1 2 3 4 5 6	Lucas Novak (SBN 257484) LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069 Telephone: (323) 337-9015 Email: lucas.nvk@gmail.com Attorney for Plaintiff, APS&EE, LLC	Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles 1/02/2024 11:15 AM David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, By S. Ruiz, Deputy Clerk	
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8	FOR THE COUNT	Y OF LOS ANGELES	
9			
10	APS&EE, LLC, a limited liability company,) CASE NO. <u>24ST</u> CV00027)	
11 12	Plaintiff, v.	 PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 	
12	JMLE, LLC, a limited liability company,)	
13	ROSS STORES, INC., a corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)	
14	Defendants.) Judge:) Dept.:	
16		Compl. Filed:	
17) Unlimited Jurisdiction	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		1 Plaintiff's Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief	

INTRODUCTION

1. This Complaint is brought by Plaintiff, APS&EE, LLC ("Plaintiff") in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California, a representative action to enforce the People's right to be informed of the presence of Perfluorooctanoic Acid ("PFOA"), a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm, in the "PerfectCuisine" brand of nonstick fry pans, including but not limited to 7.75" (20cm) fry pan, 400254216842, sold by Defendants.

2. The purpose of this Complaint is to remedy Defendants' continuing failure to warn California residents about the risk of exposure to PFOA in the fry pans manufactured, distributed, sold, and/or offered for sale to consumers in California.

3. Defendants have and continue to manufacture, distribute, sell, and/or offer to sell the "PerfectCuisine" brand of nonstick fry pans, including but not limited to 7.75" (20cm) fry pan, 400254216842. The products described in this paragraph shall hereinafter be referred to as the "Products".

4. Children and adults are exposed to PFOA when they use, touch, mouth, purchase, handle, play with, repair, maintain, clean, cook with, and/or eat from the Products.

Hazardous levels of PFOA are found in the accessible surface areas of the
 Products manufactured, distributed, sold, and/or offered for sale by Defendants to consumers in
 California.

6. California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65") provides in pertinent part: "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual..."

7. Studies repeatedly conclude that exposure to PFOA is hazardous to the health of children and adults. On November 10, 2017, the State of California officially listed PFOA as known to cause reproductive toxicity. PFOA is specifically identified as a developmental toxicant, meaning it can cause harm to the developing fetus. 27 Cal. Code Regs. ("C.C.R.") §27001(c). On February 25, 2022, the State of California also listed PFOA as a chemical known

to cause cancer.

8. Defendants have and continue to manufacture, distribute, sell, and/or offer to sell the Products without the required warnings. Defendants' conduct violates the warning requirements of Proposition 65.

PARTIES

9. Plaintiff is an organization based in California acting in the public interest.
Plaintiff brings this action in the public interest pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d).

10. Defendant, JMLE, LLC is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.11. JMLE, LLC manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Products for sale and use in California.

Defendant, ROSS STORES, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.11. ROSS STORES, INC. manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Products for sale and use in California.

12. DOES 1 through 100 are each a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.11. DOES 1 through 100 manufacture, distribute, and/or sell the Products for sale and use in California. The true names of DOES 1 through 100 are unknown to Plaintiff at this time. When their identities are discovered, Plaintiff's Complaint shall be amended to reflect their true names.

13. The defendants identified in paragraphs 10-12 shall collectively be referred to herein as "Defendants".

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

14. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, which allows enforcement in any court of competent jurisdiction, and pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, because this lawsuit is based on a cause not given by statute to other trial courts. Moreover, this action belongs in unlimited jurisdiction since the amount in controversy exceeds \$35,000 and Plaintiff seeks permanent injunctive relief.

15. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because each is a person, firm, corporation, or association with sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California, or otherwise purposefully avails itself to the California market as to render jurisdiction by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

16. Venue is proper in Los Angeles County Superior Court because one or more occurrences of the wrongful conduct occurred, and continues to occur, in Los Angeles County, and/or because Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in this County with respect to the Products.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violations of Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 et seq.)

17. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, Paragraphs 1 through 16, inclusive.

18. On October 19, 2023, Plaintiff served a Sixty-Day Notice of Violation with a Certificate of Merit (the "Notice"), to JMLE, LLC., ROSS STORES, INC., and the various public enforcement agencies with respect to the Proposition 65 violations related to PFOA in the Products. In addition, on said date, in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(d), confidential factual information sufficient to establish the basis for the Certificate of Merit was provided to the California Attorney General.

19. None of the public prosecutors with the authority to prosecute Proposition 65
 violations has commenced and/or is diligently prosecuting the causes of action against
 Defendants based on the claims asserted in Plaintiff's Notice.

20. By placing the Products into the stream of commerce, each Defendant is a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.

21. Defendants knew and intended that consumers, including children, will use, touch, mouth, purchase, handle, play with, repair, maintain, clean, cook with, and/or eat from the Products.

22. Defendants knew that the Products contain PFOA. Defendants advertise the Products as having "Xylan nonstick coating for easy release". A quick internet search of Xylan

4

1

provides that it is a fluoropolymer-based industrial coating similar to Teflon. Defendants, who are in the business of marketing consumer goods such as cookware, also should have known or have constructive knowledge that the Products contain PFOA from widespread media coverage and/or other channels of information concerning the presence of PFOA in similar products.

23. PFOA is a chemical listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

24. Defendants' Products contain sufficient quantities of PFOA such that consumers, including children, who use, touch, mouth, purchase, handle, play with, repair, maintain, clean, cook with, and/or eat from the Products are exposed to unsafe levels of PFOA. PFOA is present in the Products in such a way as to expose individuals to PFOA, as exposure is defined by 27 CCR section 25600.1(e): "...that results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or any reasonably foreseeable use..." Direct and indirect exposure occurs through inhalation, ingestion and/or dermal contact during the reasonably foreseeable use of the Products. For example, cooking with the Products will result in PFOA release into the food, and consumers will subsequently ingest PFOA in that food.

25. Defendants knew or should have known that the reasonably foreseeable use of the Products exposes individuals to PFOA through inhalation, ingestion and/or dermal contact.

26. Defendants failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to individuals in the State of California who were or could become exposed to PFOA during the reasonably foreseeable use of the Products.

27. By committing the acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendants have violated California Health & Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. by knowingly and intentionally exposing individuals to PFOA without first giving clear and reasonable warnings to such individuals regarding the toxicity of PFOA.

28. As a result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, individuals in the State of California have been exposed to PFOA through the inhalation, ingestion and/or dermal contact during the reasonably foreseeable use of the Products without a "clear and reasonable warning", and have suffered and continue to suffer harm, each and every day since at least October 19, 2022.

5

1

2

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
2	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:	
3	1.	That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(b),
4	assess civil penalties against Defendants in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation	
5	alleged herein;	
6	2.	That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(a),
7	preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants from manufacturing, distributing, or offering	
8	the Products for sale in California without providing "clear and reasonable warnings" as defined	
9	by 27 CCR section 25601;	
10	3.	That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(a),
11	order Defendants to take action to stop ongoing unwarned exposures to PFOA resulting from use	
12	of Products sold by Defendants;	
13	4.	That the Court, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, or
14	any other applicable theory, grant Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and	
15	Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.	
16		
17	Dated: Janua	ry 2, 2024 LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK
18		Pur Hall
19		By: LUCAS T. NOVAK
20		Attorney for Plaintiff, APS&EE, LLC
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		6 Plaintiff's Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief