Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles 2/03/2025 12:35 PM David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court. 1 CLIFFWOOD LAW FIRM By E. Galicia, Deputy Clerk ELHAM SHABATIAN (SBN 221953) 2 12100 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 800 3 Los Angeles, California 90025 Tel: (310) 200-3227 4 Email: ellie@cliffwoodlaw.com 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff Clean Product Advocates, LLC 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 9 10 Clean Product Advocates LLC, a) Case No. 258TCV02918 11 California Limited Liability) Company, COMPLAINT FOR PENALTY AND ١ 12 INJUNCTION) PLAINTIFF,) 13 Violation of Proposition 65,) vs. the Safe Drinking Water and) 14) Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Health & Safety Code Sections Abbot's Butcher, Inc.; DOES 1) 15 25249.5, et. seq.) Through 100,) ١ 16 ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL) DEFENDANTS. CASE (exceeds \$25,000.00)) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 1 28 COMPLAINT

INTRODUCTION

1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by 3 Clean Product Advocates, LLC ("Plaintiff" or "CPA") in the 4 public interest of the citizens of the State of California (the "People"). Plaintiff seeks to remedy Defendants' failure to 6 inform the People of exposure to "lead", a known carcinogen. 7 Defendants continue to expose consumers to lead by either 8 manufacturing, and/or importing, and/or selling and/or 9 distributing food products, including, but not limited to, Plant 10 Based Chorizo (UPN No. 850002856125) and Plant Based Ground Beef 11 (UPN No. 850002856149) ("Sources"). Defendants therefore know 12 and intend that customers will ingest products containing lead 13 under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act 14 of 1986, and California Health and Safety Code sections 25249.6 15 et. seq. ("Proposition 65") which states that "[n]o person in 16 the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally 17 expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause 18 cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and 19 20 Code Section 25249.6). 21

2. California has identified and listed lead as a chemical known to cause cancer as early as on or about October 1, 1992, and as a chemical known to cause developmental/ reproductive toxicity on or about February 27, 1987.

1 2

5

2

28

22

23

24

25

26

3. Defendants have failed to sufficiently warn consumers and individuals in California about potential exposure to lead in connection with Defendants' manufacture and/or import, and/or sale, and/or distribution of Products in violation of Proposition 65.

4. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief compelling Defendants to sufficiently warn consumers in California before exposing them to lead in Products (Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(a)). Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against Defendants for their violations of Proposition 65 along with reasonable attorney's fees and legal costs (Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b)).

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff CPA is an LLC operating in the State of California dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination or reduction of toxic exposure from consumer products. It brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7.

6. Defendant Abbot's Butcher, Inc. is a corporation that either manufactures and/or imports, and/or sells and/or distributes Products in Los Angeles County and throughout the State of California, within the meaning of Health & Safety Code Section 25249.11.

7. Defendants DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, are sued

3

COMPLAINT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

herein under fictitious names. Their true names and capacities are unknown to Plaintiff. When their true names and capacities are ascertained, plaintiff will amend this complaint by inserting their true names and capacities herein. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges, that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences alleged in this complaint and that Plaintiff's damages as alleged in this complaint were proximately caused by such defendants.

8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges, that at all times alleged in this complaint, each defendant was the agent, alter ego, servant, joint venturer, joint employer and/or employee, of each of the remaining defendants, and in doing the things hereinafter alleged, was acting within the course and scope of said relationships and with the permission and consent of all other co-defendants. All conduct was also ratified by Defendants and each of them.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. California Constitution Article V1, Section 10, grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all cases except those given by statute to other trial courts. The Health and Safety Code statutes upon which this action is based do not give

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

jurisdiction to any other Court. As such, this Court has jurisdiction over this action.

10. Venue is proper in Los Angeles County Superior Court pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Sections 394, 395 and 395.5 as wrongful conduct as alleged in this complaint has occurred and continues to occur in this County.

11. Defendants have sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California or otherwise purposefully avail themselves of the California market. Exercising jurisdiction over Defendants would therefore be consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Proposition 65 - Against all Defendants

12. Plaintiff incorporates by reference herein, each and every allegation set forth above in this complaint.

13. Proposition 65 mandates that California citizens be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm.

14. More than sixty days prior to the filing of this lawsuit naming each Defendant, Plaintiff issued 60-Day Notices Of Violation dated March 15, 2024 for Plant Based Chorizo and March 26, 2024 for Plant Based Ground Beef ("Notices") as required by and in compliance with Proposition 65. Plaintiff

27

28

3

4

5

provided said Notices to the various required public enforcement agencies along with Certificates of Merit. The Notices alleged that Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposure to lead in their products.

15. The appropriate public enforcement agencies provided with the Notices failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against Defendants.

16. At all times relevant herein, Defendants manufactured and/or imported and/or sold and/or distributed the Products, described above in this complaint, containing lead in violation of Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.6 et. seq. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that such violations have continued after receipt of the Notices described above and such conduct will continue to occur into the future.

17. In manufacturing, and/or importing, and/or selling and/or distributing Products, Defendants failed to provide a clear and reasonable warning to consumers in the State of California who may be exposed to lead through reasonably foreseeable use of the Products.

18. The Products exposed individuals to lead through direct ingestion of the products described above in this complaint. This exposure is a natural and foreseeable consequence of Defendants placing the Products into the stream of commerce.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

As such Defendants intend that consumers will ingest said Products, exposing them to lead.

19. Defendants knew or should have known that their Products contained lead and exposed individuals to lead as described above in this complaint. Also, the Notices described above in this complaint informed Defendants of the presence of lead in their products. Likewise, media coverage concerning lead and related chemicals in consumer products provided "Constructive Notice" to Defendants. Defendants' actions, therefore, were deliberate and not accidental.

20. Individuals exposed to lead contained in Defendants' Products through direct ingestion resulting from reasonably foreseeable use of the Products have suffered and continue to suffer irreparable harm. There is no other plain, speedy or adequate remedy at law other than the relief requested in this complaint.

21. Defendants are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500.00 per day for each violation of Proposition 65 pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 252497(b). Injunctive relief is also appropriate pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(a).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows pursuant to all causes of action:

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	1. Ci	vil penalties in the amount of \$2,500.00 per day for
2	each viola	tion of the law as described above in this complaint.
3	Plaintiff	alleges that damages total a minimum of \$1,000,000.00;
4	2.	A preliminary and permanent injunction against
5	Defendants	from manufacturing, and/or importing, and/or selling
6	and/or dis	tributing Products in California without providing a
7	clear and	reasonable warning as required by Proposition 65 and
8	related re	gulations;
9 10	3. Re	asonable attorney's fees and costs of suit;
10	4. Pr	e-Judgement interest as allowed by law; and
12	5. Su	ch other and further relief as may be just and proper.
13	Respe	ctfully Submitted:
14	Dated	: January 27, 2025 CLIFFWOOD LAW FIRM,
15		
16		By: 151 Elhum Shabatur
17		Elham Shabatian Attorney for Plaintiff
18		Clean Product Advocates LLC
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25	re i j i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
26		
27		
28		8
		COMPLAINT