

Clerk of the Superior Court
By C. Drakes ,Deputy Clerk

1 Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352)
2 Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113)
3 BRODSKY SMITH
4 9465 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 300
5 Beverly Hills, CA 90212
6 Telephone: (877) 534-2590
7 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160

8 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

11 Case No.: 26CU001446C

12 EMA BELL,
13 Plaintiff,

**COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES AND
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

14 vs.

**(Violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et
seq.)**

15 FRANKLIN SPORTS, INC.,
16 Defendant.

17 Plaintiff Ema Bell (“Plaintiff”), by and through her attorneys, alleges the following cause
18 of action in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California.

BACKGROUND OF THE CASE

19 1. Plaintiff brings this representative action on behalf of all California citizens to
20 enforce relevant portions of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at
21 the Health and Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq (“Proposition 65”), which reads, in relevant part,
22 “[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any
23 individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first
24 giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual ...”. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6.

25 2. This complaint is a representative action brought by Plaintiff in the public interest
26 of the citizens of the State of California to enforce the People’s right to be informed of the health
27 hazards caused by exposure to chromium (hexavalent compounds) (“chromium (VI)”), a toxic
28 chemical found in leather gloves and gloves with leather components, including but not limited to,

1 *Franklin® Classic One™* batting gloves, UPC # 025725529063, sold and/or distributed by
2 defendant Franklin Sports, Inc. (“Franklin” or “Defendant”) in California.

3 3. Chromium (VI) is a harmful chemical known to the State of California to cause
4 cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. On February 27, 1987, the State of California
5 listed chromium (VI) as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the
6 purview of Proposition 65 regulations since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health
7 & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 & 25249.10(b). On December 19, 2008, the State of California listed
8 chromium (VI) as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

9 4. Proposition 65 requires all businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate
10 within California or sell products therein to comply with Proposition 65 regulations. Included in
11 such regulations is the requirement that businesses must label any product containing a Proposition
12 65-listed chemical that will create an exposure above safe harbor levels with a “clear and
13 reasonable” warning before “knowingly and intentionally” exposing any person to any such listed
14 chemical.

15 5. Proposition 65 allows for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation
16 for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000.00) to be
17 imposed upon defendants in a civil action for violations of Proposition 65. Health & Safety Code
18 § 25249.7(b). Proposition 65 also allows for any court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin the
19 actions of a defendant which “violate or threaten to violate” the statute. Health & Safety Code §
20 25249.7.

21 6. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant distributes and/or offers for sale in California,
22 without a requisite exposure warning, leather gloves and gloves with leather components,
23 including but not limited to, *Franklin® Classic One™* batting gloves, UPC # 025725529063 (the
24 “Products”) that expose persons to chromium (VI) when used for their intended purpose.

25 7. Defendant’s failure to warn consumers and other individuals in California of the
26 health hazards associated with exposure to chromium (VI) in conjunction with the sale and/or
27 distribution of the Products is a violation of Proposition 65 and subjects Defendant to the
28 enjoinder and civil penalties described herein.

1 a. A warning that appears on a product’s label or other labeling.

2 b. Identification of the product at the retail outlet in a manner which provides
3 a warning. Identification may be through shelf labeling, signs, menus, or a combination
4 thereof.

5 c. The warnings provided pursuant to subparagraphs (a) and (b) shall be
6 prominently placed upon a product’s labels or other labeling or displayed at the retail outlet
7 with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices
8 in the label, labeling or display as to render it likely to be read and understood by an
9 ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use.

10 d. A system of signs, public advertising identifying the system and toll-free
11 information services, or any other system that provides clear and reasonable warnings.

12 20. Proposition 65 provides that any “person who violates or threatens to violate” the
13 statute may be enjoined in a court of competent jurisdiction. (H&S Code § 25249.7.) The phrase
14 “threaten to violate” is defined to mean creating “a condition in which there is a substantial
15 probability that a violation will occur.” (H&S Code § 25249.11(e).) Violators are liable for civil
16 penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day for each violation of the Act (H&S Code § 25249.7) for up to
17 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per violation of \$912,000.00).

18 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

19 21. On February 27, 1987, the State of California listed chromium (VI) as a chemical
20 known to the State to cause cancer and it has come under the purview of Proposition 65 regulations
21 since that time. Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8 &
22 25249.10(b). On December 19, 2008, the State of California listed chromium (VI) as a chemical
23 known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. In summary, chromium (VI) was listed
24 under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State to cause cancer and birth defects or other
25 reproductive harm.

26 22. The exposures that are the subject of the Notice result from the purchase,
27 acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Products. Increased duration of contact with the
28 Products, natural aging of the Products, temperature, light exposure, and contact of the Products

1 with oxidizing agents and alkaline solutions will result in increased conversion of chromium (III)
2 to chromium (VI) in the Products and thus increased dermal exposure to chromium (VI). Direct
3 mouthing of the Products and indirect hand to mouth exposure to chromium (VI) will occur by
4 touching the Products with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth.

5 23. Defendant has manufactured, processed, marketed, distributed, offered to sell
6 and/or sold the Products in California since at least January 14, 2025. The Products continue to be
7 distributed and sold in California without the requisite warning information.

8 24. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant has knowingly and intentionally
9 exposed users, consumers and/or patients to the Products and the chromium (VI) without first
10 giving a clear and reasonable exposure warning to such individuals.

11 25. As a proximate result of acts by Defendant, as a person in the course of doing
12 business within the meaning of H&S Code § 25249.11, individuals throughout the State of
13 California, including in San Diego County, have been exposed to chromium (VI) without a clear
14 and reasonable warning on the Products. The individuals subject to the violative exposures include
15 normal and foreseeable users, consumers and patients that use the Products, as well as all others
16 exposed to the Products.

17 **SATISFACTION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENTS**

18 26. Plaintiff purchased the Products from Walmart Inc. in California. At the time of
19 purchase, Defendant did not provide a Proposition 65 exposure warning for chromium (VI) or any
20 other Proposition 65 listed chemical in a manner consistent with H&S Code § 25603.1 as described
21 *supra*.

22 27. On December 18, 2024, the Products were sent to a testing laboratory to determine
23 the chromium (VI) content of the Products.

24 28. On January 3, 2025, the laboratory provided the results of its analysis. Results of
25 this tests determined the Products expose users to chromium (VI) (the "Chemical Test Report").

26 29. Plaintiff provided the Chemical Test Report and Products to an analytical chemist
27 to determine if, based on the findings of the Chemical Test Report and the reasonable and
28 foreseeable use of the Products, exposure to chromium (VI) will occur at levels that require

1 Proposition 65 warnings under the Clear and Reasonable Warnings section 25601 of Title 27 of
2 the California Code of Regulations.

3 30. On January 14, 2025, Plaintiff received from the analytical chemist an exposure
4 assessment report which concluded that persons in California who use the Products will be exposed
5 to levels of chromium (VI) that require a Proposition 65 exposure warning.

6 31. On January 14, 2025, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violation of Health and Safety
7 Code § 25249.6 (the "Notice") to Defendant concerning the exposure of California citizens to
8 chromium (VI) contained in the Products without proper warning, subject to a private action to
9 Defendant and to the California Attorney General's office and the offices of the County District
10 attorneys and City Attorneys for each city with a population greater than 750,000 persons wherein
11 the herein violations allegedly occurred.

12 32. The Notice complied with all procedural requirements of Proposition 65 including
13 the attachment of a Certificate of Merit affirming that Plaintiff's counsel had consulted with at
14 least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed relevant data regarding
15 chromium (VI) exposure, and that counsel believed there was meritorious and reasonable cause
16 for a private action.

17 33. After receiving the Notice, and to Plaintiff's best information and belief, none of
18 the noticed appropriate public enforcement agencies have commenced and diligently prosecuted a
19 cause of action against Defendant under Proposition 65 to enforce the alleged violations which are
20 the subject of the Notice.

21 34. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the date of
22 Notice to Defendant, as required by law.

23 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **(By Plaintiff against Defendant for the Violation of Proposition 65)**

25 35. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 34 of
26 this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

27 36. Defendant has, at all times mentioned herein, acted as distributor, and/or retailer of
28 the Products.

1 37. Use of the Products will expose users and consumers thereof to chromium (VI), a
2 hazardous chemical found on the Proposition 65 list of chemicals known to be hazardous to human
3 health.

4 38. The Products do not comply with the Proposition 65 warning requirements.

5 39. Plaintiff, based on her best information and belief, avers that at all relevant times
6 herein, and at least since January 14, 2025, continuing until the present, that Defendant has
7 continued to knowingly and intentionally expose California users and consumers of the Products
8 to chromium (VI) without providing required warnings under Proposition 65.

9 40. The exposures that are the subject of the Notices result from the purchase,
10 acquisition, handling and recommended use of the Products. Consequently, the primary route of
11 exposure to these chemicals is through dermal exposure. Increased duration of contact with the
12 Products, natural aging of the Products, temperature, light exposure, and contact of the Products
13 with oxidizing agents and alkaline solutions will result in increased conversion of chromium (III)
14 to chromium (VI) in the Products and thus increased dermal exposure to chromium (VI). Direct
15 mouthing of the Products and indirect hand to mouth exposure to chromium (VI) will occur by
16 touching the Products with subsequent touching of the user's hand to mouth.

17 41. Plaintiff, based on her best information and belief, avers that such exposures will
18 continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to purchasers and users or
19 until this known toxic chemical is removed from the Products.

20 42. Defendant has knowledge that the normal and reasonably foreseeable use of the
21 Product exposes individuals to chromium (VI), and Defendant intends those exposures to
22 chromium (VI) will occur by its deliberate, non-accidental participation in the importation,
23 distribution, sale and offering of the Products to consumers in California

24 43. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the herein claims prior to this
25 Complaint.

26 44. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), as a consequence of the above
27 described acts, Defendant is liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day per violation.
28

1 45. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(a), this Court is specifically
2 authorized to grant injunctive relief in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant.

3 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

4 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant and requests the following
5 relief:

6 A. That the court assess civil penalties against Defendant in the amount of \$2,500 per
7 day for each violation for up to 365 days (up to a maximum civil penalty amount per
8 violation of \$912,000.00) in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b);

9 B. That the court preliminarily and permanently enjoin Defendant mandating
10 Proposition 65 compliant warnings on the Products;

11 C. That the court grant Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit, in the
12 amount of \$50,000.00.

13 D. That the court grant any further relief as may be just and proper.

14 Dated: January 14, 2026

BRODSKY SMITH

15 By: 

Evan J. Smith (SBN242352)

Ryan P. Cardona (SBN302113)

9465 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 300

Beverly Hills, CA 90212

18 Telephone: (877) 534-2590

19 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160

20 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*