1 2 3 4	Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352) Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113) BRODSKY SMITH 9465 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 300 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: (877) 534-2590 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160	FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco  02/21/2025 Clerk of the Court	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	BY: SAHAR ENAYATI Deputy Clerk	
6			
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
9	EMA BELL,	Case No.:	
10	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CGC-25-622596	
11	vs.	(Violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et	
12	PARKS PROJECT, LLC, TILLY'S,	seq.)	
13	INC., WORLD OF JEANS & TOPS DBA TILLYS,		
14	Defendants.	8	
15	Plaintiff Ema Bell ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, alleges the following cause		
16	of action in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California.		
17	BACKGROUND OF THE CASE		
18	Plaintiff brings this representation	ative action on behalf of all California citizens to	
19	enforce relevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at		
20	the Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq ("Proposition 65"), which reads, in relevant part,		
21	"[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any		
22	individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first		
23	giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual". Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.		
24	2. This complaint is a representat	ive action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest	
25	of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People's right to be informed of the health		
26	hazards caused by exposure to lead, a toxic chemical found in Parks Project Cali Dreamin mugs		
27	sold and/or distributed by defendants Parks Projects, LLC, Tilly's, Inc., and/or World of Jeans &		
28	Tops dba Tillys (collectively, "Defendants" and each a "Defendant") in California.		
		-1	

COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – VIOLATION OF HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5

- 3. Lead is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. On October 1, 1992, the state of California listed lead as a chemical known to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On February 27, 1987, the State of California listed lead as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 4. Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations. Included in such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing a Proposition 65-listed chemical that will create an exposure above safe harbor levels with a "clear and reasonable" warning before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing any person to any such listed chemical.
- 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000.00) to be imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code \$ 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin the actions of a defendant which "violate or threaten to violate" the statute. Health & Safety Code \$ 25249.7.
- 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants distribute and/or offer for sale in California, without a requisite exposure warning, Parks Project Cali Dreamin mugs (the "Products") that expose persons to lead when used for their intended purpose.
- 7. Defendants' failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the health hazards associated with exposure to lead in conjunction with the sale and/or distribution of the Products is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendants to the enjoinment and civil penalties described herein.
- 8. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendants for their violations of Proposition 65 in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

- 9. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief, preliminarily and permanently, requiring Defendants to provide purchasers or users of the Products with required warnings related to the dangers and health hazards associated with exposure to lead pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a).
  - 10. Plaintiff further seeks a reasonable award of attorney's fees and costs.

### **PARTIES**

- 11. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. She brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 12. Defendant Parks Project, LLC, through its business, effectively imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California. Plaintiff alleges that defendant Parks Project, LLC is a "person" in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 13. Defendant Tilly's, Inc., through its business, effectively imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California. Plaintiff alleges that defendant Tilly's, Inc. is a "person" in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 14. Defendant World of Jeans & Tops dba Tillys, through its business, effectively imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California. Plaintiff alleges that defendant World of Jeans & Tops dba Tillys is a "person" in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

#### VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 15. Venue is proper in the County of San Francisco because one or more of the instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur in this county and/or because Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in the County of San Francisco with respect to the Products.
- 16. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 allows for the enforcement of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction; therefore, this Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit.
- 17. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because each Defendant is either a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, is registered with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do business in the State of California, and/or has otherwise purposefully availed itself of the California market. Such purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts consistent and permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

#### STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 18. The people of the State of California declared in Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." (Section 1(b) of Initiative Measure, Proposition 65.)
- 19. To effect this goal, Proposition 65 requires that individuals be provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" before being exposed to substances listed by the State of California as causing cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm. H&S Code § 25249.6 states, in pertinent part:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual...

20. An exposure to a chemical in a consumer product is one "which results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." (27 CCR § 25602, para (b).) H&S Code § 25603(c) states that "a person in the course of doing business ... shall provide a warning to any person to whom the product is sold or transferred unless the product is packaged or labeled with a clear and reasonable warning."

- 21. Pursuant to H&S Code § 25603.1, the warning may be provided by using one or more of the following methods individually or in combination:<sup>1</sup>
  - a. A warning that appears on a product's label or other labeling.
  - b. Identification of the product at the retail outlet in a manner which provides a warning. Identification may be through shelf labeling, signs, menus, or a combination thereof.
  - c. The warnings provided pursuant to subparagraphs (a) and (b) shall be prominently placed upon a product's labels or other labeling or displayed at the retail outlet with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices in the label, labeling or display as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use.
  - d. A system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free information services, or any other system that provides clear and reasonable warnings.
- 22. Proposition 65 provides that any "person who violates or threatens to violate" the statute may be enjoined in a court of competent jurisdiction. (H&S Code § 25249.7.) The phrase "threaten to violate" is defined to mean creating "a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." (H&S Code § 25249.11(e).) Violators are liable for civil

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Alternatively, a person in the course of doing business may elect to comply with the warning requirements set out in the amended version of 27 CCR 25601, *et.seq.*. as amended on August 30, 2016, and operative on August 30, 2018.

penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day for each violation of the Act (H&S Code § 25249.7) for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000.00).

# FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 23. On October 1, 1992, the state of California listed lead as a chemical known to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On February 27, 1987, the State of California listed lead as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. In summary, lead was listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 24. The exposures that are the subject of the Notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Product. The primary route of exposure to the is through dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers use, touch, or handle the Products. Exposure through ingestion will occur by touching the Product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth. No clear and reasonable warning is provided with the Products regarding the health hazards of exposure.
- 25. Defendants have processed, marketed, distributed, offered to sell and/or sold the Products in California since at least February 28, 2024. The Products continue to be distributed and sold in California without the requisite warning information.
- 26. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants have knowingly and intentionally exposed users and/or consumers of the Products to lead without first giving a clear and reasonable exposure warning to such individuals.
- 27. As a proximate result of acts by each Defendant, as a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of H&S Code § 25249.11, individuals throughout the State of California, including in San Francisco County, have been exposed to lead without a clear and reasonable warning on the Products. The individuals subject to the violative exposures include normal and foreseeable users and consumers that use the Products, as well as all others exposed to the Products.

- 28. Plaintiff purchased the Product from Tilly's in California. At the time of purchase, Defendants did not provide a Proposition 65 exposure warning for lead or any other Proposition 65 listed chemical in a manner consistent with H&S Code § 25603.1 as described *supra*.
- 29. The Product was sent to a testing laboratory to determine if, and what amount of, lead would migrate and/or leach from the Products.
- 30. The laboratory provided the results of its analysis. Results of this test determined the Product exposes users to lead (the "Chemical Test Report").
- 31. Plaintiff provided the Chemical Test Report and Product to an analytical chemist to determine if, based on the findings of the Chemical Test Report and the reasonable and foreseeable use of the Product, exposure to lead will occur at levels that require Proposition 65 warnings under the Clear and Reasonable Warnings section 25601 of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations.
- 32. On February 28, 2024, Plaintiff received from the analytical chemist an exposure assessment report which concluded that persons in California who use the Products will be exposed to levels of lead that require a Proposition 65 exposure warning.
- 33. On February 28, 2024, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 (the "Notice") to Defendants concerning the exposure of California citizens to lead contained in the Products without proper warning, subject to a private action to Defendants and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred.
- 34. The Notice complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding lead exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a private action.

- 35. After receiving the Notice, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, none of the noticed appropriate public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted a cause of action against Defendants under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations which are the subject of the Notice.
- 36. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the date of the Notice to Defendants, as required by law.

## **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

# (By Plaintiff against Defendants for the Violation of Proposition 65)

- 37. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 36 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 38. Defendants have, at all times mentioned herein, acted as distributer, and/or retailer of the Product.
- 39. Use of the Products will exposed users to lead, a hazardous chemical found on the Proposition 65 list of chemicals known to be hazardous to human health.
  - 40. The Product does not comply with the Proposition 65 warning requirements.
- 41. Plaintiff, based on her best information and belief, avers that at all relevant times herein, and at least since February 28, 2024, continuing until the present, that Defendants have continued to knowingly and intentionally expose California users and consumers of the Product to lead without providing required warnings under Proposition 65.
- 42. The exposures that are the subject of the Notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Product. The primary route of exposure to the is through dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers use, touch, or handle the Products. Exposure through ingestion will occur by touching the Product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth. No clear and reasonable warning is provided with the Products regarding the health hazards of exposure.
- 43. Plaintiff, based on her best information and belief, avers that such exposures will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to purchasers and users or until this known toxic chemical is removed from the Products.

	44.	Defendants have knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the	
Products exposes individuals to lead, and Defendants intend that exposures to lead will occur by			
its deliberate, non-accidental participation in the importation, distribution, sale and offering of the			
Products to consumers in California			

- 45. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the herein claims prior to this Complaint.
- 46. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above described acts, each Defendant is liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day per violation.
- 47. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants.