

1 **ENTORNO LAW, LLP**
2 Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444)
3 Noam Glick (SBN 251582)
4 Jake W. Schulte (SBN 293777)
5 Gianna E. Tirrell (SBN 358788)
6 225 Broadway, Suite 1900
7 San Diego, California 92101
8 Tel: (619) 629-0527
9 Email: craig@entornolaw.com
10 Email: noam@entornolaw.com
11 Email: jake@entornolaw.com
12 Email: gianna@entornolaw.com

13 Attorneys for Plaintiff
14 Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.

15 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

16 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

17 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES,
18 INC.,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 SFC GLOBAL SUPPLY CHAIN, INC., a
22 Minnesota corporation; ALDI INC., an Illinois
23 corporation; and DOES 1 through 100,
24 inclusive,

25 Defendants.

Case No.:

**COMPLAINT FOR CIVIL PENALTIES
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)

ELECTRONICALLY
FILED
Superior Court of California,
County of San Francisco

04/27/2026
Clerk of the Court

BY: MARIVIC VIRAY
Deputy Clerk

CGC-26-636351

I.
INTRODUCTION

1
2 1. This Complaint is a representative action brought by Environmental Health Advocates,
3 Inc. (“Plaintiff”) in the public interest of the citizens of the State of California (“the People”). Plaintiff
4 seeks to remedy Defendants’ failure to inform the People of exposure to cadmium, a known carcinogen
5 and reproductive/developmental toxin. Defendants expose consumers to cadmium by manufacturing,
6 importing, selling, and/or distributing noodles including, but not limited to, Annie Chun's Sweet Chili
7 Steamed Hokkien Noodles (“Products”). Defendants know and intend that customers will ingest
8 Products containing cadmium.

9 2. Under California’s Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California
10 Health and Safety Code, section 25249.6 et seq. (“Proposition 65”), “[n]o person in the course of doing
11 business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to
12 cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such
13 individual. . . .” (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.6.)

14 3. California identified and listed cadmium as a chemical known to cause developmental/
15 reproductive toxicity as early as May 1, 1997.

16 4. Defendants failed to sufficiently warn consumers and individuals in California about
17 potential exposure to cadmium in connection with Defendants’ manufacture, import, sale, or distribution
18 of Products. This is a violation of Proposition 65.

19 5. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief compelling Defendants to sufficiently warn consumers
20 in California before exposing them to cadmium in Products. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7(a).)
21 Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against Defendants for violations of Proposition 65 along with
22 attorney’s fees and costs. (Health & Safety Code, § 25249.7(b).)

II.
PARTIES

23
24 6. Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATES, INC. (“Plaintiff”) is a
25 corporation in the State of California dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens through
26 the elimination or reduction of toxic exposure from consumer products. It brings this action in the public
27 interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code, section 25249.7.

28 ///

1 The Notice alleged that Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to sufficiently warn consumers in
2 California of the health hazards associated with exposures to cadmium contained in the Products.

3 22. The appropriate public enforcement agencies provided with the Notice failed to
4 commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action against Defendants.

5 23. Individuals exposed to cadmium contained in Products through direct ingestion
6 resulting from reasonably foreseeable use of the Products have suffered and continue to suffer
7 irreparable harm. There is no other plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law.

8 24. Defendants are liable for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day for each violation
9 of Proposition 65 pursuant to Health and Safety Code, section 252497(b). Injunctive relief is also
10 appropriate pursuant to Health and Safety Code, section 25249.7(a).

11 *[Rest of page left intentionally blank.]*
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

2 Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 3 1. Civil penalties in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation. Plaintiff alleges that
4 damages total a minimum of \$1,000,000;
- 5 2. A preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendants from manufacturing,
6 importing, selling, and/or distributing Products in California without providing a clear and reasonable
7 warning as required by Proposition 65 and related Regulations;
- 8 3. Reasonable attorney’s fees and costs of suit; and
- 9 4. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.
- 10
- 11

12 Respectfully submitted:

13 Dated: April 27, 2026

ENTORNO LAW, LLP

14 By: 
15 Noam Glick

16
17 Craig M. Nicholas
18 Jake W. Schulte
19 Gianna E. Tirrell

20 Attorneys for Plaintiff
21 Environmental Health Advocates, Inc.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28