- 1			
1 2 3 4 5	Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352) Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113) BRODSKY SMITH 9465 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 300 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: (877) 534-2590 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160 Attorneys for Plaintiff	FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco 01/15/2025 Clerk of the Court BY: SAHAR ENAYATI Deputy Clerk	
6	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
7		SAN FRANCISCO	
8 9 10	GABRIEL ESPINOZA, Plaintiff,	Case No.: COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CGC-25-621458	2
11	vs.	(Violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et	,
12	H MART COMPANIES, INC.,	seq.)	
13	Defendant.		
14	Plaintiff Gabriel Espinoza ("Plaintiff")	, by and through his attorneys, alleges the following	
15	cause of action in the public interest of the citi	izens of the State of California.	
16	BACKGROU	ND OF THE CASE	
17	1. Plaintiff brings this representa	ative action on behalf of all California citizens to	
18	enforce relevant portions of Safe Drinking Wa	ater and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at	
19	the Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 et sec	q ("Proposition 65"), which reads, in relevant part,	
20	"[n]o person in the course of doing busine	ess shall knowingly and intentionally expose any	
21	individual to a chemical known to the state to	cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first	
22	giving clear and reasonable warning to such in	ndividual". Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.	
23	2. This complaint is a representat	ive action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest	
24	of the citizens of the State of California to ent	force the People's right to be informed of the health	
25	hazards caused by exposure to di(2-e	ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP"), lead, and/or	
26	perfluorooctanoic acid ("PFOA"), toxic chen	nicals found in products sold and/or distributed by	
27	defendant H Mart Companies, Inc. ("H Mart"	or "Defendant") in California.	
28			

9

10

5

14

15

21

23

26

28

- DEHP¹, PFOA², and lead³ are harmful chemicals known to the State of California 3. to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate 4. within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations. Included in such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing a Proposition 65-listed chemical that will create an exposure above safe harbor levels with a "clear and reasonable" warning before "knowingly and intentionally" exposing any person to any such listed chemical.
- 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000,00) to be imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin the actions of a defendant which "violate or threaten to violate" the statute. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7.
- 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant distributes and/or offers for sale in California, without a requisite exposure warning, (a) My Buddy "Take Your Time" mugs manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by E2 Collection Co., Ltd. (lead), (b) Living Zone strainers manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Grand Supercenter Inc. (DEHP), and (c) Artbox umbrellas

¹ On January 1, 1988, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On October 24, 2003, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

² On November 10, 2017, the State of California listed PFOA as a chemical known to the State to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On February 25, 2022, the State of California listed PFOA as a chemical known to cause cancer.

³ On October 1, 1992, the state of California listed lead as a chemical known to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On February 27, 1987, the State of California listed lead as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Artbox Co. Ltd. (PFOA) (collectively, the "Products" and each a "Product") that expose persons to DEHP, lead, and/or PFOA when used for their intended purpose.

- 7. Defendant's failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP, lead, and/or PFOA in conjunction with the sale and/or distribution of the Products is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendant to the enjoinment and civil penalties described herein.
- 8. Plaintiff seeks civil penalties against Defendant for its violations of Proposition 65 in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b).
- 9. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief, preliminarily and permanently, requiring Defendant to provide purchasers, users, or consumers of the Products with required warnings related to the dangers and health hazards associated with exposure to DEHP, lead, and/or PFOA pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a).
 - 10. Plaintiff further seeks a reasonable award of attorney's fees and costs.

PARTIES

- 11. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and to improve human health by reducing hazardous substances contained in such items. He brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 12. Defendant H Mart Companies, Inc., through its business, effectively imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California, or it implies by its conduct that it imports, distributes, sells, and/or offers the Products for sale or use in the State of California. Plaintiff alleges that defendant H Mart Companies, Inc. is a "person" in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code sections 25249.6 and 25249.11.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

13. Venue is proper in the County of San Francisco because one or more of the instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continue to occur in this county and/or because

Defendant conducted, and continues to conduct, business in the County of San Francisco with respect to the Products.

- 14. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7 allows for the enforcement of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction; therefore, this Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit.
- 15. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is either a citizen of the State of California, has sufficient minimum contacts with the State of California, is registered with the California Secretary of State as foreign corporations authorized to do business in the State of California, and/or has otherwise purposefully availed itself of the California market. Such purposeful availment has rendered the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts consistent and permissible with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 16. The people of the State of California declared in Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." (Section 1(b) of Initiative Measure, Proposition 65.)
- 17. To effect this goal, Proposition 65 requires that individuals be provided with a "clear and reasonable warning" before being exposed to substances listed by the State of California as causing cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. H&S Code § 25249.6 states, in pertinent part:

No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual...

18. An exposure to a chemical in a consumer product is one "which results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." (27 CCR § 25602, para (b).) H&S Code § 25603(c) states that "a person in the course of doing business ...

shall provide a warning to any person to whom the product is sold or transferred unless the product is packaged or labeled with a clear and reasonable warning."

- 19. Pursuant to H&S Code § 25603.1, the warning may be provided by using one or more of the following methods individually or in combination:⁴
 - a. A warning that appears on a product's label or other labeling.
 - b. Identification of the product at the retail outlet in a manner which provides a warning. Identification may be through shelf labeling, signs, menus, or a combination thereof.
 - c. The warnings provided pursuant to subparagraphs (a) and (b) shall be prominently placed upon a product's labels or other labeling or displayed at the retail outlet with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices in the label, labeling or display as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use.
 - d. A system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free information services, or any other system that provides clear and reasonable warnings.
- 20. Proposition 65 provides that any "person who violates or threatens to violate" the statute may be enjoined in a court of competent jurisdiction. (H&S Code § 25249.7.) The phrase "threaten to violate" is defined to mean creating "a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." (H&S Code § 25249.11(e).) Violators are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day for each violation of the Act (H&S Code § 25249.7) for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000.00).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

21. On January 1, 1988, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since

⁴ Alternatively, a person in the course of doing business may elect to comply with the warning requirements set out in the amended version of 27 CCR 25601, *et.seq.*. as amended on August 30, 2016, and operative on August 30, 2018.

that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On October 24, 2003, the State of California listed DEHP as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

- 22. On November 10, 2017, the State of California listed PFOA as a chemical known to the State to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On February 25, 2022, the State of California listed PFOA as a chemical known to cause cancer.
- 23. On October 1, 1992, the state of California listed lead as a chemical known to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On February 27, 1987, the State of California listed lead as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 24. The exposures that are the subject of the Notices result from the purchase, acquisition, handling, consumption, and recommended use of the Products. The primary route of exposure to DEHP, lead, and/or PFOA is through dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers use, touch, or handle the Products. Exposure through ingestion will occur by touching the Products with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth. No clear and reasonable warning is provided with the Products regarding the health hazards of exposure.
- 25. Defendant has processed, marketed, distributed, offered to sell and/or sold the Products in California since at least July 24, 2024 with respect to the My Buddy "Take Your Time" mugs; since at least August 1, 2024 with respect to the Living Zone strainers; and since at least August 5, 2024 with respect to the Artbox umbrellas. The Products continue to be distributed and sold in California without the requisite warning information.
- 26. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant has knowingly and intentionally exposed users and/or consumers of the Products to DEHP, lead, and/or PFOA without first giving a clear and reasonable exposure warning to such individuals.

27. As a proximate result of acts by Defendant, as a person in the course of doing business within the meaning of H&S Code § 25249.11, individuals throughout the State of California, including in San Francisco County, have been exposed to DEHP, lead, and/or PFOA without a clear and reasonable warning on the Products. The individuals subject to the violative exposures include normal and foreseeable users and consumers that use the Products, as well as all others exposed to the Products.

SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMNTS

- 28. Plaintiff purchased the Products from H Mart. At the time of purchase, Defendant did not provide a Proposition 65 exposure warning for DEHP, lead, PFOA, or any other Proposition 65 listed chemical in a manner consistent with H&S Code § 25603.1 as described *supra*.
- 29. The My Buddy "Take Your Time" mugs were sent to a testing laboratory to determine if, and what amount of, lead would migrate and/or leach from the Product. For the My Buddy "Take Your Time" mugs, Plaintiff received a Chemical Test Report. The Chemical Test Report findings determined the My Buddy "Take Your Time" mugs expose users to lead. Plaintiff provided the Chemical Test Report and Product to an analytical chemist to determine if, based on the findings of the Chemical Test Report and the reasonable and foreseeable use of the Product, exposure to lead will occur at levels that require Proposition 65 warnings under the Clear and Reasonable Warnings section 25601 of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations. On July 24, 2024, Plaintiff received from the analytical chemist an exposure assessment report for the My Buddy "Take Your Time" mugs that concluded that persons in California who use the My Buddy "Take Your Time" mugs will be exposed to levels of lead that require a Proposition 65 exposure warning.
- 30. The Living Zone strainers were sent to a testing laboratory for phthalate testing to determine the phthalate content of the Product. For the Living Zone strainers, Plaintiff received a Chemical Test Report. The Chemical Test Report findings determined the Living Zone strainers expose users to DEHP. Plaintiff provided the Chemical Test Report and Product to an analytical chemist to determine if, based on the findings of the Chemical Test Report and the reasonable and

foreseeable use of the Product, exposure to DEHP will occur at levels that require Proposition 65 warnings under the Clear and Reasonable Warnings section 25601 of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations. On August 1, 2024, Plaintiff received from the analytical chemist an exposure assessment report for the Living Zone strainers that concluded that persons in California who use the Living Zone strainers will be exposed to levels of DEHP that require a Proposition 65 exposure warning.

31. The Artbox umbrellas were sent to a testing laboratory to determine the PFOA content the Product. For the Artbox umbrellas, Plaintiff received a Chemical Test Report. The Chemical Test Report findings determined the Artbox umbrellas expose users to PFOA. Plaintiff provided the Chemical Test Report and Product to an analytical chemist to determine if, based on the findings of the Chemical Test Report and the reasonable and foreseeable use of the Product, exposure to PFOA will occur at levels that require Proposition 65 warnings under the Clear and Reasonable Warnings section 25601 of Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations. On August 5, 2024, Plaintiff received from the analytical chemist an exposure assessment report for the Artbox umbrellas that concluded that persons in California who use the Artbox umbrellas will be exposed to levels of PFOA that require a Proposition 65 exposure warning.

NOTICES OF VIOLATION

- 32. On July 24, 2024, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 to Defendant concerning the exposure of California citizens to lead from use of the My Buddy "Take Your Time" mugs without proper warning, subject to a private action to Defendant and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred. See attached at Exhibit "A" a true and correct copy of the July 24, 2024 notice of violation.
- 33. On August 1, 2024, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 to Defendant concerning the exposure of California citizens to DEHP from use of the Living Zone strainers without proper warning, subject to a private action to Defendant and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District attorneys and City

Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred. See attached at Exhibit "B" a true and correct copy of the August 1, 2024 notice of violation.

- 34. On August 5, 2024, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 to Defendant concerning the exposure of California citizens to PFOA from use of the Artbox umbrellas without proper warning, subject to a private action to Defendant and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons wherein the herein violations allegedly occurred. See attached at Exhibit "C" a true and correct copy of the August 5, 2024 notice of violation.
- 35. The Notices complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding DEHP, lead, and/or PFOA exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause for a private action.
- 36. After receiving the Notices, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, none of the noticed appropriate public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted a cause of action against Defendant under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations which are the subject of the Notices.
- 37. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the date of each Notice to Defendant, as required by law.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(By Plaintiff against Defendant for the Violation of Proposition 65)

- 38. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 37 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 39. Defendant has, at all times mentioned herein, acted as distributer, and/or retailer of the Products.

24

25

26

27

26

27

28

- 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
- 40. Use of the Products will expose users to DEHP, lead, and/or PFOA, hazardous chemicals found on the Proposition 65 list of chemicals known to be hazardous to human health.
 - 41. The Products do not comply with the Proposition 65 warning requirements.
- 42. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that at all relevant times herein, and since at least July 24, 2024 with respect to the My Buddy "Take Your Time" mugs; since at least August 1, 2024 with respect to the Living Zone strainers; and since at least August 5, 2024 with respect to the Artbox umbrellas, continuing until the present, that Defendant has continued to knowingly and intentionally expose California users and consumers of the Products to DEHP, lead, and/or PFOA without providing required warnings under Proposition 65.
- 43. The exposures that are the subject of the Notices result from the purchase, acquisition, handling, consumption, and recommended use of the Products. The primary route of exposure to DEHP, lead, and/or PFOA is through dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers use, touch, or handle the Products. Exposure through ingestion will occur by touching the Products with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth. No clear and reasonable warning is provided with the Products regarding the health hazards of exposure.
- 44. Plaintiff, based on his best information and belief, avers that such exposures will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to purchasers and users or until these known toxic chemicals are removed from the Products.
- 45. Defendant has knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the Products expose individuals to DEHP, lead, and/or PFOA, and Defendant intends that exposures to DEHP, lead, and/or PFOA will occur by its deliberate, non-accidental participation in the importation, distribution, sale and offering of the Products to consumers in California.
- 46. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the herein claims prior to this Complaint.
- 47. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above described acts, Defendant is liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day per violation.
- 48. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant.

EXHIBIT "A"

LAW OFFICES BRODSKY SMITH

9465 WILSHIRE BLVD., STE. 300 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212 877.534.2590 www.brodskysmith.com

NEW JERSEY OFFICE 20 BRACE RD., STE. 350 CHERRY HILL, NJ 08034 856.795.7250 NEW YORK OFFICE 240 MINEOLA BOULEVARD MINEOLA, NY 11501 516,741,4977 PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE TWO BALA PLAZA, STE. 805 BALA CYNWYD, PA 19004 610.667,6200

July 24, 2024

President/CEO	President/CEO
E2 Collection Co., Ltd.	H Mart Companies, Inc.
192 Daemyeongnam-ro	c/o Woojin Choi
Nam-gu Daegu	300 Chubb Avenue
Daegu 42489	Lyndhurst, NJ 07071
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	
President/CEO	President/CEO
H Mart, Inc.	H Mart, Inc.
c/o Sangchul Lee	c/o The Company Corporation
8550 Chetle Ave., Unit B	251 Little Falls Drive
Whittier, CA 90606	Wilmington, DE 19808

60-Day Notice of Violation of California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act

To Whom It May Concern:

This Notice of Violation (the "Notice") is provided to you pursuant to and in compliance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).

Brodsky Smith represents Gabriel Espinoza ("Espinoza"), a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals from use of consumer products sold in California and to improve human health and the environment by reducing hazardous substances.

With respect to the Product herein, Espinoza has identified a violation of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") codified at Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. This violation has occurred and continues to occur because the alleged Violator(s) failed to provide a clear and reasonable health hazard warning in connection with the sale or use of the Product in California. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 provides that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individual ..." Without proper warnings regarding the toxic effects of exposures to the Listed Chemical resulting from use of the Product, California citizens lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and/or how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the Listed Chemical from the reasonably foresecable use of the Product.

¹ The public enforcement agencies that have been served with copies of this Notice are identified in the attached distribution list accompanying the Certificate of Service.

I. DESCRIPTION OF THE VIOLATION

- 1. Enforcer: Gabriel Espinoza, 3924 Carlin Ave. Lynwood, CA 90262; (Ph) 424-285-4896.
- 2. Alleged Violator(s): E2 Collection Co., Ltd.; H Mart Companies, Inc.; H Mart, Inc.
- 3. Time Period of Exposure: Violations have been occurring since at least July 24, 2024 and are continuing to this day.
- 4. Listed Chemical: Lead is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm.

5. Product:

Product ²	Non- Exclusive Examples of the Product
Mug	My Buddy "Take Your Time" Mug
-	UPC# 8809851604823

6. Description of Exposure: The exposures that are the subject of this Notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Product. The primary route of exposure to the Listed Chemical is through dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers use, touch, or handle the Products. Some amount of exposure through ingestion can occur by touching the Product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth. No clear and reasonable warning is provided with the Products regarding the health hazards of exposure to the Listed Chemical.

II. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION

For the Violators' reference, enclosed is a copy of "Proposition 65: A Summary" that has been prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"). For more information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, contact OEHHA at 916.445.6900.

III. RESOLUTION OF THE CLAIMS

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, Brodsky Smith intends to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit on behalf of Espinoza against the alleged Violator(s) unless such Violator(s) agree in a binding written agreement to: (1) recall Products already sold; (2) provide Proposition 65 compliant exposure warnings for Products sold in the future or reformulate the Products to eliminate exposures to the Listed Chemical; and (3) pay an appropriate civil penalty based on the factors enumerated in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and the desire to have these violations of California law quickly rectified, Espinoza is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of the claims in this Notice without engaging in costly and protracted litigation.

² The specifically identified example of the Product in this Notice is to assist the recipients' investigation of, among other things, the magnitude of potential exposures to the Listed Chemical from other items within the definition of Products. This example is not intended to be an exhaustive or comprehensive identification of each specific offending Product. It is Espinoza's position that the alleged Violators are obligated to conduct a good faith investigation into other Products that may have been manufactured, distributed, sold, shipped, stored (or otherwise within the alleged Violators' custody or control) during the relevant period to ensure that requisite health hazard warnings were and are provided to California citizens prior to purchase and use.

Espinoza has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this Notice. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice to my attention at Brodsky Smith, 9465 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 300, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, (877) 534-2590, esmith@brodskysmith.com.

Sincercity,

Evan J. Smith

Attachments

Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service
The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Action of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary

EXHIBIT "B"

LAW OFFICES BRODSKY SMITH

9465 WILSHIRE BLVD., STE. 300 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212 877.534.2590 www.brodskysmith.com

NEW JERSEY OFFICE 20 BRACE RD., STE. 350 CHERRY HILL, NJ 08034 856.795.7250

NEW YORK OFFICE 240 MINEOLA BOULEVARD MINEOLA, NY 11501 516.741.4977 PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE TWO BALA PLAZA, STE. 805 BALA CYNWYD, PA 19004 610.667.6200

August 1, 2024

President/CEO	President/CEO	
Grand Supercenter Inc.	H Mart Companies,	Inc.
c/o Woojin Choi	c/o Woojin Choi	
300 Chubb Ave.	300 Chubb Avenue	
Lyndhurst, NJ 07071	Lyndhurst, NJ 0707	71
President/CEO		
H Mart Companies, Inc.		
c/o Sangchul Lee		
8550 Chetle Ave., Unit B		
Whittier, CA 90606	79.1	

60-Day Notice of Violation of California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act1

To Whom It May Concern:

This Notice of Violation (the "Notice") is provided to you pursuant to and in compliance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).

Brodsky Smith represents Gabriel Espinoza ("Espinoza"), a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals from use of consumer products sold in California and to improve human health and the environment by reducing hazardous substances.

With respect to the Product herein, Espinoza has identified a violation of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") codified at Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. This violation has occurred and continues to occur because the alleged Violator(s) failed to provide a clear and reasonable health hazard warning in connection with the sale or use of the Product in California. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 provides that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individual ..." Without proper warnings regarding the toxic effects of exposures to the Listed Chemical resulting from use of the Product, California citizens lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and/or how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the Listed Chemical from the reasonably foreseeable use of the Product.

¹ The public enforcement agencies that have been served with copies of this Notice are identified in the attached distribution list accompanying the Certificate of Service.

I. DESCRIPTION OF THE VIOLATION

- Enforcer: Gabriel Espinoza, 3924 Carlin Ave., Lynwood, CA 90262-5204; (Ph) 424-285-4896.
- 2. Alleged Violator(s): Grand Supercenter Inc.; H Mart Companies, Inc.
- 3. Time Period of Exposure: Violations have been occurring since at least August 1, 2024 and are continuing to this day.
- 4. Listed Chemical: Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP). DEHP is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and birth defect or other reproductive harm.

5. Product:

Product ²	Non- Exclusive Examples of the Product
Strainer	Living Zone Strainer
	UPC# 8 46034 09017 0

6. Description of Exposure: The exposures that are the subject of this Notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Product. The primary route of exposure to the Listed Chemical is through dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers use, touch, or handle the Products. Some amount of exposure through ingestion can occur by touching the Product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth. No clear and reasonable warning is provided with the Products regarding the health hazards of exposure to the Listed Chemical.

II. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION

For the Violators' reference, enclosed is a copy of "Proposition 65: A Summary" that has been prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"). For more information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, contact OEHHA at 916.445,6900.

III. RESOLUTION OF THE CLAIMS

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, Brodsky Smith intends to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit on behalf of Espinoza against the alleged Violator(s) unless such Violator(s) agree in a binding written agreement to: (1) recall Products already sold; (2) provide Proposition 65 compliant exposure warnings for Products sold in the future or reformulate the Products to eliminate exposures to the Listed Chemical; and (3) pay an appropriate civil penalty based on the factors enumerated in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and the desire to have these violations of California law quickly rectified, Espinoza is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of the claims in this Notice without engaging in costly and protracted litigation.

² The specifically identified example of the Product in this Notice is to assist the recipients' investigation of, among other things, the magnitude of potential exposures to the Listed Chemical from other items within the definition of Products. This example is not intended to be an exhaustive or comprehensive identification of each specific offending Product. It is Espinoza's position that the alleged Violators are obligated to conduct a good faith investigation into other Products that may have been manufactured, distributed, sold, shipped, stored (or otherwise within the alleged Violators' custody or control) during the relevant period to ensure that requisite health hazard warnings were and are provided to California citizens prior to purchase and use.

Espinoza has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this Notice. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice to my attention at Brodsky Smith, 9465 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 300, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, (877) 534-2590, esmith@brodskysmith.com.

Sincerely,

Evan J. Smith

Attachments

Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service
The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Action of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary

EXHIBIT "C"

LAW OFFICES

BRODSKY SMITH

9465 WILSHIRE BLVD, STE. 300 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212 877,534.2590 www.brodskysmith.com

NEW JERSEY OFFICE 20 BRACE RD., STE. 350 CHERRY HILL, NJ 08034 856.795.7250 NEW YORK OFFICE 240 MINEOLA BOULEVARD MINEOLA, NY 11501 516.741.4977 PENNSYLVANIA OFFICE TWO BALA PLAZA, STE. 805 BALA CYNWYD, PA 19004 610.667.6200

August 5, 2024

President/CEO	President/CEO
Artbox Co. Ltd.	Artbox Co. Ltd.
41, Banpo-daero 12-gil	1516-2, Seocho-dong
Seocho-gu	Seocho-gu
Seoul 06653	Seoul 137-070
REPUBLIC OF KOREA	REPUBLIC OF KOREA
President/CEO	President/CEO
H Mart Companies, Inc.	H Mart, Inc.
c/o Woojin Choi	c/o Sangchul Lee
300 Chubb Avenue	8550 Chetle Ave., Unit B
Lyndhurst, NJ 07071	Whittier, CA 90606

60-Day Notice of Violation of California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act1

To Whom It May Concern:

This Notice of Violation (the "Notice") is provided to you pursuant to and in compliance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).

Brodsky Smith represents Gabriel Espinoza ("Espinoza"), a citizen of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals from use of consumer products sold in California and to improve human health and the environment by reducing hazardous substances.

With respect to the Product herein, Espinoza has identified a violation of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") codified at Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. This violation has occurred and continues to occur because the alleged Violator(s) failed to provide a clear and reasonable health hazard warning in connection with the sale or use of the Product in California. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 provides that "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the State to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individual ..." Without proper warnings regarding the toxic effects of exposures to the Listed Chemical resulting from use of the Product, California citizens lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and/or how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the Listed Chemical from the reasonably foreseeable use of the Product.

¹ The public enforcement agencies that have been served with copies of this Notice are identified in the attached distribution list accompanying the Certificate of Service.

I. DESCRIPTION OF THE VIOLATION

- Enforcer: Gabriel Espinoza, 3924 Carlin Ave., Lynwood, CA 90262-5204; (Ph) 424-285-4896.
- 2. Alleged Violator(s): Artbox Co. Ltd.; H Mart Companies, Inc.; H Mart, Inc.
- 3. Time Period of Exposure: Violations have been occurring since at least August 5, 2024 and are continuing to this day.
- 4. Listed Chemical: Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA). PFOA is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

5. Product:

Product ²	Non- Exclusive Examples of the Product
Umbrella	Artbox Umbrella
	8809880911855

6. Description of Exposure: The exposures that are the subject of this Notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Product. The primary route of exposure to the Listed Chemical is through dermal absorption directly through the skin when consumers use, touch, or handle the Products. Some amount of exposure through ingestion can occur by touching the Product with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth. No clear and reasonable warning is provided with the Products regarding the health hazards of exposure to the Listed Chemical.

II. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION

For the Violators' reference, enclosed is a copy of "Proposition 65: A Summary" that has been prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"). For more information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, contact OEHHA at 916.445.6900.

III. RESOLUTION OF THE CLAIMS

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, Brodsky Smith intends to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit on behalf of Espinoza against the alleged Violator(s) unless such Violator(s) agree in a binding written agreement to: (1) recall Products already sold; (2) provide Proposition 65 compliant exposure warnings for Products sold in the future or reformulate the Products to climinate exposures to the Listed Chemical; and (3) pay an appropriate civil penalty based on the factors enumerated in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and the desire to have these violations of California law quickly rectified, Espinoza is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of the claims in this Notice without engaging in costly and protracted litigation.

² The specifically identified example of the Product in this Notice is to assist the recipients' investigation of, among other things, the magnitude of potential exposures to the Listed Chemical from other items within the definition of Products. This example is not intended to be an exhaustive or comprehensive identification of each specific offending Product. It is Espinoza's position that the alleged Violators are obligated to conduct a good faith investigation into other Products that may have been manufactured, distributed, sold, shipped, stored (or otherwise within the alleged Violators' custody or control) during the relevant period to ensure that requisite health hazard warnings were and are provided to California citizens prior to purchase and use.

Espinoza has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this Notice. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice to my attention at Brodsky Smith, 9465 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 300, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, (877) 534-2590, esmith@brodskysmith.com.

Sincerely,

Evan J. Smith

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Action of 1986 (Proposition 65): A Summary