

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

Superior Court of California,
County of Alameda

06/07/2024 at 10:21:32 AM

By: Damaree Franklin,
Deputy Clerk

ENTORNO LAW, LLP

Noam Glick (SBN 251582)
Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444)
Jake W. Schulte (SBN 293777)
Janani Natarajan (SBN 346770)

225 Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, California 92101
Tel: (619) 629-0527
Email: noam@entornolaw.com
Email: craig@entornolaw.com
Email: jake@entornolaw.com
Email: janani@entornolaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES,
INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

VALKEN INCORPORATED, a New Jersey
corporation; A.N.S. XTREME
PERFORMANCE, INC., a California
corporation; and DOES 1 through 100,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: **24CV078809**

**COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)

I.
INTRODUCTION

1
2 1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by Environmental Health Advocates,
3 Inc. (“Plaintiff”) in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California (“the People”). Plaintiff
4 seeks to remedy Defendants' failure to inform the People of exposure to lead, a known carcinogen and
5 developmental/reproductive toxin. Defendants expose consumers to lead by manufacturing, importing,
6 selling, and/or distributing valves including, but not limited to, Universal CO2 Tank Pin Valve
7 (“Products”). Defendants know and intend that customers will indirectly ingest Products containing
8 lead.

9 2. Under California’s Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California
10 Health and Safety Code, section 25249.6 et seq. (“Proposition 65”), “[n]o person in the course of doing
11 business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to
12 cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such
13 individual. . . .” (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.6.)

14 3. California identified and listed lead as a chemical known to cause cancer as early as
15 October 1, 1992, and as a chemical known to cause developmental/reproductive toxicity on February
16 27, 1987.

17 4. Defendants failed to sufficiently warn consumers and individuals in California about
18 potential exposure to lead in connection with Defendants' manufacture, import, sale, or distribution of
19 Products. This is a violation of Proposition 65.

20 5. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief compelling Defendants to sufficiently warn consumers
21 in California before exposing them to lead in Products. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7(a).) Plaintiff
22 also seeks civil penalties against Defendants for violations of Proposition 65 along with attorney’s fees
23 and costs. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7(b).)

II.
PARTIES

24
25 6. Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC. (“Plaintiff”) is a
26 corporation in the State of California dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through
27 the elimination or reduction of toxic exposure from consumer products. It brings this action in the public
28 interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code, section 25249.7.

1 21. More than sixty days prior to naming each defendant in this lawsuit, Plaintiff issued a
2 60-Day Notice of Violation (“Notice”) as required by and in compliance with Proposition 65. Plaintiff
3 provided the Notice to the various required public enforcement agencies along with a certificate of merit.
4 The Notice alleged that Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in
5 California of the health hazards associated with exposures to lead contained in the Products.

6 22. The appropriate public enforcement agencies provided with the Notice failed to
7 commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against Defendants.

8 23. Individuals exposed to lead contained in Products through indirect ingestion through
9 hand to mouth exposure resulting from reasonably foreseeable use of the Products have suffered and
10 continue to suffer irreparable harm. There is no other plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.

11 24. Defendants are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day for each violation
12 of Proposition 65 pursuant to Health and Safety Code, section 252497(b). Injunctive relief is also
13 appropriate pursuant to Health and Safety Code, section 25249.7(a).

14 *[Rest of page intentionally left blank.]*
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

2 Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 3 1. Civil penalties in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation. Plaintiff alleges that
4 damages total a minimum of \$1,000,000;
- 5 2. A preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendants from manufacturing,
6 importing, selling, and/or distributing Products in California without providing a clear and reasonable
7 warning as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations;
- 8 3. Reasonable attorney’s fees and costs of suit; and
- 9 4. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.
- 10

11 Respectfully submitted:

12 Dated: June 7, 2024

ENTORNO LAW, LLP

13
14 By: 
Noam Glick

15
16 Craig M. Nicholas
17 Jake W. Schulte
18 Janani Natarajan

19 Attorneys for Plaintiff
20 Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28