING:** Breathing the air in this area or skin contact with petroleum products
14 can expose you to chemicals including benzene, motor vehicle exhaust and carbon
15 monoxide, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth
16 defects or other reproductive harm. Do not stay in this area longer than necessary.

17 For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/service-station

18
19
20 18. Additionally, if other signage at the service station is provided for the public in a
21 language other than English, the warning content set forth above must be provided in both English and
22 that other language.

23 19. Proposition 65 provides that any “person who violates or threatens to violate” the
24 statute may be enjoined in a court of competent jurisdiction. Health & Saf. Code § 25249.7. Violators
25 are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation of the Act. *See id.* Any person
26 acting in the public interest has standing to enforce violations of Proposition 65 provided that such
27 person has supplied the requisite public enforcers with a valid 60-Day Notice of Violation and such
28 public enforcers are not diligently prosecuting the action within such time. *See* Health & Saf. Code §

1 25249.7(d).

2 20. On April 1, 1988, the State of California officially listed Gasoline as a chemical known
3 to cause cancer and one year later, Gasoline became subject to the clear and reasonable warning
4 requirement under Proposition 65. Health & Saf. Code § 25249.10(b).

5 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

6 21. At all relevant times—including the period from at least one year preceding the filing
7 of this Complaint and continuing through the filing of this Complaint—Defendants knowingly and
8 intentionally exposed individuals who came onto the premises of the Subject Service Station to
9 Gasoline without first providing a “clear and reasonable” warning of such exposure. The primary route
10 of exposure to Gasoline at the Subject Service Station is through inhalation.

11 22. At all such times, each Defendant was a “person in the course of doing business” within
12 the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11. During such times, as a proximate result of
13 acts by Defendants, individuals have been exposed to Gasoline on the premises of the Subject Service
14 Station without first being provided a clear and reasonable warning concerning such exposure.

15 **SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENTS**

16 23. More than sixty days prior to naming Defendants in this lawsuit, Plaintiff served a 60-
17 Day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 (“Notice”) concerning the Subject Service Station upon the
18 named Defendants, the California Attorney General, and the Alameda County District Attorney.

19 24. The Notice complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65, including the
20 attachment of a Certificate of Merit.

21 25. After receiving the Notice, and to the best of Plaintiff’s information and belief, as of
22 the filing of this Complaint, none of the noticed public enforcement agencies have commenced and
23 diligently prosecuted a cause of action against Defendants under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged
24 violations set forth in either of the Notice.

25 26. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty days from the date of the Notices
26 to Defendants.

27 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

28 **(Against Defendants for Violations of Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6)**

27. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

28. Defendants have, at all times mentioned herein, acted as a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.

29. Defendants, through their ownership and operation of the Subject Service Station, have exposed individuals who come onto the station's premises to Gasoline, a hazardous chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

30. Defendants know that individuals will be exposed to Gasoline when those individuals come onto the station's premises.

31. Defendants failed to provide such individuals with any clear or reasonable warnings concerning Gasoline exposure on the Subject Service Station's premises.

32. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all relevant times herein, and at least as of one year preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals who came onto the premises of the Subject Service Station to Gasoline without providing the warnings required by Proposition 65—and Defendants continue to do so.

33. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the claims set forth in this Cause of Action prior to filing this Complaint.

34. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above-described acts at the Subject Service Station, Defendants are each liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day.

35. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants.

PRAAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

1. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a), preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants from exposing individuals to Gasoline at each of the Subject Service Station without providing prior clear and reasonable warnings as to such exposure;

2. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), assess civil penalties

1 against each Defendant in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation of Proposition 65 according
2 to proof;

3 3. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a), order Defendants to
4 take action to stop ongoing unwarned exposures to Gasoline at the Subject Service Station;

5 4. That the Court, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 or any other applicable
6 theory, grant Plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and

7 5. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.
8

9 Dated: June 28, 2024

JARRETT CHARO APC

10
11 By: _____

Jarrett S. Charo, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

12
13
14 MANNING LAW APC

15
16 And By: _____

17 Joseph Manning, Jr., Esq.
18 Attorneys for Plaintiff
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28