State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1502 (03/01) Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

REPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Please		Original Filing USupplement	al Filing			
	PLAINTIFF(S)					
	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN,	PH.D.				
	-					
				T-10-1-10-1-10-1-10-1-10-1-10-1-10-1-10		
z	DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN JUDGMENT					
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	ARC INTERNATIONAL NORTH AMERICA, INC.; C.C.A. INTERNATIONAL, INC.; SEARS					
AC	ROEBUCK AND CO.; 26 CALIFORNIA BAZAR, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150					
뿌				-		
T (
) TC						
IES						
\R1						
4						
				•		
	COURT DOCKET NUMBER		COURTNAME			
щo	CGC-03-418025		San Francisco Sup	erior Court		
CASE	SHORT CASE NAME		Dan Hanerbee Bap	error court		
· -	Leeman v. ARC International North America, Inc., et al.					
	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF					
	Warnings, Reformulation					
0	PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY	PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS FEES	PAYMENT: OTHER			
N.	\$3,000	\$15,000	1	<u>></u>		
_	DATE SUBMITTED TO COURT	IS JUDGMENT PURSUANT	none IF YES, DATE SETTLEMENT WAS	ő		
OR		TO SETTLEMENT?	REPORTED TO ATTORNEY GENERA	L S		
REPORT	05/16/2005	Yes No	01/07/2004	T E		
8	\$3,000 \$15,000 none					
	COPY OF JUDGMENT MUST BE ATTACHED					
	NAME OF CONTACT					
	Laralei S. Paras, Esq.					
	ORGANIZATION			TELEPHONE NUMBER		
	Paras Law Group			((415)) 380-9222		
FILER				FAXNUMBER		
L -	655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216			((415)) 380-9223		
	CITY	STATE ZIP	E-MAIL ADDRESS	N		
	Mill Valley	CA	lparas@paraslaw.o	com		

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the judgment to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1	Clifford A Chanler, State Bar No. 135534			
	CHANLER LAW GROUP			
2	71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840	ENDORSED San Fran F. I. J. F.		
3	Tel: (203) 966-9911 Fax: (203) 801-5222	San Francisco County Superior Court		
4	Stephen S. Sayad, State Bar No. 104866	MAY 1 a a		
5	Laralei S. Paras, State Bar No. 203319 PARAS LAW GROUP	GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk GARTH SAYERS		
6	655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216 Mill Valley, CA 94941	BY: GARTH SAYERS		
7	Tel: (415) 380-9222 Fax: (415) 380-9223	Deputy Clerk		
8	,			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.			
10	FREEDMAN & TAITELMAN, LLP Michael A. Taitelman, State Bar No.156254			
11	John D. Guerrini, State Bar No. 190972 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 500			
12	Los Angeles, California 90067			
13	Tel: (310) 201-0005 Fax: (310) 201-0045			
14	Attorneys for Consolidated Defendant 26 California Bazar Inc.			
15	20 Camornia Bazar nic.			
16	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
17	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
18	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION			
19				
20	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	Case No. CGC-03-418025 (Consolidated with Case No. CGC-03-418037)		
21	Plaintiff, vs.)) [PRQPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO		
22	ARC INTERNATIONAL NORTH	TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT		
23	AMERICA INC.; C.C.A			
24	INTERNATIONAL INC.; SEARS ROEBUCK AND CO.; and DOES 1	Date: May 16, 2005 Time: 9:00 a.m.		
25	through 150, inclusive,) Dept: 501) Judge: Hon. James J. McBride		
26	Defendants.			
27	AND CONSOLIDATED ACTIONS.			
28)		
	[DDODOCED] HIDOMENT DUDOU	-1-		
	[LKOLOSED] JODGMENT LOKSON	ANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT		

1					
2	In the above-entitled action, Plaintiffs WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D. and Michael				
3	DiPirro, and Defendant 26 California Bazar Inc., having agreed through their respective counsel				
4	that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the				
5	parties, and after issuing an Order Approving Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consen				
6	Judgment on May 16, 2005.				
7	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of				
8	Civil Procedure section 664.5, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Order				
9	Approving Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment, between the parties.				
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
11	200E	•,			
12	MAY 1 6 2005 Dated:, 2005	JAMES J. MOBRIDE			
13	i	Hon. James J. McBride Judge of the San Francisco Superior Court			
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28		-2-			
	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSU	JANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT			

1 2 3 4	Clifford A Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840 Tel: (203) 966-9911 Fax: (203) 801-5222	GORDON PA 1 6 2005			
5	Stephen S. Sayad, State Bar No. 104866 Laralei S. Paras, State Bar No. 203319	GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk Deputy Clerk			
6	PARAS LAW GROUP 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216	SAVER Clerk			
7 8	Mill Valley, CA 94941 Tel: (415) 380-9222 Fax: (415) 380-9223	eputy Clerk			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.				
10	FREEDMAN & TAITELMAN, LLP Michael A. Taitelman, State Bar No.156254				
11	John D. Guerrini, State Bar No. 190972 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 500				
12	Los Angeles, California 90067 Tel: (310) 201-0005				
13	Fax: (310) 201-0045				
14	Attorneys for Consolidated Defendant 26 California Bazar Inc.				
15					
16	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
17	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
18	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION				
19					
20	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	Case No. CGC-03-418025			
21	Plaintiff, vs.	(Consolidated with Case No. CGC-03-418037)			
22	ARC INTERNATIONAL NORTH	[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT			
23	AMERICA INC.; C.C.A INTERNATIONAL INC.; SEARS	Date: May 16, 2005			
24	ROEBUCK AND CO.; and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,	Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept: 501			
25	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. James J. McBride			
26					
27	AND CONSOLIDATED ACTIONS.				
28		-1-			
	[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT				

[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

1



Stipulation and {Proposed} Order Re: Consent Judgment

(Or 1

A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware. "Reed Smith" and "Reed Smith Crosby Heafey LLP" refer to Reed Smith LLP and related entities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

as the "Parties". The Parties agree to the following terms and conditions:

- 1.1 Dr. Leeman is an individual residing in Sacramento, California, who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- Dr. Leeman alleges that Bazar has distributed and/or sold in the State of 1.2 California certain glassware products with colored artwork and/or designs on the exterior surface of the glass with materials in that colored artwork and/or designs that contain lead (or lead compounds) that are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§25249.5 et seq., also known as Proposition 65, hereafter referred to as the "Listed Chemicals".
- 1.3 A list of the Products which are covered by this Agreement is provided in Exhibit A (hereafter collectively referred to as the "Products").
- On or about February 7, 2003, Dr. Leeman first served Bazar and other public 1.4 enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" that provided Bazar and such public enforcers with notice that Bazar was allegedly in violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that certain products that it sold expose users in California to one or more of the Listed Chemicals.
- On or about March 5, 2003, Dr. Leeman filed, but did not serve on Bazar, a 1.5 complaint for restitution and injunctive relief entitled Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. v. 26 California Bazar, et. al., in the Superior Court in and for the City and County of San Francisco, naming Bazar as a defendant and alleging violations of Business & Professions Code Section 17200 and 17500 on behalf of individuals in California who allegedly have been exposed to one or more of the Listed Chemicals contained in certain products sold by Bazar.
- On April 16, 2003, in the interest of the general public in California, Dr. Leeman 1.6 filed, and thereafter served on Bazar, a First Amended Complaint, naming Bazar as a defendant and alleging violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for those who had been allegedly exposed to one or more of the Listed Chemicals contained in the Products. On or about June 6, 2003, Bazar served its Answer to Dr. Leeman's First Amended Complaint.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

26

27

28

- Bazar denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Dr. Leeman's 1.7 above mentioned 60-Day Notice of Violation and First Amended Complaint and maintains that all products distributed or sold by Bazar in California including, but not limited to, the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws.
- 1.8 Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as an admission by Bazar of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Bazar of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Bazar under this Agreement.
- For purposes of this Agreement, the term "Effective Date" shall mean 1.9 December 22, 2003.

2. **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

- After December 30, 2003, Bazar shall not knowingly sell or offer for sale in 2.1 California any of the Products containing the Listed Chemicals unless such Products comply with Sections 2.2 -2.4 below.
- 2.2 Product Warnings: Subject to Section 2.4, after December 30, 2003, Bazar shall not knowingly sell or distribute any of the Products in California unless warnings are provided as set forth below in Section 2.2(a) or Section 2.2(b):
- Warning on the Products or Product Packaging: A warning is affixed to the packaging, labeling or directly on the Products that state:
 - "WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of these glassware products contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm."
- Posting of In Store Sign(s): One or more signs are posted at or near the b. point of sale or display of the Products for those Products that are sold in any Bazar store in California from which Bazar directly sells the Products to its customers that state:

A limited hability partnership formed in the State of Delaware. "Reed Smith" and "Reed Smith Crosby Heafey LLP" refer to Reed Smith LLP and related entities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of glassware products sold in this store contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm."

If Bazar knowingly sells some Products in its store(s) that satisfy the definition of Reformulated Products as defined in Paragraph 2.4 herein, then such Products shall be identified on the sign along with an explanation given that the warning statement does not apply to such Products.

- The warning statements specified by Sections 2.2(a) and 2.2(b) above c. shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase. Any changes to the language or format of the warning required by this paragraph shall only be made following: (1) approval of Dr. Leeman; (2) after written notice to Dr. Leeman of at least fifteen (15) days for the opportunity to comment, receipt of approval from the California Attorney General's office; or (3) court approval.
- 2.3 Interim Warnings: In an attempt to ensure that all Products that may already be in the stream of commerce contain reproductive toxicity warnings, Bazar agrees to send a letter (hereafter referred to as the "Letter") (with a copy provided to Dr. Leeman's counsel) no later than February 1, 2004, to each of its California retail outlets that Bazar knows to have sold or believes to have sold any of the Products within the year prior to the Effective Date or are known or believed to have any inventory of the Products purchased from Bazar. Such Letter shall explain that the Products contain lead (or lead compounds) and assert the retailer outlet's duty to either: (a) destroy any of the Products remaining on the retailer's sales floors or otherwise in the retailer's inventory; (b) return such Products to Bazar; (c) provide in store warnings for the Products at or near their point of sale or display that state:

"WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of glassware products sold in this store contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

or (d) or apply warnings to the Products themselves or the consumer packaging of the Products that remain on the retailers sales floors or otherwise in the retailers inventory (provided the Product packaging will be available to the plain view of the consumer before purchase) that state:

> "WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of glassware products sold in this store contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm."

The Letter shall also include warning stickers for application to the Products or Product packaging and the Letter shall advise the retailer that the warning statement must be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase. The Letter shall state that in the event the retailer does not provide the requisite Proposition 65 warnings, the retailer must destroy the Products or return to Bazar any Products remaining on the retailers' sales floor or otherwise in the retailers inventory, but that Bazar will refund the retailer any money that the retailer had paid to Bazar for the purchase of such Products, if the retailer chooses to return the Products to Bazar.

- Reformulated Products: The Products shall be deemed to comply with 2.4 Proposition 65 and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements under Sections 2.2 and 2.3 if:
- the painted decoration is solely on the exterior of the Product exclusive of a. the top 20 millimeters of the ware (i.e., below the exterior portion of the lip and rim area as defined by American Society of Testing and Materials Standard Test Method C927-99, hereinafter the "Lip and Rim Area") produce either a nondetectable test result or a test result no higher than 1.0 micrograms (ug) of lead (depending on whether flame AAS or graphite furnace AAS is applied for the analysis respectively, which shall be at Bazar's sole option) using a Ghost WipeTM test applied on painted portions of the surface of the Product performed as outlined in NIOSH method no. 9100; or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- the painted decoration extends into the exterior Lip and Rim Area or the b. interior (food contact surface) of the Product, a test result of all such painted portions acceptable under subparagraph (a) above, and a result of 0.5 micrograms/milliliter (ug/ml) of lead or less using ASTM method C 927-99); or
- the Products utilize paints on all decorations containing four onec. hundredths of one percent (0.04%) lead by weight or less (as measured by a sample size of the paint approximately 50-100 mg) and contain no painted decoration within any part of the interior (food contact surface) of the Product or on the exterior portion of the Lip and Rim Area of the Product. By agreeing to the foregoing, Bazar does not admit that the above mentioned criteria, testing and analytical methodologies are necessarily appropriate for determining the amount or levels of exposure to lead (and lead compounds) from reasonably foreseeable use of the Products.

Should any court of this state enter an order or judgment in a case brought by Dr. Leeman or the People of the State of California, that sets forth standards defining when Proposition 65 warnings will or will not be required for products substantially similar to the type and function of Products at issue here ("Alternative Standards"), or if the California Attorney General's office otherwise provides written endorsement (i.e. a writing that is circulated by the Attorney General that is not intended for the purpose of soliciting further input or comments) of Alternative Standards applicable to products that are of the same type and function as the Products, Bazar shall be entitled to seek a modification of this Consent Judgment as to be able to utilize and rely on such Alternative Standards in lieu of those set forth in subsections (a), (b), or (c) of this Paragraph. Dr. Leeman shall not unreasonably withhold consent to any proposed stipulation to effectuate such a modification.

Products satisfying the conditions of this paragraph are hereinafter referred to as "Reformulated Products". Bazar may comply with the requirements of this section by relying on the written specifications provided by its supplier(s), provided such reliance is in good faith.

27 1111

28 1111

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1 Penalties Pursuant To Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b): Pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b), Bazar shall pay \$3,000 in civil penalties, with the penalty payment to be made within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective Date and made payable to "Sheffer & Chanler LLP in Trust For Whitney R. Leeman".

In the event that Bazar pays any penalty and the Consent Judgment is thereafter not ultimately approved by the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco, Dr. Leeman shall return any penalty funds paid under this paragraph, with interest thereon at the rate of 1.00% per annum, simple interest, within fifteen (15) calendar days of effective written notice of the Court's decision. All penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Dr. Leeman in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. Dr. Leeman shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this paragraph.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

- 4.1 Reimbursement Of Fees And Costs: The Parties acknowledge that Dr. Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been reached, and the matter settled. Bazar then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue concurrently with other settlement terms, so the Parties tried to and did reach an accord on the compensation due to Dr. Leeman and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date.
- 4.2 Under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5, Bazar shall reimburse Dr. Leeman and her counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Bazar's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Bazar shall pay Dr. Leeman and her counsel \$15,000 for all

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective Date. Payment should be made payable to "Sheffer & Chanler LLP." If the Consent Judgment is not approved by the Superior Court in and for the City and County of San Francisco, Dr. Leeman and Sheffer & Chanler LLP will return all funds, with interest thereon at the rate of 1.00% per annum, simple interest, within fifteen (15) calendar days of effective written notice of the Court's decision.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 Dr. Leeman's Release of Bazar and Downstream Persons: In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, Dr. Leeman, on behalf of herself, her agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Bazar and its distributors, wholesalers, auctioneers, retailers, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees (collectively, "Defendant Releasees") arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code §17500 et seq., related to the Defendant Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of lead (or lead compounds) contained in the Products.

The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code §17500 et seq., that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Bazar for its alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of lead (or lead compounds) in the Products

-8-

or any other claim based on the facts or conduct alleged in the complaint.

In addition, Dr. Leeman, on behalf of herself, her attorneys, and her agents, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all Claims against the Defendant Releasees arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code §17500 et seq., related to the Defendant Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of the Listed Chemicals contained in the Products and for all actions or statements made by Bazar or its attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §17200 or Business & Professions Code §17500 by Bazar. Provided, however, that Dr. Leeman shall remain free to institute any form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that Bazar's compliance with the terms of this Release resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future, (so long as Bazar complies with the terms of the Agreement), concerning the Defendant Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65, Business and Professions Code §\$17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code §17500 et seq., as to the Listed Chemicals in the Products.

- 5.2. <u>Bazar's Release of Dr. Leeman</u>: Bazar waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Dr. Leeman, or her attorneys or representatives, for all actions taken or statements made by Dr. Leeman and her attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §17200 <u>et seq.</u> or Business & Professions Code §17500 <u>et seq.</u> against Bazar as it relates to the Products.
- 6. SALES DATA: Bazar understands that the sales data that has been provided to Dr. Leeman's counsel was a material factor upon which Dr. Leeman has relied to determine the amount of payments, if any, made pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b) in this Agreement. To the best of Bazar's knowledge, the sales data provided is true and accurate. In the event that Dr. Leeman discovers facts which demonstrate to a reasonable degree of certainty that the sales data is materially inaccurate, the parties shall meet in a good faith attempt to

resolve the matter within ten (10) days of Bazar's receipt of notice from Dr. Leeman of her intent to challenge the accuracy of the sales data. If this good faith attempt fails to resolve Dr. Leeman's concerns, Dr. Leeman shall have the right to re-institute an enforcement action against Bazar for those additional Products, based upon any existing 60 Day Notices of Violation served on Bazar. In such case, all applicable statutes of limitation shall be deemed tolled for the period between the date Dr. Leeman filed the instant action and the date Dr. Leeman notifies Bazar that she is re-instituting the action for the additional Products. Provided, however, that:

(a) Dr. Leeman shall not have the option of exercising her rights under this Paragraph more than one year after the Effective Date and (b) Bazar shall have no additional liability, and Dr. Leeman waives any claim that might otherwise be asserted, from the Effective Date until the date that Dr. Leeman provides notice under this Paragraph, so long as Bazar has complied with the requirements of Section 2 for all of the Products, including those numbers of Products additionally discovered.

7. COURT APPROVAL: If, for any reason, this Consent Judgment is not ultimately

- 7. COURT APPROVAL: If, for any reason, this Consent Judgment is not ultimately approved and entered by the Court within one hundred eight (180) days after the Effective Date, this Agreement shall, at Bazar's option be deemed null and void and all monies provided to Dr. Leeman or her counsel shall be refunded to Bazar within fifteen (15) days after receipt of written notice to Dr. Leeman's counsel from Bazar to this paragraph.
- 8. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>: In the event that any of the provisions of this Agreement are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.
- 9. ATTORNEYS' FEES: In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Agreement (including, but not limited to, disputes arising from payments to be made under this Agreement), the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred from the resolution of such dispute. This provision, however, shall not

10. GOVERNING LAW: The terms of this Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then Bazar shall have

no further obligations pursuant to this Agreement with respect to, and to the extent that, those

Products are so affected.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

NOTICES: All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this 11. Agreement shall be in writing and shall be personally delivered or sent by first-class, registered, certified mail, overnight courier and/or via facsimile transmission (with presentation of facsimile transmission confirmation) addressed as follows:

If to Dr. Leeman:

apply to Section 4, which shall govern on its own terms.

Gregory Sheffer

Sheffer & Chanler

160 Sansome Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 (fax) (415) 434-9115

If to Bazar:

Ben Navid

26 California Bazar Inc. 3018 E. 46th Street Vernon, CA 90058 (fax) (323) 588-3122

With a copy to:

John E. Dittoe

Reed Smith Crosby Heafey LLP

1999 Harrison Street

P.O. Box 2084

Oakland, CA 94604-2084 (fax) (510) 273-8832

Either party, from time to time, may specify a change of address or facsimile number to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

NO ADMISSIONS: Nothing in this Agreement shall constitute or be construed as an 12. admission by Bazar of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Bazar of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Bazar. Bazar reserves all of its rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any party under Proposition 65 or otherwise. However, this Paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect Bazar's obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

13. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES: This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code

§ 25249.7(f) . Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Dr. Leeman shall present

this Consent Judgment and a noticed motion for its consideration by the Court to the California

Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. It

will then be presented to the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco for a

hearing scheduled not earlier than forty-five (45) days thereafter.

COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(f): Dr. Leeman

14.

19 ////

28 ////

- 12 -

DOCSOAK-9698719.1-JDITTOE

Stipulation and {Proposed} Order Re: Consent Judgment

	1	15. <u>AUTHORIZATION:</u> The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and			
LIP sid related extens	2				
	3	conditions of this Consent Judgment.			
	4				
	5	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:			
	6	DATE: DATE: Dec. 22.63			
	7	1 Minument			
	8	Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. Defendant 26 California Bazar Inc			
dies, o	9				
Reto Re	10	APPROVED AS TO FORM: APPROVED AS TO FORM:			
REED SMITH C. JULY MERFY LLY Jeaned In the State of Oddweit. The ed Smith' and "Root Smith Couldby LLP" refer to Reed Smith LIP et A reford Couldby	11	num 10			
	12	DATE: Vecenter 25, 2003			
	13	DATE: December 23, 2003			
7.132 1845 1845 1845	14	Gregory Sheffer John/E. Dittoe			
ر الرابع الرابع	15	Attorneys for Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. Attorneys for Defendant 26 California Bazar Inc.			
1148 1484	16				
REED SMITH C. Dawee. "Reed Smith".	17				
Figure of C	18				
24 P. P. C.	19				
. ≙	20				
A lighted thability partiness	21				
es a shifty	22				
A Entri	23				
	24				
	25				
	26				
	27				
	28	- 13 - Local Survivior 19.1 Controls			
		Supulation and (Proposed) Order Re: Consent Judgmett			

0°

EXHIBIT A

All glassware with colored artwork or designs (containing lead) on the exterior sold or distributed by Bazar.

REED SMITH CROSBY HEAFEY LLP A initied liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware. "Reed Smith" and "Reed Smith Crosby Heafey LLP" refer to Reed Smith LLP and related entities.

Stipulation and {Proposed} Order Re: Consent Judgment