

1 Lucas Novak (SBN 257484)
2 LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK
3 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217
4 Los Angeles, CA 90069
5 Telephone: (323) 337-9015
6 Email: lucas.nvk@gmail.com

7 Attorney for Plaintiff, APS&EE, LLC

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

10 APS&EE, LLC, a limited liability company,)
11 Plaintiff,)
12 v.)
13 WALMART, INC., a corporation, ANPING)
14 HOME GARDENING, INC., a corporation,)
15 SKYTECH ENTERPRISES, INC., a)
16 corporation, and DOES 1 through 100,)
17 inclusive,)
18 Defendants.)

CASE NO. **24STCV21466**
**PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR
CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF**
(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
Judge:
Dept.:
Compl. Filed:

Unlimited Jurisdiction

18 ///
19 ///
20 ///
21 ///
22 ///
23 ///
24 ///
25 ///
26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

1 **INTRODUCTION**

2 1. This Complaint is brought by Plaintiff, APS&EE, LLC (“Plaintiff”) in the public
3 interest of the citizens of the State of California, a representative action to enforce the People’s
4 right to be informed of the presence of lead (“Lead”), a chemical known to the State of
5 California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm, in CoverOn brand of
6 wallet/phone cases and Amagabeli hardware cloth sold by Defendants.

7 2. The purpose of this Complaint is to remedy Defendants’ continuing failure to
8 warn California residents about the risk of exposure to the Lead in the wallet/phone cases and
9 hardware cloth manufactured, distributed, sold, and/or offered for sale to consumers in
10 California.

11 3. Defendants have and continue to manufacture, distribute, sell, and/or offer to sell:
12 1) CoverOn brand of wallet/phone cases, including but not limited to J786-ALSTELLAR-VW5-
13 BR (collectively, “Phone Cases”), and 2) Amagabeli hardware cloth, including but not limited to
14 36” x 50ft, ½” 19 Gauge wire mesh #JW005, X001ZDZ561 (collectively, “Hardware Cloth”).
15 The Phone Cases and Hardware Cloth described in this paragraph shall hereinafter collectively
16 be referred to as the “Products”.

17 4. Children and adults are exposed to the Lead when they use, touch, mouth, handle,
18 play with, repair, maintain, clean, and/or install the Products.

19 5. Hazardous levels of the Lead are found in the accessible surface areas of the
20 Products manufactured, distributed, sold, and/or offered for sale by Defendants to consumers in
21 California.

22 6. California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. (“Proposition 65”)
23 provides in pertinent part: “[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and
24 intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or
25 reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual...”

26 7. Studies repeatedly conclude that exposure to the Lead is hazardous to the health
27 of children and adults. Children are especially vulnerable to the toxic effects of Lead.
28 Accordingly, California has listed the Lead as a chemical known to the state to cause cancer and

1 birth defects or other reproductive harm, and therefore subject to Proposition 65 warning
2 requirements.

3 8. Defendants have and continue to manufacture, distribute, sell, and/or offer to sell
4 the Products without the required warnings. Defendants' conduct violates the warning
5 requirements of Proposition 65.

6 **PARTIES**

7 9. Plaintiff is an organization based in California acting in the public interest.
8 Plaintiff brings this action in the public interest pursuant to California Health and Safety Code
9 section 25249.7(d).

10 10. Defendant, WALMART, INC. is a person in the course of doing business within
11 the meaning of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.11. WALMART, INC.
12 manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Products for sale and use in California.

13 11. Defendant, ANPING HOME GARDENING, INC. is a person in the course of
14 doing business within the meaning of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.11.
15 ANPING HOME GARDENING, INC. manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Hardware
16 Cloth for sale and use in California.

17 12. Defendant, SKYTECH ENTERPRISES, INC., doing business as CoverOn, is a
18 person in the course of doing business within the meaning of California Health and Safety Code
19 section 25249.11. SKYTECH ENTERPRISES, INC., doing business as CoverOn, manufactures,
20 distributes, and/or sells the Phone Cases for sale and use in California.

21 13. DOES 1 through 100 are each a person in the course of doing business within the
22 meaning of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.11. DOES 1 through 100
23 manufacture, distribute, and/or sell the Products for sale and use in California. The true names of
24 DOES 1 through 100 are unknown to Plaintiff at this time. When their identities are discovered,
25 Plaintiff's Complaint shall be amended to reflect their true names.

26 14. The defendants identified in paragraphs 10-13 shall collectively be referred to
27 herein as "Defendants".

28 ///

1 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2 15. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Health and
3 Safety Code section 25249.7, which allows enforcement in any court of competent jurisdiction,
4 and pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, because this lawsuit is based on a
5 cause not given by statute to other trial courts. Moreover, this action belongs in unlimited
6 jurisdiction since the amount in controversy exceeds \$35,000 and Plaintiff seeks permanent
7 injunctive relief.

8 16. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants because each is a person, firm,
9 corporation, or association with sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California, or
10 otherwise purposefully avails itself to the California market as to render jurisdiction by the
11 California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

12 17. Venue is proper in Los Angeles County Superior Court because one or more
13 occurrences of the wrongful conduct occurred, and continues to occur, in Los Angeles County,
14 and/or because Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in this County with
15 respect to the Products.

16 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

17 **(Violations of Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 et seq.)**

18 18. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein,
19 Paragraphs 1 through 17, inclusive.

20 19. On March 6, 2024, Plaintiff served a Sixty-Day Notice of Violation with a
21 Certificate of Merit (the “Phone Case Notice”), to WALMART, INC., SKYTECH
22 ENTERPRISES, INC. doing business as CoverOn, and the various public enforcement agencies
23 with respect to the Proposition 65 violations related to Lead in the Phone Cases. In addition, on
24 said date, in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(d), Plaintiff provided
25 confidential factual information sufficient to establish the basis for the Certificate of Merit to the
26 California Attorney General. On June 11, 2024, Plaintiff served an Amended Sixty-Day Notice
27 of Violation with a Certificate of Merit (the “Amended Phone Case Notice”), to WALMART,
28 INC., SKYTECH ENTERPRISES, INC. doing business as CoverOn, and the various public

1 enforcement agencies with respect to the Proposition 65 violations related to Lead in the Phone
2 Cases. In addition, on said date, in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(d),
3 Plaintiff provided confidential factual information sufficient to establish the basis for the
4 Certificate of Merit to the California Attorney General. Also on June 11, 2024, Plaintiff served a
5 Sixty-Day Notice of Violation with a Certificate of Merit (the “Hardware Cloth Notice”), to
6 WALMART, INC., ANPING HOME GARDENING, INC., and the various public enforcement
7 agencies with respect to the Proposition 65 violations related to Lead in the Hardware Cloth. In
8 addition, on said date, in compliance with Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(d), Plaintiff
9 provided confidential factual information sufficient to establish the basis for the Certificate of
10 Merit to the California Attorney General. The Phone Case Notice, Amended Phone Case Notice,
11 and Hardware Cloth Notice shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the “Notices”.

12 20. None of the public prosecutors with the authority to prosecute Proposition 65
13 violations has commenced and/or is diligently prosecuting the causes of action against
14 Defendants based on the claims asserted in Plaintiff’s Notices.

15 21. By placing the Products into the stream of commerce, each Defendant is a person
16 in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code section 25249.11.

17 22. Defendants knew and intended that consumers, including children, will use,
18 touch, mouth, handle, play with, repair, maintain, clean, and/or install the Products.

19 23. Defendants knew that the Products contain Lead. Defendants, who are in the
20 business of marketing consumer goods, also should have known or have constructive knowledge
21 that the Products contain Lead from widespread media coverage and/or other channels of
22 information concerning the presence of Lead in similar products. Furthermore, even after
23 receiving Plaintiff’s Notices, Defendants have continued selling unwarned Products that expose
24 consumers to Lead.

25 24. Lead is a chemical listed by the State of California as known to cause cancer and
26 birth defects or other reproductive harm.

27 25. Defendants’ Products contain sufficient quantities of the Lead such that
28 consumers, including children, who use, touch, mouth, handle, play with, repair, maintain, clean

1 and/or install the Products are exposed to unsafe levels of Lead. Lead is present in the Products
2 in such a way as to expose individuals to Lead, as exposure is defined by 27 CCR section
3 25600.1(e): "...that results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or any
4 reasonably foreseeable use..." Direct and indirect exposure occurs through inhalation, ingestion
5 and/or dermal contact during the reasonably foreseeable use of the Products.

6 26. Defendants knew or should have known that the reasonably foreseeable use of the
7 Products exposes individuals to Lead through inhalation, ingestion and/or dermal contact.

8 27. Defendants failed to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to individuals in
9 the State of California who were or could become exposed to Lead during the reasonably
10 foreseeable use of the Products.

11 28. By committing the acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendants have violated
12 California Health & Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. by knowingly and intentionally
13 exposing individuals to Lead without first giving clear and reasonable warnings to such
14 individuals regarding the toxicity of Lead.

15 29. As a result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, individuals in the State of California
16 have been exposed to Lead through the inhalation, ingestion and/or dermal contact during the
17 reasonably foreseeable use of the Products without a "clear and reasonable warning", and have
18 suffered and continue to suffer harm, each and every day since at least March 6, 2023.

19 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

20 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

21 1. That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(b),
22 assess civil penalties against Defendants in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation
23 alleged herein;

24 2. That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(a),
25 preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendants from manufacturing, distributing, or offering
26 the Products for sale in California without providing "clear and reasonable warnings" as defined
27 by 27 CCR section 25601;


28 3. That the Court, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(a),

1 order Defendants to take action to stop ongoing unwarned exposures to Lead resulting from use
2 of Products sold by Defendants;

3 4. That the Court, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, or
4 any other applicable theory, grant Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
5 Such other and further relief as may be just and proper

6
7 Dated: August 21, 2024

LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK

8
9 By: 
10 LUCAS T. NOVAK
11 Attorney for Plaintiff, APS&EE, LLC
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28