SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

AMAZON.COM, INC

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

PAUL WOZNIAK

FOR COURT HOE ONLY	
FOR COURT USE ONLY	
(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)	

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information					
served on the plaintiff. A letter o case. There may be a court forn Online Self-Help Center (www.c the court clerk for a fee waiver f may be taken without further wa There are other legal require referral service. If you cannot at these nonprofit groups at the Ca (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp) costs on any settlement or arbit [AVISO! Lo han demandado. S	AYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in that you can use for your response. You can find these court for courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthoutorm. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the carning from the court. In the court is the court of the cour	n proper legal form if you want the court to hear your rms and more information at the California Courts se nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask ase by default, and your wages, money, and property to know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate California Courts Online Self-Help Center E: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and just be paid before the court will dismiss the case.			
corte y hacer que se entregue u en formato legal correcto si desi Puede encontrar estos formulan biblioteca de leyes de su condat que le dé un formulario de exenpodrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y Hay otros requisitos legales. E remisión a abogados. Si no pue programa de servicios legales si (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en colegio de abogados locales. Al cualquier recuperación de \$10,0	ARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legale na copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no l ea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las O do o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cución de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, publenes sin más advertencia. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no de pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos in fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca/ISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los o nás de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión ntes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.	o protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar mulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. lortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la lorte de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte uede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de es para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un en el sitio web de California Legal Services, gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre			
The name and address of the (El nombre y dirección de la c	court is: orte es): San Francisco County Superior Court	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):			
400 McAllister Street,					
San Francisco, CA 9410		CGC-23-611125			
(El nombre, la dirección y el n	hone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an a úmero de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del de N: 135534), 72 Huckleberry Hill Road, New Ca	ntorney, is: mandante que no tiene abogado, es):			
DATE:	Clerk, by	, Deputy			
(Fecha)	(Secretario)	(Adjunto)			
(For proo j 2]#5/2023 is sui (Para prueba de entrega de es	mmons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010 sta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served)).) AUSTIN LAM , (POS-010)).			
	 as an individual defendant. as the person sued under the fictitious name of 	of (specify):			
COURT OF CALLED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	a. on behalf of (specify): under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnersh	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)			

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

4.

SUMMONS

other (specify):

by personal delivery on (date):

Page 1 of 1 Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465 www.courtinfo.ca.gov

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar no	FOR COURT USE ONLY				
Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534, o					
72 Huckleberry Hill Road	TO CHANLER, ELC	ELECTRONICALLY			
New Canaan, CT 06840	(200)				
TELEPHONE NO.: (475) 277-2932	FAX NO.: (203) 702-5011	FILED			
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, Paul Woznia	Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco				
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San	Francisco	County of San Francisco			
STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street		12/15/2023			
MAILING ADDRESS:	103	Clerk of the Court			
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco, CA 94 BRANCH NAME: Civic Center Courthou	102	BY: AUSTIN LAM			
CASE NAME:	ise	Deputy Clerk			
Wozniak v. Amazon.com, Inc		CASE NUMBER: CGC-23-611125			
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	GGC-23-011125			
✓ Unlimited Limited (Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder				
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	dant JUDGE:			
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)				
	w must be completed (see instructions				
Check one box below for the case type that		on page 2).			
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation			
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)			
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)			
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)			
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)			
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)			
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)			
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the			
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case			
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)			
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment			
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)			
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint			
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)			
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)			
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition			
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)				
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)			
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)			
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)				
2. This case ☐ is ✓ is not compl		ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the			
factors requiring exceptional judicial manage	ement:				
a. Large number of separately represe	ented parties d. Large numbe	er of witnesses			
b. Extensive motion practice raising d		with related actions pending in one or more courts			
issues that will be time-consuming		ties, states, or countries, or in a federal court			
c. Substantial amount of documentary		ostjudgment judicial supervision			
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.		declaratory or injunctive relief			
4. Number of causes of action (specify): One					
5. This case is ✓ is not a class		• • •			
If there are any known related cases, file an	d serve a notice of related case. Wor	may use form CM-0 5.			
Date: December 15, 2023		999 000			
Clifford A. Chanler					
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(8	SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)			
Diginistiff must file this several best with the fire	NOTICE	()			
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the fire under the Probate Code, Family Code, or M.		ng (except small claims cases or cases filed les of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result			
in sanctions.	chare and madulions code). (Cal. Rul	es of Sourt, fulle 5.220.) Fallure to file may result			
 File this cover sheet in addition to any cover 	File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.				
• If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all					
other parties to the action or proceeding.					
 Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. 					
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400–3.403, 3.740;			
Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007]		Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10 www.courtinfo.ca.gov			
		American LegalNet, Inc. www.FormsWorkflow.com			

1 2 3 4 5	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 CHANLER, LLC 72 Huckleberry Hill Road New Canaan, CT 06840 Telephone: (475) 277-2932 Facsimile: (203) 702-5011 Email: Clifford@ChanlerLLC.com Steven Y. Chen, State Bar No. 243200	FILFD Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco 12/15/2023 Clerk of the Court BY: AUSTIN LAM Deputy Clerk			
6	STEVEN Y. CHEN, APLC 2650 River Avenue, Unit A				
7	Rosemead, CA 91702				
8	Telephone: (626) 782-5017 Facsimile: (626) 307-1657 Email: Schen@Schenlaw.com				
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff PAUL WOZNIAK				
10	TAGE WOZIMAK				
11	CGC-23-611125				
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
13	COUNTY OF S	SAN FRANCISCO			
14	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION				
15					
16	PAUL WOZNIAK,	Case No.			
17	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF			
18	V.				
19	AMAZON.COM, INC,	(Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.)			
20	Defendant.	(Health & Safety Code §23249.3 et seq.)			
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENA	LTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF			
	COM LANGITOR CIVIL I LIVIDIDO MONOTO TIVE REBIEN				

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by plaintiff Paul Wozniak in the public interest of California citizens to enforce the People's right to be informed of certain health hazards caused by exposures to di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP), diisononyl phthalate (DINP) and/or lead (Listed Chemicals), toxic chemicals found in: (i) padded armrests and other vinyl upholstered components used for wheelchairs, (ii) grips and other vinyl upholstered components used for wheelchairs with padded armrests and/or other accessible vinyl components, and (iv) rollators with accessible vinyl upholstered components (Products). The Products are repackaged, produced, stored, distributed, shipped and/or sold online (sold) to California citizens by defendant Amazon.com, Inc. and Amazon sellers through, among other programs, Amazon's own business models such as logistical operations and third-party marketplace.
- 2. By this Complaint, plaintiff seeks to remedy defendant's continuing failure to warn consumers and businesses not covered by California's Occupational Safety Health Act, Labor Code §§6300 *et seq.* about the risks of exposure to the Listed Chemicals in the Products offered for sale or use throughout the State of California. Individuals, consumers and businesses not covered by California's Occupational Safety Health Act, Labor Code §§6300 *et seq.* who purchase, use or handle the Products are referred to hereinafter as "consumers."
- 3. Defendant has knowledge of the Listed Chemical contents of the Products which were and continue to be offered for purchase and/or transacted through amazon.com.
- 4. Under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at California Health & Safety Code §§25249.6 *et seq.* (Proposition 65), "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual..." Health & Safety Code §25249.6.
- 5. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on October 1, 1988, California identified and listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause cancer. DEHP became subject to the "clear and reasonable

warning" requirements of the act one year later on October 1, 1989. On October 24, 2003, California identified and listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. 27 Cal. Code Regs. §27001(b); Health & Safety Code §25249.8 and §25249.10(b).

- 6. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on December 20, 2013, California identified and listed DINP as a chemical known to cause cancer. DINP became subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of the act one year later on December 20, 2014. 27 Cal. Code Regs. §27001(b); Health & Safety Code §25249.8 and §25249.10(b).
- 7. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on February 27, 1987, California identified and listed lead as a chemical known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead became subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of the act one year later on February 27, 1988. On October 1, 1992, California identified and listed lead as a chemical known to cause cancer. 27 Cal. Code Regs. §27001(b); Health & Safety Code §25249.8 and §25249.10(b).
- 8. Defendant imports, distributes, facilitates and/or otherwise offers for sale the Products without the mandated health hazard warning in California.
- 9. Defendant's failure to warn consumers of the health hazards associated with exposures to the Listed Chemicals in conjunction with defendant's sales of the Products are violations of Proposition 65 which subject defendant to enjoinment of such conduct as well as civil penalties for each violation. Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a) and (b)(1).
- 10. For defendant's violations and threatened violations of Proposition 65, plaintiff seeks preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to compel it to provide purchasers and users of the Products with the required warning regarding specific health hazards associated with exposures to the Listed Chemicals. Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a).

¹ Claims released in one or more settlement agreements reached between plaintiff and upstream suppliers of the Products, which were sold directly by the settling entity on amazon.com, are excluded from the cause of action alleged

herein. This exclusion, however, does not apply to the sale of Products by third-parties (i.e., non-settling entities) on amazon.com. The claims set forth in the *Wozniak v. Amazon.com*, *Inc* action (SFSC Case No. CGC-22-602834) are also expressly excluded from this complaint. At some point, the matter of this action may be consolidated for reasons of, among other things, judicial economy.

11. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against defendant for their violations of Proposition 65, some of which are ongoing.

PARTIES

- 12. Plaintiff Paul Wozniak is a citizen of the State of California who is dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination or reduction of toxic exposures from consumer, and he brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d).
- 13. Defendant AMAZON.COM, INC. (AMAZON) is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §§25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 14. AMAZON imports, distributes, sells, facilitates, takes title to and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or implies by its conduct that it imports, distributes, facilitates for sale, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California. AMAZON has offered (and, in many instances, continues to offer) for sale Products supplied to it by entities that are not subject to enforcement under Proposition 65 because: (i) they have less than ten employees during all relevant periods or (ii) do not have an agent for process of service in California. Further, in some instances, the Products are shipped to California consumers either directly (or indirectly through an AMAZON fulfilment center in the United States) by sellers located in foreign countries without offices in the United States, after purchase at amazon.com.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 15. Venue is proper in the Superior Court for the County of San Francisco pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §§393, 395, and 395.5, because this Court is a court of competent jurisdiction, because plaintiff seeks civil penalties against AMAZON, one or more instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur, in this county, and/or AMAZON conducted, and continue to conduct business in San Francisco.
- 16. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original"

jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statute under which this action is brought does not specify any other basis of subject matter jurisdiction.

17. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over AMAZON based on plaintiff's information and good faith belief that AMAZON are each a person, firm, corporation has a principal office or association that is a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California, and/or otherwise purposefully avails itself of the California market. AMAZON's purposeful availment renders the exercise of personal jurisdiction (specific, limited or both) by California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Proposition 65)

- 18. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, Paragraphs 1 through 17, inclusive.
- 19. In enacting Proposition 65, in the preamble to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, the People of California expressly declared their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm."
- 20. Proposition 65 states, "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual..."

 Health & Safety Code §25249.6.
- 21. On September 8, 2023, plaintiff served a Supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation (AG No. 2023-02760 the "September Notice"), together with the requisite certificate of merit, on AMAZON, the California Attorney General's Office, and the requisite public enforcement agencies alleging that, as a result of AMAZON's sales of the Products, consumers in California are being exposed to DEHP and DINP resulting from their reasonably foreseeable use of the Products, without them first receiving a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding the risk of reproductive harm associated with such exposures, as required by Proposition 65.

- 22. On October 6, 2023, plaintiff served a Second Supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation (AG No. 2023-03064 the "October Notice"), together with the requisite certificate of merit, on AMAZON, the California Attorney General's Office, and the requisite public enforcement agencies alleging that, as a result of AMAZON's sales of the Products, consumers in California are being exposed to DEHP, DINP and/or lead resulting from their reasonably foreseeable use of the Products, without them first receiving a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding the risk of reproductive harm associated with such exposures, as required by Proposition 65.
- 23. The September Notice and the October Notice shall collectively be referred to as the "Notices."
- 24. AMAZON manufactures, imports, distributes, facilitates for sale, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.6, and AMAZON's violations have continued well beyond their receipt of plaintiff's Notices. As such, AMAZON's violations are ongoing and continuous in nature and, unless enjoined will continue in the future without any information or written answers that they will cease and desist until compliance is ensured.
- 25. After receiving plaintiff's Notices, no public enforcement agency has commenced and diligently prosecuted a cause of action against AMAZON under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations that are the subject of plaintiff's Notices.
- 26. The Products that AMAZON manufactures, imports, distributes, or offers for sale throughout the State of California cause exposures to the Listed Chemicals as a result of the reasonably foreseeable use of the Products. Such exposures caused by AMAZON and endured by consumers in California who purchase, use or handle the Products are not exempt from the "clear and reasonable" warning requirements of Proposition 65, yet AMAZON does not provide compliant warnings for the reproductive toxicity of DEHP and lead and carcinogenicity of DEHP, DINP and lead.

26

27

- 27. AMAZON has knowledge that the Products they or their sellers manufacture, import, distribute, sell, facilitate for sale or offer for sale in California contain the Listed Chemicals.
- 28. The Listed Chemicals are present in or on the Products in such a way as to expose consumers through dermal contact and/or ingestion during reasonably foreseeable use.
- 29. The normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Products has caused, and continues to cause, consumer product exposures to the Listed Chemicals as defined by 27 California Code of Regulations §25600.1(e).
- 30. AMAZON knows that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Products exposes individuals to the Listed Chemicals through dermal contact and/or ingestion.
- 31. AMAZON intends that exposures to the Listed Chemicals from the reasonably foreseeable use of the Products will occur by their deliberate, non-accidental participation in the manufacture, importation, distribution, sale, and offering of the Products for sale or use to consumers and others in California.
- 32. AMAZON failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to those consumers in California who have been, or who will be, exposed to DEHP, DINP and/or lead resulting from their use of the Products.
- 33. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65 enacted directly by California voters, consumers exposed to the Listed Chemicals as a result of their use of the Products that AMAZON sold without a "clear and reasonable" health hazard warning, have suffered, and continue to suffer, irreparable harm for which they have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.
- 34. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), as a consequence of the abovedescribed acts, AMAZON, and each of them, are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day for each violation.
- 35. As a consequence of the above-described acts, Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a) also specifically authorizes the Court to grant injunctive relief against AMAZON.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against AMAZON as follows:

- 1. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), assess civil penalties against AMAZON in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation;
- 2. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a), preliminarily and permanently enjoin AMAZON from manufacturing, importing, distributing, or offering the Products for sale or use in California including at amazon.com without first providing a "clear and reasonable warning" regarding the harms associated with exposures to the Listed Chemicals;
- 3. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a), issue preliminary and permanent injunctions mandating that AMAZON recall all Products currently in the chain of commerce in California without a "clear and reasonable warning" as defined by 27 California Code of Regulations §25600 *et seq.*;
 - 4. That the Court grant plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
 - 5. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: December 15, 2023 Respectfully submitted,

CHANLER, LLC

Clifford Charles