1	ENTORNO LAW, LLP	
2	Noam Glick (SBN 251582)	
2	Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444)	ELECTRONICALLY
3	Jake W. Schulte (SBN 293777) Janani Natarajan (SBN 346770)	FILED Superior Court of California,
4	Gianna E. Tirrell (SBN 358788)	County of San Francisco
5	225 Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, California 92101	06/20/2025 Clerk of the Court
6	Tel: (619) 629-0527 Email: noam@entornolaw.com	BY: SHENEQUA GLADNEY Deputy Clerk
7	Email: craig@entornolaw.com Email: jake@entornolaw.com	
8	Email: janani@entornolaw.com	
	Email: gianna@entornolaw.com	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Katherine Tyler	
11	· ·	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12		
12	IN AND FOR THE CO	UNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
13	KATHERINE TYLER, an individual,	Case No.: CGC-25-626319
14	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES
	V.	AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
15		
15 16	B&G FOODS, INC., a Delaware corporation; BEAR CREEK COUNTRY KITCHENS, LLC,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
	B&G FOODS, INC., a Delaware corporation; BEAR CREEK COUNTRY KITCHENS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a Delaware	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
16	B&G FOODS, INC., a Delaware corporation; BEAR CREEK COUNTRY KITCHENS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
16 17	B&G FOODS, INC., a Delaware corporation; BEAR CREEK COUNTRY KITCHENS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1 through	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
16 17 18	B&G FOODS, INC., a Delaware corporation; BEAR CREEK COUNTRY KITCHENS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
16 17 18 19	B&G FOODS, INC., a Delaware corporation; BEAR CREEK COUNTRY KITCHENS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
16 17 18 19 20	B&G FOODS, INC., a Delaware corporation; BEAR CREEK COUNTRY KITCHENS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
16 17 18 19 20 21	B&G FOODS, INC., a Delaware corporation; BEAR CREEK COUNTRY KITCHENS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	B&G FOODS, INC., a Delaware corporation; BEAR CREEK COUNTRY KITCHENS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	B&G FOODS, INC., a Delaware corporation; BEAR CREEK COUNTRY KITCHENS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	B&G FOODS, INC., a Delaware corporation; BEAR CREEK COUNTRY KITCHENS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	B&G FOODS, INC., a Delaware corporation; BEAR CREEK COUNTRY KITCHENS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)

### 

## 

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by Katherine Tyler ("Plaintiff") in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California ("the People"). Plaintiff seeks to remedy Defendants' failure to inform the People of exposure to lead, a known carcinogen and reproductive/developmental toxin. Defendants expose consumers to lead by manufacturing, importing, selling, and/or distributing soup including, but not limited to, Bear Creek Hearty Soup Bowl, Creamy Potato, 1.9 Ounce ("Products"). Defendants know and intend that customers will ingest Products containing lead.
- 2. Under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code, section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), "[n]o person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual. . . ." (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.6.)
- California identified and listed lead as a chemical known to cause cancer as early as
   October 1, 1992, and as a chemical known to cause developmental/reproductive toxicity on February
   27, 1987.
- 4. Defendants failed to sufficiently warn consumers and individuals in California about potential exposure to lead in connection with Defendants' manufacture, import, sale, or distribution of Products. This is a violation of Proposition 65.
- 5. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief compelling Defendants to sufficiently warn consumers in California before exposing them to lead in Products. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7(a).) Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against Defendants for violations of Proposition 65 along with attorney's fees and costs. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7(b).)

#### II. PARTIES

6. Plaintiff KATHERINE TYLER is a citizen of the State of California dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through the elimination or reduction of toxic exposure from consumer products. She brings this action in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code, section 25249.7.

- 7. Defendant B&G FOODS, INC. ("B&G") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware. B&G is registered to do business in California, and does business in the County of San Francisco, within the meaning of Health and Safety Code, section 25249.11. B&G manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes the Products in California and San Francisco County.
- 8. Defendant BEAR CREEK COUNTRY KITCHENS, LLC ("Bear Creek") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Delaware. Bear Creek is registered to do business in California, and does business in the County of San Francisco, within the meaning of Health and Safety Code, section 25249.11. Bear Creek manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes the Products in California and San Francisco County.
- 9. Defendant AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC ("Amazon") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of Delaware. Amazon is registered to do business in California, and does business in the County of San Francisco, within the meaning of Health and Safety Code, section 25249.11. Amazon manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes the Products in California and San Francisco County.
- 10. Plaintiff does not know the true names and/or capacities, whether individual, partners, or corporate, of the Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, and for that reason sues said Defendants under fictitious names pursuant to Cal. Civ. Proc. § 474. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities of these Defendants have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that these Defendants are responsible in whole or in part for the remedies and penalties sought herein.
- 11. At all times mentioned, Defendants were the agents, alter egos, servants, joint venturers, joint employers, or employees for each other. Defendants acted with the consent of the other Co-Defendants and acted within the course, purpose, and scope of their agency, service, or employment. All conduct was ratified by Defendants, and each of them.
- [Rest of page intentionally left blank.]

#### III. <u>VENUE AND JURISDICTION</u>

- 12. California Constitution Article VI, Section 10 grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all cases except those given by statute to other trial courts. The Health and Safety Code statute upon which this action is based does not give jurisdiction to any other court. As such, this Court has jurisdiction.
- 13. Venue is proper in San Francisco County Superior Court pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, sections 394, 395, and 395.5. Wrongful conduct occurred and continues to occur in this County. Defendants conducted and continue to conduct business in this County as it relates to Products.
- 14. Defendants have sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California or otherwise purposefully avail themselves of the California market. Exercising jurisdiction over Defendants would be consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

# IV. CAUSES OF ACTION

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (Violation of Proposition 65 – Against all Defendants)

- 15. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained above.
- 16. Proposition 65 mandates that citizens be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, and other reproductive harm.
- 17. Defendants manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed Products containing lead in violation of Health and Safety Code, section 25249.6 et seq. Plaintiff is informed and believes such violations have continued after receipt of the Notice (defined *infra*) and will continue to occur into the future.
- 18. In manufacturing, importing, selling, and/or distributing Products, Defendants failed to provide a clear and reasonable warning to consumers and individuals in California who may be exposed to lead through reasonably foreseeable use of the Products.
- 19. Products expose individuals to lead through direct ingestion. This exposure is a natural and foreseeable consequence of Defendants placing Products into the stream of commerce. As such, Defendants intend that consumers will ingest Products, exposing them to lead.

#### 1 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 2 Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows: 3 1. Civil penalties in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation. Plaintiff alleges that 4 damages total a minimum of \$1,000,000; 5 2. A preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendants from manufacturing, 6 importing, selling, and/or distributing Products in California without providing a clear and reasonable 7 warning as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations; 8 3. Reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit; and 9 4. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper. 10 11 Respectfully submitted: 12 Dated: June 20, 2025 ENTORNO LAW, LLP 13 By: 14 Noam Glick 15 Craig M. Nicholas Jake W. Schulte 16 Janani Natarajan 17 Gianna E. Tirrell 18 Attorneys for Plaintiff Katherine Tyler 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28