1 2 3 4	Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534) Stephen S. Sayad (State Bar No. 104866) CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840 Telephone: (203) 966-9911 Facsimile: (203) 801-5222	ENDORSED FILED
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Daniel Bornstein (State Bar No. 181711) Laralei S. Paras (State Bar No. 203319) PARAS LAW GROUP 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216 Mill Valley, CA 94941 Telephone: (415) 380-9222 Facsimile: (415) 380-9223 Attorneys for Plaintiff Russell Brimer Richard C. Jacobs (State Bar No. 49538) HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY FAL Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4024 Telephone: (415) 434-1600 Facsimile: (415) 217-5910	GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk BYI JOCELYN C. ROQUE DOCUMENTO CONTROL K & RABKIN
14 15	Attorneys for Defendant Beverages & More, Inc.	
16	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
17	IN AND FOR THE CITY AND CO	OUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
18	UNLIMITED CIVIL	JURISDICTION
19		
20	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-04-435215
21	Plaintiff,	TICKI
22	v.	[PROPOSED] SECOND AMENDED ORDER PURSUANT TO TERMS OF
23	BEVERAGES & MORE, INC.; and DOES 1	CONSENT JUDGMENT
24	through 150,	Date: October 6, 2005
25	Defendants.	Time: 9:30 A.M. Dept.: 301
26		Judge: Hon. James L. Warren
27	·	
28		
-0		

[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	In the above-entitled action, Pla	intiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendant BEVERAGES	
2	& MORE, INC., having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered		
3	pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Consent Judgment ("Consent		
4	Judgment') entered into by the above-re	eferenced parties and attached hereto as Exhibit A; and	
5	after consideration of the papers submit	tted and the arguments presented, the Court finds that the	
6	settlement agreement set out in the attac	ched Consent Judgment meets the criteria established by	
7	Senate Bill 471, in that:		
8	1. The health hazard warning	that is required by the Consent Judgment complies with	
9	Health & Safety Code §252	249.7 (as amended by Senate Bill 471);	
10	2. The reimbursement of fees	2. The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent	
1	Judgment is reasonable und	Judgment is reasonable under California law; and	
12	3. The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent Judgment is		
13	reasonable,		
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED tha	t judgment be entered in this case, in accordance with the	
15	terms of the Consent Judgment, attache	d hereto as Exhibit A.	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	JAMES L. WARREN	
17	Dated: October 6, 2005	Hon, James L. Warren	
18		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
:O			
,,			
27			

[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

Exhibit A

1 2 3 4 5	Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534) CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840 Telephone: (203) 966-9911 Facsimile: (203) 801-5222 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6	Russell Brimer	
7	Richard C. Jacobs (State Bar No. 49538) HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY FALK	& RABKIN
8	Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor	
9	San Francisco, CA 94111-4024 Telephone: (415) 434-1600	
10	Facsimile: (415) 217-5910	
11	Attorneys for Defendant Beverages & More, Inc.	
12		
13	· ·	
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
16	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
17		
18	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-04-435215
19	Plaintiff,	STIPLIT ATION AND IPPOPOSEDI
20	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT
21	BEVERAGES & MORE, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,	
22	Defendants.	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Plaintiff and Settling Defendant. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereafter "Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and Beverages & More, Inc. (hereafter "Beverages"), with Plaintiff and Beverages collectively referred to as the "Parties" and Brimer and Beverages each being a "Party."
- 1.2 Plaintiff. Brimer is an individual residing in Alameda County, California, whose complaint alleges that he seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- distributed and/or sold in the State of California glass sets, drinking glasses, glass soda bottles, and other glassware with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface with materials that contain lead and/or lead compounds and cadmium that are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code Sections 25249.5 et seq., also known as Proposition 65, to cause cancer and birth defects and/or other reproductive harm. Lead and/or lead compounds and cadmium are referred to herein as "Listed Chemicals."
- 1.4 **Product Descriptions.** The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: glassware products manufactured, sold and/or distributed by Beverages with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface, including, by way of example and without limitation, the glassware listed at Exhibit A. Such products collectively are referred to herein as the "Products."
- 1.5 Notices of Violation. Beginning on July 30, 2004, Brimer served Beverages and various public enforcement agencies with documents, entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided Beverages and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that Beverages was in violation of Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 for failing to warm purchasers that certain products that it sold expose users in California to lead and/or lead compounds and cadmium.

- 1.6 Complaint. On October 5, 2004, Brimer, alleging that he was acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Complaint" or the "Action") in the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco against Beverages and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to one or more of the Listed Chemicals contained in certain products sold by Beverages.
- 1.7 No Admission. Beverages denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's Notices and Complaint and maintains that all products that it has sold in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Beverages of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Beverages of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Beverages under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.8 Consent to Jurisdiction. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Beverages as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised based on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom, and to enforce the provisions thereof.
- 1.9 Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Effective Date" shall be May 17, 2005.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PROPOSITION 65

2.1 WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION OBLIGATIONS

(a) Required Warnings. No later than July 1, 2005, Beverages shall not sell or offer for sale in California any Products containing the Listed Chemicals, unless warnings are given in accordance with one or more provisions in subsection 2.2 below.

(b) Exceptions. The warning requirements set forth in subsections 2.1(a) and 2.2 below shall not apply to reformulated Products as defined in subsection 2.3 below.

2.2 CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

(a) Product Labeling. A warning is affixed to the packaging, labeling or directly to or on a Product by Beverages, its agent, or the manufacturer, importer, wholesaler or distributor of the Product that states:

The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead and/or cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

OΓ

WARNING:

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of these products contain chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Warnings issued for Products pursuant to this subsection shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase. Any changes to the language or format of the warning required by this subsection shall only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

- (b) Point-of-Sale Warnings. Beverages may execute its warning obligations, where applicable, through the posting of signs at its retail outlets in the State of California at which Products are sold, in accordance with the terms specified in subsections 2.2(b)(i) and 2.2(b)(ii).
- (i) Point of Sale warnings may be provided through one or more signs posted at or near the point of sale or display of the Products that state:

sf-1835732

IDEN ATION AND (PROPOSED) OPP

expose you to lead or cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm: [List the specific products for which a warning is given.]

Proposition 65 WARNING: Use of the following glassware products will

shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase and shall be placed or written in a manner such that the consumer understands to which *specific* Products the warnings apply so as to minimize if not eliminate the chances that an overwarning situation will arise. Any changes to the language or format of the warning required for Products by this subsection shall only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

2.3 **REFORMULATION STANDARDS:** Products satisfying the conditions of section 2.3(a) and 2.3(b) are referred to as "Reformulated Products" and are defined as follows:

Reformulation Standards Regarding Lead

(a) If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product that do not extend into the top 20 millimeters of the glassware (i.e., below the exterior portion of the lip and rim area as defined by American Society of Testing and Materials Standard Test Method C 927-99, hereinafter the "Lip and Rim Area"), produce a test result no higher than 1.0 micrograms (ug) of lead using a Ghost Wipe TM test applied on the decorated portions of the surface of the Product performed as outlined in NIOSH method no. 9100, such Product is a Reformulated Product; and (b) If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product do extend into the Lip and Rim Area, then the colored artwork, designs, or markings appearing within the Lip and Rim Area must contain six one-hundredths of one percent (0.06%) lead by weight or less as measured at Beverages's option, either before or after the

material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation (as distinguished from detection) of less than 600 parts per million ("ppm") such Product is a Reformulated Product; or

(c) If the Product utilizes paints, decals, or other materials for colored artwork, designs or markings containing six one-hundredths of one percent (0.06%) lead by weight or less as measured at Beverages's option, either before or after the material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation (as distinguished from detection) of less than 600 parts per million ("ppm"), such Product is a Reformulated Product.

Reformulation Standards Regarding Cadmium

- (a) If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product that do not extend into the Lip and Rim Area produce a test result no higher than 4.0 micrograms (ug) of cadmium using a Ghost Wipe TM test applied on the decorated portions of the surface of the Product performed as outlined in NIOSH method no. 9100, then such Product is a reformulated Product; and
- (b) If the Product utilizes paints, decals, or other materials for colored artwork, designs or markings containing twenty-four one-hundredths of one percent (0.24%) cadmium by weight or less as measured at Beverages's option, either before or after the material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation (as distinguished from detection) of less than 600 ppm, then such Product is a Reformulated Product; or
- (c) If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product do extent into the Lip and Rim Area, then the colored artwork, designs, or markings appearing within the Lip and Rim Area must contain eight one-hundredths of one percent (0.08%) cadmium by weight or less as measured at Beverage's option, either before or after the material is

fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation (as distinguished from detection) of less than 600 ppm, then such Product is a Reformulated Product.

2.4 REFORMULATION COMMITMENT. By entering into this Stipulation and Consent Judgment, Beverages hereby commits that: (a) as a continuing matter of corporate policy, Beverages intends to undertake good faith efforts, taking into consideration Beverages' operational and product licensing restrictions, to ensure that as many Products as reasonably possible shall qualify as Reformulated Products; (b) to reach 80% (eighty percent) or more Reformulated Products offered for sale by Beverages by January 1, 2007; and (c) to make commercially reasonable efforts thereafter to reach 100% (one-hundred percent) Reformulated Products.

3. MONETARY RELIEF

3.1 Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b). Pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b), Beverages shall pay \$15,000 in civil penalties. The penalty payment shall be made payable to "Chanler Law Group in Trust For Russell Brimer," and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before June 1, 2005, at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

- (a) In the event that Beverages pays any penalty and the Consent Judgment is not thereafter approved and entered by the Court, Brimer shall return any penalty funds paid under this agreement within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a written request from Beverages following notice of the issuance of the Court's decision.
- (b) The Parties agree that Beverages's interest in and ability to acquire and market Reformulated Products is to be accounted for in this section and, since it is not a remedy

provided for by law, the absence of Beverages previously marketing or selling Reformulated Products is not relevant to the establishment of a penalty amount pursuant to section 3.1 above.

(c) Apportionment of Penalties Received. After Court approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to section 6, all penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with Health & Safety Code Section 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Plaintiff as provided by Health & Safety Code Section 25249.12(d). Plaintiff shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this section.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

4.1 The Parties acknowledge that Plaintiff and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Beverages then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date of the Agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5, Beverages shall reimburse Plaintiff and his counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Beverages's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Beverages shall pay Plaintiff and his counsel \$50,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs. The payment shall be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group" and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before June 1, 2005 at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

 4.2 Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, Beverages shall have no further obligation with regard to reimbursement of Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs with regard to the Products covered in this Action.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

- Plaintiff's Release of Beverages. As to Products, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between the Plaintiff, acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d), on the one hand, and Beverages, on the other hand, of any violation of Proposition 65, of all claims made or which could have been made in the Notice and/or the Complaint, and of any other statutory, regulatory or common law claim that could have been asserted against Beverages and/or its affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, successors, assigns, and/or customers for failure to provide clear, reasonable, and lawful warnings of exposure to lead or cadmium contained in or otherwise associated with Products manufactured, sold or distributed by, for, or on behalf of Beverages. As to Products, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance by Beverages and/or its affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, successors, and assigns with the requirements of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products.
- 5.2 In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to sections 3 and 4, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Beverages and each of its customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees (collectively, "Beverages Releasees") arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code Section 17200 et seq. and Business &

Professions Code Section 17500 et seq., related to Beverages's or Beverages Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products.

The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code Sections 17500 et seq., that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Beverages for its alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of Listed Chemicals in the Products.

In addition, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his attorneys, and their agents, waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all Claims against the Beverages Releasees arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code Sections 17500 et seq., related to each of the Beverages Releasees' alleged failures to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products and for all actions or statements made by Beverages or its attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code Sections 17200 or Business & Professions Code Sections 17500 by Beverages. Provided however, Plaintiff shall remain free to institute any form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment. It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that Beverages's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (so long as Beverages complies with the terms of the Consent Judgment) concerning Beverages and the Beverages Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65, Business and Professions Code Sections 17200 et. seq. and Business & Professions Code Sections 17500 et seq., as to the Products.

The Parties understand and agree that the release provided by Plaintiff herein shall not extend upstream to the Product manufacturers or to any distributor or supplier from whom Beverages purchased any of the Products covered by Exhibit A. It is further understood and agreed by the Parties (including Beverages' Releasees) that this Consent Judgment does not in any way involve, and that that the release provided by Plaintiff specifically excludes, any and all

 products that are marketed by The Coca-Cola Company, or any other entity, under the name "Coca Cola" or that are manufactured, distributed, bottled, or sold by or on behalf of The Coca-Cola Company, or any other entity, regardless of whether the products were bottled in the United States, Mexico or any other country, including but not limited to products such as the "Mexican Coke" products identified in Plaintiff's 60-Day Notice of Violation dated January 3, 2005.

5.3 Beverages' Release of Plaintiff. Beverages waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, or his attorneys or representatives, for all actions taken or statements made by Plaintiff and his attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq. or Business & Professions Code Sections 17500 et seq. in this Action.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Plaintiff or his counsel pursuant to section 3 and/or section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days.

7. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 Before moving to enforce the terms and conditions of Section 2 of this Consent Judgment against Beverages with respect to an alleged violation occurring at a retail store located in California, Plaintiff and others must follow the procedures set forth in subsections 7.2 through 7.4.
- 7.2 In the event that Plaintiff and/or their attorneys, agents, assigns, or any other person acting in the public interest under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d) (hereinafter "Notifying Person") identifies one or more retail stores owned and operated by Beverages at which Products are sold (hereinafter "retail outlet") for which the warnings for those Products required under Section 2 of this Consent Judgment are not being given, such Notifying Person shall notify, in writing, Beverages of such alleged failure to warn (the "Notice of Breach"). The Notice of Breach shall be sent by first class mail, with proof of service, to the persons identified

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

in Section 11 of this Consent Judgment, and must be served within sixty (60) days of the date the alleged violation was observed. The Notice of Breach shall identify the date the alleged violation was observed and the retail outlet in question, and reasonably describe the nature of the alleged violation with sufficient detail to allow Beverages to determine the basis of the claim being asserted and the identities of the Products to which those assertions apply.

- In the event that the Notifying Person identifies a specific retail outlet, other than 7.3 the specific one identified in subsection 7.2 of this Consent judgment, not giving warnings for the Products as required under Section 2 of this Consent Judgment, such Notifying Person shall serve Beverages with another Notice of Breach in the manner described in subsection 7.2 and provide the information required in subsection 7.2.
- The Notifying Person shall take no further action against Beverages unless the 7.4 Notifying Person discovers, at least thirty (30) days after service of the Notices of Breach served pursuant to subsections 7.2 and 7.3, another failure to warn for any Products whether or not the alleged failure to warn is at the same retail outlet(s) identified in the Notices of Breach served pursuant to subsections 7.2 and 7.3.

8. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

9. ATTORNEYS' FEES

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall, except as otherwise provided herein, be entitled to recover reasonable and necessary costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred from the resolution of such dispute.

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically,

then Beverages shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect 1 to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected. 2 3 11. NOTICES All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment 4 shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (1) first-class, registered, certified mail, 5 return receipt requested or (ii) overnight courier on either Party by the other at the following 6 addresses. (Either Party, from time to time, may, pursuant to the methods prescribed above, 7 specify a change of address to which all future notices and other communications shall be sent.) 8 9 To Beverages: 10 Bannus Hudson, President Beverages & More, Inc. 11 1470 Enea Circle, Suite 1600 Concord, CA 94520 12 13 With a copy to: 14 Richard C. Jacobs, Esq. Howard Rice Nemerovski Canady Falk & Rabkin 15 Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4024 16 17 To Plaintiff: 18 Clifford A. Chanler, Esq. Chanler Law Group 19 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

. .

12. NO ADMISSIONS

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by Beverages of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Beverages of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Beverages. Beverages reserves all of its rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any party under Proposition 65 or otherwise. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Beverages's obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

13. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

14. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Plaintiff shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.

15. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, the Parties agree to file a Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Joint Motion"), the first draft of

which Brimer's counsel shall prepare, within a reasonable period of time after the Effective Date (i.e., not to exceed thirty (30) days unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties' counsel based on unanticipated circumstances). Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare a declaration in support of the Joint Motion which shall, inter alia, set forth support for the fees and costs to be reimbursed pursuant to Section 4. Beverages shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing or related proceedings thereon.

16. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

17.	AUTHORIZATIO	
	The undersigned are	

AGREED TO:

Date: 5-20-05

Plaintiff Russell Brimer

Date: 5/20/05

Clifford A. Chanler

Attorneys for Plaintiff

RUSSELL BRIMER

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

CHANLER LAW GROUP

6612549399

authorized to execute this Consent Judgment or rehalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms ar ... conditions of this Consent Judgment

5

ŀ

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

15

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

AGREED TO:

Date:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Date:

HOWARD RICE NEMEROV SI CANADY FALK & RABKIN

Richard C. Jacobs, Esq. Afterney for Defendant BEVERAGES & MORE, INC

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date:

JUDGE OF THE ST PERIOR COURT

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CGC-04-43521\$

ef-1835732

1	17. AUTHORIZATION	
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their	
3	respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this	
4	Consent Judgment.	
5		·
6		
7	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
8	Date:	Date: MNY 18, 2005
10	By:	By:
L1	Plaintiff Russell Brimer	Detengant BEVERAGES & MURE, INC.
12		
13	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
۱4	Date:	Date: //ag 24, 200,
15	<u></u>	
16	CHANLER LAW GROUP	HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY FALK &∕RABKIN
17	By:	- By: Will Jewill
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Richard C. Jacobs, Esq. Attorney for Defendant
19	KUSSELL BRIVIEK	BEVERAGES & MORE, INC.
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	TI IS SO ORDERED.	
23	Date:	
24		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
25		
26		
27		
28		

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CGC-04-435215 sf-1835732

Exhibit A All glass and/or ceramic glasses, mugs, bowls, teapots, and other glassware with colored designs and/or artwork on the exterior, including but not limited to: Pub Glass Coastal Fog Beer Glass Sierra Nevada Beer Glass Orval 1800 Party Bucket (with Margarita glass) NEHI Peach Longnecks Soda bottle

i 2 3	Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534) Stephen S. Sayad (State Bar No. 104866) CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840 Telephone: (203) 966-9911	ENDORSED
4	Facsimile: (203) 801-5222	San Francisco County Superior Court
5	Daniel Bornstein (State Bar No. 181711) Laralei S. Paras (State Bar No. 203319)	OCT 6 2005
6	PARAS LAW GROUP 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216	GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk
7	Mill Valley, CA 94941 Telephone: (415) 380-9222	Doney Clark
8	Facsimile: (415) 380-9223	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Russell Brimer	
	Richard C. Jacobs (State Bar No. 49538)	ATV 0. DADVINI
11	HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY FA Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor	ALK & KADKIN
12	San Francisco, CA 94111-4024 Telephone: (415) 434-1600	
13	Facsimile: (415) 217-5910	
14 15	Attorneys for Defendant Beverages & More, Inc.	
16	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
17	IN AND FOR THE CITY AND	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
18	UNLIMITED CIV	IL JURISDICTION
19		
20	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-04-435215
21	Plaintiff,	(TCR) [PROPOSED] SECOND AMENDED
22	ν.	JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS
23	BEVERAGES & MORE, INC.; and DOES 1	OF CONSENT JUDGMENT
24	through 150,	Date: October 6, 2005 Time: 9:30 A.M.
25	Defendants.	Dept.: 301 Judge: Hon. James L. Warren
26		Dungor Hom omnoo D. Harron
27		
28		
	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT	TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendant BEVERAGES	
2	& MORE, INC., having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered	
3	pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation and [Proposed]	Order Re: Consent Judgment ("Consent
4	4 Judgment") entered into by the parties, and after issuing	an Order Approving Proposition 65
5	5 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment on Octob	er 6, 2005.
6	6 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND	DECREED that pursuant to Code of
7	7 Civil Procedure §664.5, judgment is entered in accordan	nce with the terms of the Order Approving
8	8 Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judg	gment, between the parties.
9	9 IT IS SO ORDERED.	L.WARREN
10	10 Dated: October 6, 2005	
11		s L. Warren F THE SUPERIOR COURT
12	12	
13	13	
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	
18	18	
19	19	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	-1-	
	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TER	RMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

Exhibit A

1	Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534) CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8		
2			
3	New Canaan, CT 06840 Telephone: (203) 966-9911		
4	Facsimile: (203) 801-5222		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Russell Brimer		
6			
7	Richard C. Jacobs (State Bar No. 49538) HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY FA	LK & RABKIN	
8	Three Embarcadero Center, 7 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4024		
9	Telephone: (415) 434-1600		
10	Facsimile: (415) 217-5910		
11	Attorneys for Defendant Beverages & More, Inc.		
12			
13			
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
15	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
16	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
17			
18	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-04-435215	
19	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
20	v.	ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT	
21	BEVERAGES & MORE, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT		

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CGC-04-435215 sf-1835732

i. INTRODUCTION

- Plaintiff and Settling Defendant. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereafter "Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and Beverages & More, Inc. (hereafter "Beverages"), with Plaintiff and Beverages collectively referred to as the "Parties" and Brimer and Beverages each being a "Party."
- 1.2 Plaintiff. Brimer is an individual residing in Alameda County, California, whose complaint alleges that he seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- distributed and/or sold in the State of California glass sets, drinking glasses, glass soda bottles, and other glassware with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface with materials that contain lead and/or lead compounds and cadmium that are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code Sections 25249.5 et seq., also known as Proposition 65, to cause cancer and birth defects and/or other reproductive harm. Lead and/or lead compounds and cadmium are referred to herein as "Listed Chemicals."
- 1.4 **Product Descriptions.** The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: glassware products manufactured, sold and/or distributed by Beverages with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface, including, by way of example and without limitation, the glassware listed at Exhibit A. Such products collectively are referred to herein as the "Products."
- Notices of Violation. Beginning on July 30, 2004, Brimer served Beverages and various public enforcement agencies with documents, entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided Beverages and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that Beverages was in violation of Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that certain products that it sold expose users in California to lead and/or lead compounds and cadmium.

- 1.6 Complaint. On October 5, 2004, Brimer, alleging that he was acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Complaint" or the "Action") in the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco against Beverages and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to one or more of the Listed Chemicals contained in certain products sold by Beverages.
- 1.7 No Admission. Beverages denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's Notices and Complaint and maintains that all products that it has sold in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Beverages of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Beverages of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Beverages under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.8 Consent to Jurisdiction. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Beverages as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised based on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom, and to enforce the provisions thereof.
- 1.9 Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Effective Date" shall be May 17, 2005.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PROPOSITION 65

2.1 WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION OBLIGATIONS

(a) Required Warnings. No later than July 1, 2005, Beverages shall not sell or offer for sale in California any Products containing the Listed Chemicals, unless warnings are given in accordance with one or more provisions in subsection 2.2 below.

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Proposition 65 WARNING: Use of the following glassware products will expose you to lead or cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm: /List the specific products for which a warning is given.

- A point of sale warning provided pursuant to subsection 2.2(b)(i) (ii) shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase and shall be placed or written in a manner such that the consumer understands to which specific Products the warnings apply so as to minimize if not eliminate the chances that an overwarning situation will arise. Any changes to the language or format of the warning required for Products by this subsection shall only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.
- 2.3 REFORMULATION STANDARDS: Products satisfying the conditions of section 2.3(a) and 2.3(b) are referred to as "Reformulated Products" and are defined as follows:

Reformulation Standards Regarding Lead

(a) If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product that do not extend into the top 20 millimeters of the glassware (i.e., below the exterior portion of the lip and rim area as defined by American Society of Testing and Materials Standard Test Method C 927-99, hereinafter the "Lip and Rim Area"), produce a test result no higher than 1.0 micrograms (ug) of lead using a Ghost Wipe TM test applied on the decorated portions of the surface of the Product performed as outlined in NIOSH method no. 9100, such Product is a Reformulated Product; and (b) If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product do extend into the Lip and Rim Area, then the colored artwork, designs, or markings appearing within the Lip and Rim Area must contain six one-hundredths of one percent (0.06%) lead by weight or less as measured at Beverages's option, either before or after the

material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation (as distinguished from detection) of less than 600 parts per million ("ppm") such Product is a Reformulated Product; or

(c) If the Product utilizes paints, decals, or other materials for colored artwork, designs or markings containing six one-hundredths of one percent (0.06%) lead by weight or less as measured at Beverages's option, either before or after the material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation (as distinguished from detection) of less than 600 parts per million ("ppm"), such Product is a Reformulated Product.

Reformulation Standards Regarding Cadmium

- (a) If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product that do not extend into the Lip and Rim Area produce a test result no higher than 4.0 micrograms (ug) of cadmium using a Ghost Wipe TM test applied on the decorated portions of the surface of the Product performed as outlined in NIOSH method no. 9100, then such Product is a reformulated Product; and
- (b) If the Product utilizes paints, decals, or other materials for colored artwork, designs or markings containing twenty-four one-hundredths of one percent (0.24%) cadmium by weight or less as measured at Beverages's option, either before or after the material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation (as distinguished from detection) of less than 600 ppm, then such Product is a Reformulated Product; or
- (c) If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product do extent into the Lip and Rim Area, then the colored artwork, designs, or markings appearing within the Lip and Rim Area must contain eight one-hundredths of one percent (0.08%) cadmium by weight or less as measured at Beverage's option, either before or after the material is

fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation (as distinguished from detection) of less than 600 ppm, then such Product is a Reformulated Product.

2.4 **REFORMULATION COMMITMENT.** By entering into this Stipulation and Consent Judgment, Beverages hereby commits that: (a) as a continuing matter of corporate policy, Beverages intends to undertake good faith efforts, taking into consideration Beverages' operational and product licensing restrictions, to ensure that as many Products as reasonably possible shall qualify as Reformulated Products; (b) to reach 80% (eighty percent) or more Reformulated Products for Products offered for sale by Beverages by January 1, 2007; and (c) to make commercially reasonable efforts thereafter to reach 100% (one-hundred percent) Reformulated Products.

3. MONETARY RELIEF

3.1 Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b). Pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b), Beverages shall pay \$15,000 in civil penalties. The penalty payment shall be made payable to "Chanler Law Group in Trust For Russell Brimer," and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before June 1, 2005, at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

- (a) In the event that Beverages pays any penalty and the Consent Judgment is not thereafter approved and entered by the Court, Brimer shall return any penalty funds paid under this agreement within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a written request from Beverages
- (b) The Parties agree that Beverages's interest in and ability to acquire and market Reformulated Products is to be accounted for in this section and, since it is not a remedy

following notice of the issuance of the Court's decision.

provided for by law, the absence of Beverages previously marketing or selling Reformulated Products is not relevant to the establishment of a penalty amount pursuant to section 3.1 above.

(c) Apportionment of Penalties Received. After Court approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to section 6, all penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with Health & Safety Code Section 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Plaintiff as provided by Health & Safety Code Section 25249.12(d). Plaintiff shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this section.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

4.1 The Parties acknowledge that Plaintiff and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Beverages then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date of the Agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5, Beverages shall reimburse Plaintiff and his counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Beverages's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Beverages shall pay Plaintiff and his counsel \$50,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs. The payment shall be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group" and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before June 1, 2005 at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP

4.2 Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, Beverages shall have no further obligation with regard to reimbursement of Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs with regard to the Products covered in this Action.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

- 5.1 Plaintiff's Release of Beverages. As to Products, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between the Plaintiff, acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d), on the one hand, and Beverages, on the other hand, of any violation of Proposition 65, of all claims made or which could have been made in the Notice and/or the Complaint, and of any other statutory, regulatory or common law claim that could have been asserted against Beverages and/or its affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, successors, assigns, and/or customers for failure to provide clear, reasonable, and lawful warnings of exposure to lead or cadmium contained in or otherwise associated with Products manufactured, sold or distributed by, for, or on behalf of Beverages. As to Products, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance by Beverages and/or its affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, successors, and assigns with the requirements of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products.
- 5.2 In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to sections 3 and 4, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Beverages and each of its customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees (collectively, "Beverages Releasees") arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code Section 17200 et seq. and Business &

 Professions Code Section 17500 et seq., related to Beverages's or Beverages Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products.

The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code

Sections 17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code Sections 17500 et seq., that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Beverages for its alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of Listed Chemicals in the Products.

In addition, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his attorneys, and their agents, waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all Claims against the Beverages Releasees arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq., related to each of the Beverages Releasees' alleged failures to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products and for all actions or statements made by Beverages or its attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code Sections 17200 or Business & Professions Code Sections 17500 by Beverages. Provided however, Plaintiff shall remain free to institute any form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment. It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that Beverages's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (so long as Beverages complies with the terms of the Consent Judgment) concerning Beverages and the Beverages Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65, Business and Professions Code Sections 17200 et. seq. and Business & Professions Code Sections 17500 et seq., as to the Products.

The Parties understand and agree that the release provided by Plaintiff herein shall not extend upstream to the Product manufacturers or to any distributor or supplier from whom Beverages purchased any of the Products covered by Exhibit A. It is further understood and agreed by the Parties (including Beverages' Releasees) that this Consent Judgment does not in any way involve, and that that the release provided by Plaintiff specifically excludes, any and all

products that are marketed by The Coca-Cola Company, or any other entity, under the name "Coca Cola" or that are manufactured, distributed, bottled, or sold by or on behalf of The Coca-Cola Company, or any other entity, regardless of whether the products were bottled in the United States, Mexico or any other country, including but not limited to products such as the "Mexican Coke" products identified in Plaintiff's 60-Day Notice of Violation dated January 3, 2005.

5.3 **Beverages' Release of Plaintiff.** Beverages waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, or his attorneys or representatives, for all actions taken or statements made by Plaintiff and his attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq. or Business & Professions Code Sections 17500 et seq. in this Action.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Plaintiff or his counsel pursuant to section 3 and/or section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days.

7. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 Before moving to enforce the terms and conditions of Section 2 of this Consent Judgment against Beverages with respect to an alleged violation occurring at a retail store located in California, Plaintiff and others must follow the procedures set forth in subsections 7.2 through 7.4.
- 7.2 In the event that Plaintiff and/or their attorneys, agents, assigns, or any other person acting in the public interest under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d) (hereinafter "Notifying Person") identifies one or more retail stores owned and operated by Beverages at which Products are sold (hereinafter "retail outlet") for which the warnings for those Products required under Section 2 of this Consent Judgment are not being given, such Notifying Person shall notify, in writing, Beverages of such alleged failure to warn (the "Notice of Breach"). The Notice of Breach shall be sent by first class mail, with proof of service, to the persons identified

11

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

in Section 11 of this Consent Judgment, and must be served within sixty (60) days of the date the alleged violation was observed. The Notice of Breach shall identify the date the alleged violation was observed and the retail outlet in question, and reasonably describe the nature of the alleged violation with sufficient detail to allow Beverages to determine the basis of the claim being asserted and the identities of the Products to which those assertions apply.

- 7.3 In the event that the Notifying Person identifies a specific retail outlet, other than the specific one identified in subsection 7.2 of this Consent judgment, not giving warnings for the Products as required under Section 2 of this Consent Judgment, such Notifying Person shall serve Beverages with another Notice of Breach in the manner described in subsection 7.2 and provide the information required in subsection 7.2.
- 7.4 The Notifying Person shall take no further action against Beverages unless the Notifying Person discovers, at least thirty (30) days after service of the Notices of Breach served pursuant to subsections 7.2 and 7.3, another failure to warn for any Products whether or not the alleged failure to warn is at the same retail outlet(s) identified in the Notices of Breach served pursuant to subsections 7.2 and 7.3.

SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

9. ATTORNEYS' FEES

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall, except as otherwise provided herein, be entitled to recover reasonable and necessary costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred from the resolution of such dispute.

10. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically,

12

1 then Beverages shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect 2 to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected. 3 11. NOTICES All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment 4 5 shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (1) first-class, registered, certified mail, 6 return receipt requested or (ii) overnight courier on either Party by the other at the following 7 addresses. (Either Party, from time to time, may, pursuant to the methods prescribed above. specify a change of address to which all future notices and other communications shall be sent.) 8 9 To Beverages: 10 Bannus Hudson, President Beverages & More, Inc. 11 1470 Enea Circle, Suite 1600 Concord, CA 94520 12 13 With a copy to: 14 Richard C. Jacobs, Esq. Howard Rice Nemerovski Canady Falk & Rabkin 15 Three Embarcadero Center, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4024 16 17 To Plaintiff: 18 Clifford A. Chanler, Esq. Chanler Law Group 19 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

12. NO ADMISSIONS

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by Beverages of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Beverages of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Beverages. Beverages reserves all of its rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any party under Proposition 65 or otherwise. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Beverages's obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

13. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

14. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Plaintiff shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.

15. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, the Parties agree to file a Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Joint Motion"), the first draft of

sf-1835732

which Brimer's counsel shall prepare, within a reasonable period of time after the Effective Date (i.e., not to exceed thirty (30) days unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties' counsel based on unanticipated circumstances). Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare a declaration in support of the Joint Motion which shall, inter alia, set forth support for the fees and costs to be reimbursed pursuant to Section 4. Beverages shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing or related proceedings thereon.

16. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CGC-04-435215 05/20/2005 10:51

1	17. AUTHORIZATION	
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their	
3	respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this	
4	Consent Judgment.	
5		
6		
7	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
8	Date:	Date: MHY 18, 2004
9		•
10	By: Plaintiff Russell Brimer	By:
11	i iailiili Russeli Bilillei	Delengant BEVERAGES & MORE, INC.
12	ADDROVED AS TO PODA	A DOD OF THE A SEC YOUR
13	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
14	Date:	Date: May 24, 200)
15		U
16	CHANLER LAW GROUP	HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY FALK & RABKIN
17	By:	- By: Will Checoli
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Richard C. Jacobs, Esq. Attorney for Defendant
19		BEVERAGES & MORE, INC.
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22		
23	Date:	TIPOTE OF THE OLD FOLD COLUMN
24		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	Exhibit A		
2			
3	All glass and/or ceramic glasses, mugs, bowls, teapots, and other glassware with colored designs and/or artwork on the exterior, including but not limited to:		
4	Pub Glass Coastal Fog		
5	Beer Glass Sierra Nevada Beer Glass Orval		
6	1800 Party Bucket (with Margarita glass)		
7	NEHI Peach Longnecks Soda bottle		
8			
9			
10			
11	·		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534)	
_	Stephen S. Sayad (State Bar No. 104866)	ENDO
2	CHANLER LAW GROUP	Sen Francisco County Superior Count
3	71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840	Tanciaco County Superior
,	Telephone: (203) 966-9911	OOT -
4	Facsimile: (203) 801-5222	OCT 6 2005
5	Daniel Bornstein (State Bar No. 181711)	GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk BY:JOCELYNG_FCOUE COLOR COLOR COLOR COLO
6	Laralei S. Paras (State Bar No. 203319) PARAS LAW GROUP	FOUNC COUE
	655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216	Clark -
7	Mill Valley, CA 94941 Telephone: (415) 380-9222	
8	Facsimile: (415) 380-9223	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	Russell Brimer	
11	Richard C. Jacobs (State Bar No. 49538) HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY FA	ALK & RARKIN
12	Three Embarcadero Center, 7 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4024	
	Telephone: (415) 434-1600	
13	Facsimile: (415) 217-5910	
14	Attorneys for Defendant	
15	Beverages & More, Inc.	
16	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
17	IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
18	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
19	ONDAY TED CIV	L JORISDIC FOR
:		
20	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-04-435215
21	Plaintiff,	(JCR)
22		[PROPOSED] SECOND AMENDED
22	v.	JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT
23	BEVERAGES & MORE, INC.; and DOES 1	OI COMODIVI BODGINENT
24	through 150,	Date: October 6, 2005
	Defendants.	Time: 9:30 A.M.
25	Dorondants.	Dept.: 301
		Judge: Hon. James L. Warren
26		
27		
28		
	(PROPORTED WITH COMPANY)	
	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT	

1	In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendant BEVERAGES	
2	& MORE, INC., having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered	
3	pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Consent Judgment ("Consent	
4	Judgment") entered into by the parties, and after issuing an Order Approving Proposition 65	
5	Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment on October 6, 2005.	
6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of	
7	Civil Procedure §664.5, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Order Approving	
8	Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment, between the parties.	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: October 6, 2005 JAMES L. WARREN	
11	Hon. James L. Warren JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
-0	-1-	
	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT	

1	Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534) Stephen S. Sayad (State Bar No. 104866)	
2	CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8	
3	New Canaan, CT 06840 Telephone: (203) 966-9911	ENDORSED
4	Facsimile: (203) 801-5222	San Francisco County Superior Court
5	Daniel Bornstein (State Bar No. 181711) Laralei S. Paras (State Bar No. 203319)	OCT 6 2005
6	PARAS LAW GROUP	GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk
7	655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216 Mill Valley, CA 94941	BY: JOCELYN C. C. QUE
8	Telephone: (415) 380-9222 Facsimile: (415) 380-9223	, Sy Clark
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	Russell Brimer	
11	Richard C. Jacobs (State Bar No. 49538) HOWARD RICE NEMEROVSKI CANADY FA	LK & RABKIN
12	Three Embarcadero Center, 7 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4024	
13	Telephone: (415) 434-1600 Facsimile: (415) 217-5910	
14	Attorneys for Defendant	
15	Beverages & More, Inc.	
16	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
17	IN AND FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
18	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
19		
20	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-04-435215
21	Plaintiff,	(1ch)
22	v.	[PROPOSED] SECOND AMENDED JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS
23	BEVERAGES & MORE, INC.; and DOES 1	OF CONSENT JUDGMENT
24	through 150,	Date: October 6, 2005
25	Defendants.	Time: 9:30 A.M. Dept.: 301
26		Judge: Hon, James L. Warren
27		
28		
	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT T	O TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

I	In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendant BEVERAGES	
2	& MORE, INC., having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered	
3	pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Consent Judgment ("Consent	
4	Judgment") entered into by the parties, and after issuing an Order Approving Proposition 65	
5	Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment on October 6, 2005.	
6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of	
7	Civil Procedure §664.5, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Order Approvin	
8	Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment, between the parties.	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: October 6, 2005 JAMES L. WARREN	
11	Hon. James L. Warren JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	-1-	
	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT	