

1 Jarrett S. Charo, Esq. (State Bar No. 224001)  
JARRETT CHARO, APC  
2 4079 Governor Dr., No. 1018  
San Diego, California 92122  
3 P: (619) 350-3334  
jcharo@charolaw.com  
4

5 Joseph R. Manning, Jr., Esq. (State Bar No. 223381)  
MANNING LAW, APC  
26100 Towne Centre Drive  
6 Foothill Ranch, CA 92610  
(949) 200-8755 Phone  
7 (866) 843-8308 Fax  
GasVaporProp65@manninglawoffice.com  
8

Per local Rule, This case is assigned to  
Judge Mockler, Terri, for all purposes.

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
RAMY KAUFLEDER EDEN

SUMMONS ISSUED

10  
11 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
12 **COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA**  
13

14 RAMY KAUFLEDER EDEN

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 AU ENERGY, LLC, and DOES 1 through  
18 50, inclusive,

19 Defendants.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Case No.: C24-01704

**COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF  
AND CIVIL PENALTIES**

(Violation of Health & Safety Code section  
25249.5, *et seq.*)

1 Plaintiff Ramy Kaufler Eden (“Plaintiff”), by and through his attorneys, alleges the following  
2 based on information and belief and investigation of counsel:

3 **INTRODUCTION**

4 1. California’s Proposition 65, codified in California Health & Safety Code section  
5 25249.5, *et seq.*, makes it unlawful for businesses to knowingly and intentionally expose individuals  
6 in California to chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm  
7 without first providing clear and reasonable warnings to the exposed individuals.

8 2. Unleaded Gasoline (Wholly Vaporized) (herein, “Gasoline”) is known to the State of  
9 California to cause cancer.

10 3. Defendant AU ENERGY, LLC (“Defendant”) owns and operates service stations  
11 located at 3621 San Pablo Dam Road, El Sobrante, California 94803 (“San Pablo Station”), and 2876  
12 El Portal Drive, San Pablo, California 94806 (“El Portal Station”). These service stations are  
13 collectively referred to herein as the (“Subject Service Stations”).

14 4. Defendant exposes individuals who come onto the Subject Service Stations’ premises  
15 to Gasoline without first providing a clear and reasonable warning of such exposure.

16 5. By exposing individuals to Gasoline at the Subject Service Stations without providing  
17 clear and reasonable warnings about the carcinogenic hazards associated with Gasoline exposure,  
18 Defendant violates the warning provision of Proposition 65. *See* Health & Saf. Code § 25249.6.

19 6. This Complaint (“Complaint”) seeks to remedy Defendant’s failure to warn of these  
20 toxic exposures and hold Defendant accountable for violating California’s Proposition 65.

21 **PARTIES**

22 7. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public  
23 to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in California. He brings this action in the public  
24 interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(d).

25 8. Defendant is incorporated or organized in the State of California, has its principal place  
26 of business in California, and is a “person in the course of doing business” within the meaning of  
27 Health & Safety Code section 25249.11. Defendant owns and operates the Subject Service Stations  
28 and exposes individuals there to Gasoline without first providing clear and reasonable warnings of the

1 carcinogenic hazards associated with such exposures.

2 9. DOES 1 through 50 are each a “person in the course of doing business” within the  
3 meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.

4 10. The true names of DOES 1 through 50 are either unknown to Plaintiff at this time or  
5 the applicable time period before which Plaintiff may file a Proposition 65 action against them has not  
6 yet run. When their identities are ascertained or the applicable time period before which Plaintiff may  
7 file a Proposition 65 action against them has run, the Complaint shall be amended to reflect their true  
8 names. Defendant and DOES 1 through 50 are collectively referred to herein as “Defendant.”

9 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

10 11. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Health & Safety Code section  
11 25249.7, which allows enforcement in any court of competent jurisdiction, and pursuant to California  
12 Constitution Article VI, Section 10, because this case is a cause not given by statute to other trial  
13 courts.

14 12. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because it is either a citizen of the State of  
15 California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, and/or intentionally avails  
16 itself of the California market through operation of the Subject Service Stations in California or by  
17 having such other contacts with California so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over it by the  
18 California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

19 13. Venue is proper in Contra Costa County Superior Court because one or more of the  
20 violations alleged herein arise in the County of Contra Costa.


21 **STATUTORY BACKGROUND**

22 14. The People of the State of California have declared by initiative under Proposition 65  
23 their right “[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other  
24 reproductive harm.” Proposition 65, § 1(b).

25 15. To effectuate this goal, Proposition 65 prohibits exposing people to chemicals listed by  
26 the State of California as known to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm without a  
27 “clear and reasonable warning” unless the business responsible for the exposure can prove that it fits  
28 within a statutory exemption. Health & Safety Code section 25249.6 states, in pertinent part: “No

1 person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a  
2 chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and  
3 reasonable warning to such individual . . . .” Health & Saf. Code § 25249.6

4 16. California Code of Regulations Title 27, sections 25607.26 and 25607.27 set forth  
5 “clear and reasonable warnings” for environmental exposures from service stations. Such warnings  
6 consist of the following content—printed in no smaller than 22-point type and enclosed in a box—  
7 posted on a sign at each gas pump of the service station:

8  **WARNING:** Breathing the air in this area or skin contact with petroleum products  
9 can expose you to chemicals including benzene, motor vehicle exhaust and carbon  
10 monoxide, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth  
11 defects or other reproductive harm. Do not stay in this area longer than necessary.  
12 For more information go to [www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/service-station](http://www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/service-station)

13  
14  
15 17. Additionally, if other signage at the service station is provided for the public in a  
16 language other than English, the warning content set forth above must be provided in both English and  
17 that other language.

18 18. Proposition 65 provides that any “person who violates or threatens to violate” the  
19 statute may be enjoined in a court of competent jurisdiction. Health & Saf. Code § 25249.7. Violators  
20 are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500 per day for each violation of the Act. *See id.* Any person  
21 acting in the public interest has standing to enforce violations of Proposition 65 provided that such  
22 person has supplied the requisite public enforcers with a valid 60-Day Notice of Violation and such  
23 public enforcers are not diligently prosecuting the action within such time. *See* Health & Saf. Code §  
24 25249.7(d).

25 19. On April 1, 1988, the State of California officially listed Gasoline as a chemical known  
26 to cause cancer and one year later, Gasoline became subject to the clear and reasonable warning  
27 requirement under Proposition 65. Health & Saf. Code § 25249.10(b).

28 //

1 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

2 20. At all relevant times—including the period from at least one year preceding the filing  
3 of this Complaint and continuing through the filing of this Complaint—Defendant knowingly and  
4 intentionally exposed individuals who came onto the premises of the Subject Service Stations to  
5 Gasoline without first providing a “clear and reasonable” warning of such exposure. The primary route  
6 of exposure to Gasoline at the Subject Service Stations is through inhalation.

7 21. At all such times, Defendant was a “person in the course of doing business” within the  
8 meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11. During such times, as a proximate result of acts  
9 by Defendant, individuals have been exposed to Gasoline on the premises of the Subject Service  
10 Stations without first being provided a clear and reasonable warning concerning such exposure.

11 **SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENTS**

12 22. More than sixty days prior to naming Defendant in this lawsuit, Plaintiff served a 60-  
13 Day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 (“Notice”) concerning each of the Subject Service Stations  
14 upon the named Defendant, the California Attorney General, the Contra Costa County District  
15 Attorney.

16 23. The Notices complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65, including  
17 the attachment of a Certificate of Merit.

18 24. After receiving the Notice, and to the best of Plaintiff’s information and belief, as of  
19 the filing of this Complaint, none of the noticed public enforcement agencies have commenced and  
20 diligently prosecuted a cause of action against Defendant under Proposition 65 to enforce the  
21 violations set forth in the Notices.

22 25. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty days from the date of the Notices  
23 to Defendant.

24 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

25 **(Against Defendant for Violations of Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 at San Pablo**  
26 **Station)**

27 26. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference the preceding paragraphs of this  
28 Complaint as though fully set forth herein.



1 individuals who come onto that station's premises to Gasoline, a hazardous chemical known to the  
2 State of California to cause cancer.

3 38. Defendant knows that individuals will be exposed to Gasoline when those individuals  
4 come onto that station's premises.

5 39. Defendant failed to provide such individuals with any clear or reasonable warnings  
6 concerning Gasoline exposure on the El Portal Station's premises.

7 40. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at all relevant times herein, and at least as of one  
8 year preceding the filing of this Complaint, Defendant knowingly and intentionally exposed  
9 individuals who came onto the premises of the El Portal Station to Gasoline without providing the  
10 warnings required by Proposition 65—and Defendant continues to do so.

11 41. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the claims set forth in this Cause  
12 of Action prior to filing this Complaint.

13 42. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above-  
14 described acts at the El Portal Station, Defendant is liable for an additional maximum civil penalty of  
15 \$2,500 per day.

16 43. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically  
17 authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant.

18 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

19 Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

20 1. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a), preliminarily and  
21 permanently enjoin Defendant from exposing individuals to Gasoline at each of the Subject Service  
22 Stations without providing prior clear and reasonable warnings as to such exposure;

23 2. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), assess civil penalties  
24 against Defendant in the amount of \$2,500 per day per Subject Service Station for each violation of  
25 Proposition 65 according to proof;

26 3. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a), order Defendant to take  
27 action to stop ongoing unwarned exposures to Gasoline at the Subject Service Stations;

28 4. That the Court, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 or any other applicable

1 theory, grant Plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and

2 5. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

3  
4 Dated: June 28, 2024

JARRETT CHARO APC

5  
6 By: 

Jarrett S. Charo, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

7  
8  
9 MANNING LAW APC

10  
11 And By: 

12 Joseph Manning, Jr., Esq.  
13 Attorneys for Plaintiff