I ENTORNO LAW, LLP Superior Court of California, Courtiy of Alameda 06052024 at 10,46,04 AM Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) Jake W. Schulte (SBN 29377) Jaan Matarajan (SBN 346770) Jaanni Natarajan (SBN 346770) Demaree Firaklin, Deputy Clerk 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 Sen Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 629-0527 6 Email: noam@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com 7 Email: inoam@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 12 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC., a 13 NCEENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company: AMAZON.COM, INC., a Doftaware corporation; BRISTOL F ARMS, a 14 v. 15 ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company: AMAZON.COM, INC., a Doftaware corporation; BRISTOL F ARMS, a 16 Defendants. 17 California corporation; BRISTOL F ARMS, a 18 Defendants. 19 Defendants. 12 Envire corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants			ELECTRONICALLY FILED		
 ENTORNO LAW, LLP Noam Glick (SBN 251582) Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444) Jake W. Schulte (SBN 29377) Janani Natarajan (SBN 346770) 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 Tei: (619) 629-0527 Email: noam@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com Bibliti jake@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com Bibliti jake@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com Biblitiff Plaintiff, V. ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company: AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Jake Defendants. Jake			Superior Court of California,		
2 Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 17844) Jake W. Schute (SBN 29377) Janani Natarajan (SBN 346770) 06/05/2024 at 10:46.04 AM by: Damare Fanklin, Dapoty Clark 4 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 5 5 Tet: (619) 629-0527 Email: noam@entomolaw.com Email: jake@entomolaw.com Email: jake@entomolaw.com Email: jake@entomolaw.com 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff, Environmental Health Advocates, Inc. 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 12 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC., 13 Plaintiff, v. 14 v. 15 ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Case No.: 24C:> 078455 16 Defendants. 17 Defendants. 18 Defendants. 19 Defendants. 20 Defendants. 21 23 22 23 23 24 24 25 25 26 26	1				
Idak W. Schult (SBN 293777) by: Banære Farklin, Deputy Clerk Idak W. Schult (SBN 293777) Janani Natarajan (SBN 346770) Idak W. Schult (SBN 293777) Deputy Clerk <	2				
Janani Natarajan (SBN 346770) UPDRY URK 4 225 Broadwary, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 629-0527 5 Tel: (619) 629-0527 6 Email: craig@entornolaw.com 7 Email: craig@entornolaw.com 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 12 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC., a 13 Plaintiff, v. 14 V. 15 ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a 16 Delaware corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a 17 Ioo, inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19 Defendants. 20 Environ BRISTOL FARMS, a 21 California corporation; and DOES I through 100, inclusive, 21 Defendants. 22 Environ BRISTOL FARMS, a 23 California corporation; and DOES I through 100, inclusive, 24 Environ BRISTOL FARMS, a 25 Environ BRISTOL FARMS, a 26 Environ BRISTOL FARMS, a 27 Environ BRISTOL FARMS, a 28 Environ BRISTOL FARMS, a					
 225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101 Tct: (619) 629-0527 Email: craig@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Health Advocates, Inc. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC., Plaintiff, V. Plaintiff, V. ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants. Inc. Inc.<!--</td--><td>3</td><td></td><td>Deputy Clerk</td>	3		Deputy Clerk		
 Tel: (619) 629-0527 Email: noam@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Health Advocates, Inc. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, NC., Plaintiff, v. ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a California corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through Defendants. Defendants. IO0, inclusive, IO	4				
 Email: ioan@citornolaw.com Email: jaak@entornolaw.com Email: jaak@entornolaw.com Email: jaak@entornolaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Health Advocates, Inc. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, Plaintiff, V. ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants. 	5				
 Email: craig@entornolaw.com Email: jakc@entornolaw.com Email: jakc@entornolaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Health Advocates, Inc. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, Inc., Plaintiff, v. ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Defaware corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Image: Constant of the state of the st	3				
7 Email: jake@entornolaw.com 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 12 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC., 13 Plaintiff, 14 v. 15 ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a California corporation; BRISTOL FARNS, a California corporation; BRISTOL	6	0			
 Email: janani@entornolaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Health Advocates, Inc. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, NC., Plaintiff, v. ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants. Image: State State	7				
 Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Health Advocates, Inc. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC., Plaintiff, V. ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants. Image: Company of Com					
 Environmental Health Advocates, Inc. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC., a Plaintiff, v. ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Interference of the state of the state	8				
 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, NC., Plaintiff, N. ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a belaware corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a california corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants. Image: State of the state of t	9				
11 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 12 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC., 13 Plaintiff, 14 v. 15 ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Case No.: 24C∨UT8455 16 Delaware corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Case No.: 25249.6 et seq.) 18 Defendants. Defendants. 19 Intervention of the termination of termin	10				
 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC., Plaintiff, V. ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants. 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 100, inclusive, 18 19 100 <li< th=""><th>10</th><th colspan="4">SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA</th></li<>	10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
 INC., Plaintiff, V. ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a California corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants. 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 100, inclusive, 18 19 100 100 100, inclusive, 100 100 100, inclusive, 100 100, inclusive, 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 100, inclusive, 18 19 100 100, inclusive, 19 100 100, inclusive, 100 100, inclusive, 100 100<td>11</td><td colspan="4">IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA</td>	11	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
13 Plaintiff, 14 v. 15 ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a California corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a Defendants. (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) 18 Defendants. 19 Defendants. 20	12		Case No.: 24CV078455		
14 v. 15 ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) 18 Defendants. 19	13	INC.,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES		
 ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants. 	14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF		
 ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 		v.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)		
16 Delaware corporation; BRISTOL FARMS, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19	15	ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC, a Florida limited			
17 California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19	16	Delaware corporation: BRISTOL FARMS, a			
18 Defendants. 19	17	California corporation; and DOES 1 through			
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	1 /	100, inclusive,			
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	18	Defendants.			
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	19				
22 23 24 25 26 27	20				
22 23 24 25 26 27	21				
23 24 25 26 27	22				
24 25 26 27					
25 26 27					
26 27					
27					
28					
	28				

I.
INTRODUCTION

1

24

This Complaint is a representative action brought by Environmental Health Advocates,
 Inc. ("Plaintiff") in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California ("the People"). Plaintiff
 seeks to remedy Defendants' failure to inform the People of exposure to lead and lead Compounds, a
 known carcinogen and reproductive toxin. Defendants expose consumers to lead by manufacturing,
 importing, selling, and/or distributing protein powder including, but not limited to, Ancient Nutrition
 Plant Protein+ Berry ("Product 1"), Ancient Nutrition Plant Protein+ Vanilla ("Product 2") (collectively,
 the "Products"). Defendants know and intend that customers will ingest Products containing lead.

9 2. Under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California
10 Health and Safety Code, section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), "[n]o person in the course of doing
11 business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to
12 cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such
13 individual..." (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.6.)

California identified and listed lead as a chemical known to cause cancer as early as
October 1, 1992, and as a chemical known to cause developmental/reproductive toxicity on February
27, 1987.

17 4. Defendants failed to sufficiently warn consumers and individuals in California about
18 potential exposure to lead in connection with Defendants' manufacture, import, sale, or distribution of
19 Products. This is a violation of Proposition 65.

5. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief compelling Defendants to sufficiently warn consumers
in California before exposing them to lead in Products. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7(a).) Plaintiff
also seeks civil penalties against Defendants for violations of Proposition 65 along with attorney's fees
and costs. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7(b).)

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC. ("Plaintiff") is a
corporation in the State of California dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through
the elimination or reduction of toxic exposure from consumer products. It brings this action in the public
interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code, section 25249.7.

7. Defendant ANCIENT BRANDS, LLC ("Ancient Brands") is a limited liability
 company organized and existing under the laws of Florida. Ancient Brands is registered to do business
 in California, and does business in the County of Alameda, within the meaning of Health and Safety
 Code, section 25249.11. Ancient Brands manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes the Products in
 California and Alameda County.

8. Defendant AMAZON.COM, INC. ("Amazon") is a corporation organized and existing
under the laws of Delaware. Amazon is registered to do business in California, and does business in the
County of Alameda, within the meaning of Health and Safety Code, section 25249.11. Amazon
manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes the Products in California and Alameda County.

9. Defendant BRISTOL FARMS ("Bristol") is a corporation organized and existing under
 the laws of California. Bristol is registered to do business in California, and does business in the County
 of Alameda, within the meaning of Health and Safety Code, section 25249.11. Bristol manufactures,
 imports, sells, or distributes the Products in California and Alameda County.

14 10. Plaintiff does not know the true names and/or capacities, whether individual, partners, 15 or corporate, of the Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, and for that reason sues 16 said Defendants under fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint when the true 17 names and capacities of these Defendants have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and 18 thereon alleges that these Defendants are responsible in whole or in part for the remedies and penalties 19 sought herein.

20 11. At all times mentioned, Defendants were the agents, alter egos, servants, joint venturers,
21 joint employers, or employees for each other. Defendants acted with the consent of the other Co22 Defendants and acted within the course, purpose, and scope of their agency, service, or employment.
23 All conduct was ratified by Defendants, and each of them.

[Rest of page intentionally left blank.]

24

25

26

27

28

III. VENUE AND JURISDICTION

12. California Constitution Article VI, Section 10 grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all cases except those given by statute to other trial courts. The Health and Safety Code statute upon which this action is based does not give jurisdiction to any other court. As such, this Court has jurisdiction.

13. Venue is proper in Alameda County Superior Court pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, sections 394, 395, and 395.5. Wrongful conduct occurred and continues to occur in this County. Defendants conducted and continue to conduct business in this County as it relates to Products.

14. Defendants have sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California or otherwise purposefully avail themselves of the California market. Exercising jurisdiction over Defendants would be consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

IV. **CAUSES OF ACTION**

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Proposition 65 – Against all Defendants)

15. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained above.

16. Proposition 65 mandates that citizens be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm.

17. Defendants manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed Products containing lead in violation of Health and Safety Code, section 25249.6 et seq. Plaintiff is informed and believes such violations have continued after receipt of the Notice (defined infra) and will continue to occur into the future.

18. In manufacturing, importing, selling, and/or distributing Products, Defendants failed to provide a clear and reasonable warning to consumers and individuals in California who may be exposed to lead through reasonably foreseeable use of the Products. 25

19. Products expose individuals to lead through direct ingestion. This exposure is a natural 26 and foreseeable consequence of Defendants placing Products into the stream of commerce. As such, 27 28 Defendants intend that consumers will ingest Products, exposing them to lead.

20. Defendants knew or should have known that the Products contained lead and exposed
 individuals to lead in the ways provided above. The Notice informed Defendants of the presence of
 lead in the Products. Likewise, media coverage concerning lead and related chemicals in consumer
 products provided constructive notice to Defendants.

5

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

21. Defendants' actions in this regard were deliberate and not accidental.

More than sixty days prior to naming each defendant in this lawsuit, Plaintiff issued a
60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice") as required by and in compliance with Proposition 65. Plaintiff
provided the Notice to the various required public enforcement agencies along with a certificate of merit.
The Notice alleged that Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in
California of the health hazards associated with exposures to lead contained in the Products.

11 23. The appropriate public enforcement agencies provided with the Notice failed to
12 commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against Defendants.

13 24. Individuals exposed to lead contained in Products through direct ingestion resulting
14 from reasonably foreseeable use of the Products have suffered and continue to suffer irreparable harm.
15 There is no other plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.

16 25. Defendants are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day for each violation
17 of Proposition 65 pursuant to Health and Safety Code, section 252497(b). Injunctive relief is also
18 appropriate pursuant to Health and Safety Code, section 25249.7(a).

[Rest of page intentionally left blank.]

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
2	Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:			
3	1. Civil penalties in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation. Plaintiff alleges that			
4	damages total a minimum of \$1,000,000;			
5	2.	2. A preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendants from manufacturing,		
6	importing, selling, and/or distributing Products in California without providing a clear and reasonable			
7	warning as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations;			
8	3.	3. Reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit; and		
9	4.	Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.		
10				
11	Respectfully submitted:			
12	Dated: June :	5, 2024 H	ENTORNO LAW, LLP	
13		By:	Noon Slich	
14		By: <u> </u>	Noam Glick	
15				
16			Craig M. Nicholas Jake W. Schulte	
17		J	anani Natarajan	
18			Attorneys for Plaintiff	
19		E	Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.	
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25 26				
26 27				
27 28				
2ð				