1 2 3 4	Stephen S. Sayad (State Bar No. 104866) Laralei C. Paras (State Bar No. 203319) PARAS LAW GROUP 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216 Mill Valley, California 94941 Telephone: (415) 380-9222 Facsimile: (415) 380-9223	ENDORSED FILED  Sen Francisco County Superior Court  JUN 2 0 2005  GCRDGU PARK-LI, Clerk		
5 6	Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534) CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8	BY: S. PENG  Deputy Clerk		
7	New Canaan, CT 06840 Telephone: (203) 966-9911 Facsimile: (203) 801-5222			
8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER			
10	·			
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF TI	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
13	UNLIMITED CIV	/IL JURISDICTION		
14	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-04-435209		
15	Plaintiff,			
16	vs.	[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO		
17 18	CIRCLE IMPORTS, INC.; TJX COMPANIES, INC.; HOMEGOODS, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,	TERMS OF STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT		
19	Defendants.	Date: June 20, 2005		
20	,	Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept: 301 Judge: Hon. James L. Warren		
21		Judge. Holl. James L. Walten		
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
26 27				

[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO TERMS OF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendant CIRCLE IMPORTS ("Defendant"), having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the above-referenced parties and attached hereto as **Exhibit A**; and after consideration of the papers submitted and the arguments presented, the Court finds that the settlement agreement set out in the attached Consent Judgment meets the criteria established by Senate Bill 471, in that:

- 1. The health hazard warning that is required by the Consent Judgment complies with Health & Safety Code section 25249.7 (as amended by Senate Bill 471);
- 2. The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent Judgment is reasonable under California law; and
- 3. The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent Judgment is reasonable,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that judgment be entered in this case, in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment, attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: JUN 20 208905

THE LANDS

Hon. James L. Warren
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

	Stephen S. Sayad (State Bar No. 104866) Laralei C. Paras (State Bar No. 203319)		
	PARAS LAW GROUP 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216		
3	Mill Valley, California 94941 Telephone: (415) 380-9222		
4	Facsimile: (415) 380-9223		
5	Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534) CHANLER LAW GROUP		
6	71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840		
7	Telephone: (203) 966-9911 Facsimile: (203) 801-5222		
8			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER		
10			
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF 1	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
13	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
14	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-04-435209	
15	Plaintiff,	0436 110. CGC-04-433209	
16	vs.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT	
17	CIRCLE IMPORTS, INC.; TJX COMPANIES,	OMBER RE. CONSENT JUDGMENT	
18	INC.; HOMEGOODS, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,		
19	Defendants.		
20			
21			
22			
23	1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>		
24	1.1 Plaintiff and Settling Defendant	This Consent Judgment is entered into by and	
25	between plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER (hereafter	"Mr. Brimer" "Primer" or "Pl : ('CO)	
26	CIRCLE IMPORTS, INC. (hereafter "Circle Imp	orts") with Plaintiff and C' 1. I	
7	CIRCLE IMPORTS, INC. (hereafter "Circle Imports"), with Plaintiff and Circle Imports collective referred to as the "Parties" and Mr. Brimer and Circle Imports each being a "Party."		
8		mports each being a "Party."	
	STIPULATION AND IPROPOSEDI	ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT	
- [[	IND [LICH OSED]	ONDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT	

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

- 1.2 Plaintiff Mr. Brimer is an individual residing in Alameda, California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- General Allegations Plaintiff alleges that Circle Imports has distributed and/or sold 1.3 in the State of California tumblers and other glassware with colored designs and/or artwork on the exterior surface that contain lead (and/or lead compounds) that are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§25249.5, et seq., also known as Proposition 65, to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead (and/or lead compounds) shall be referred to herein as "Listed Chemicals." Circle Imports denies Plaintiff's allegations.
- 1.4 **Product Descriptions** The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: all tumblers and other glassware with colored designs and/or artwork (containing lead) on their exterior surface. Such products collectively are referred to herein as the "Products."
- Notices of Violation On July 30, 2004, Mr. Brimer alleges that he served Circle 1.5 Imports and various public enforcement agencies with documents, entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided Circle Imports and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that Circle Imports was in violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that certain products that it sold expose users in California to lead and lead compounds.
- Complaint On October 5, 2004, Mr. Brimer, who asserts that he is acting in the 1.6 interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Complaint" or the "Action") in the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco against Circle Imports, the TJX Companies, Inc. ("TJX"), HomeGoods, Inc. ("HomeGoods"), and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to one or more of the Listed Chemicals contained in certain products sold by Circle Imports. On or about November 30, 2004, Circle Imports (and TJX and HomeGoods) filed Answers to the Complaint.
- 1.7 No Admission Circle Imports denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's Notice and Complaint and maintains that all products that it has sold and

distributed in California including the Products have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Circle Imports, or any other defendant, of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Circle Imports, or any other defendant, of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Circle Imports under this

- Consent to Jurisdiction For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction Circle Imports as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment
- Effective Date For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Effective Date" shall be

# **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PROPOSITION 65 WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION**

# Warning Obligations For Non-Reformulated Products

- 2.1.A. Required Warnings and Non-exempt Products After January 31, 2005, Circle Imports shall not sell or offer for sale in California any Non-exempt Products containing the Listed Chemicals, unless warnings are given in accordance with one or more provisions in subsection 2.2 below. As used in this Consent Judgment, "Non-exempt Products" shall mean all Products sold in California except those excluded in subsection 2.1.B below.
- **2.1.B.** Exceptions The warning requirements set forth in subsections 2.1.A and 2.2
  - any Products manufactured before January 31, 2005,
  - ii. Reformulated Products, or

27

Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

- **2.3** Reformulation Standards and Commitment: Products satisfying the conditions of section 2.3.A, 2.3.B, 2.3.C, and/or 2.3.D are referred to as "Reformulated Products." The warnings required pursuant to sections 2.1.A and 2.2 above shall not be required for Reformulated Products, defined as follows:
- 2.3.A. If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product does not extend into the top 20 millimeters of the ware (i.e., only appears below the exterior portion of the lip and rim area as defined by American Society of Testing and Materials Standard Test Method C927-99, hereinafter the "Lip and Rim Area"), produce a test result no higher than 1.0 micrograms (ug) of lead using a Ghost WipeTM test applied on painted portions of the surface of the Product performed as outlined in NIOSH method no. 9100, such Product is a Reformulated Product; or
- 2.3.B. If the Product is a ceramic mug and achieves a result of .20 parts per million ("ppm") or less for lead when tested under the ASTM 738-81 test method modified for total immersion and comparison to internal volume, such Product is a Reformulated Product; or
- 2.3.C. If the Product utilizes paints for all colored artwork, designs or markings containing six one-hundredths of one percent (0.06%) lead by weight or less as measured at Circle Import's option, either before or after the material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation (as distinguished from detection) of less than 600 ppm, such Product is a Reformulated Product.
- 2.3.D. Should any court enter a final judgment in a case brought by Mr. Brimer or the People of the State of California involving tumblers and other glassware with colored artwork, designs or markings allegedly containing lead which sets forth standards defining when Proposition 65 warnings will or will not be required ("Alternative Standards"), Circle Imports shall be entitled to seek a modification of this Consent Judgment pursuant to section 15 herein, so as to be able to utilize and rely on such Alternative Standards in lieu of those set forth in sections 2.3 of this Consent

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Judgment; Mr. Brimer shall not unreasonably contest any proposed application to effectuate such a modification provided that the Products for which such a modification are sought are substantially similar in type and function to those for which the Alternative Standards apply.

2.3.E. Circle agrees to use its best efforts to reformulate all of its products so they meet the standards set forth in this paragraph.

#### 3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

- 3.1 Penalties Pursuant To Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b) Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), Circle Imports shall pay a total of \$20,000 in civil penalties to be paid no later than February 15, 2005, and made payable to "Chanler Law Group in Trust for Russell Brimer."
- 3.1.A. In the event that Circle Imports pays any penalty and the Consent Judgment is not thereafter approved and entered by the Court, Mr. Brimer shall return any penalty funds paid under this agreement within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a written request from Circle Imports following notice of the issuance of the Court's decision.
- Apportionment of Penalties Received After Court approval of this Consent 3.2 Judgment pursuant to section 6, all penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Plaintiff as provided by Health & Safety Code §25249.12(d) Plaintiff shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this section.

### REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS 4.

The Parties acknowledge that Plaintiff and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute 4.1 without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Circle Imports then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at

California Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date of the Agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine, Circle Imports shall reimburse Plaintiff and his counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Circle Import's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Circle Imports shall pay Plaintiff and his counsel \$50,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs. The payment shall be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group" and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel in two installments. The first payment shall be made on or before February 15, 2005, in the amount of \$15,000. The second payment shall be made on or before March 15, 2005, in the amount of \$35,000. Payment shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler, Esq. 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, Circle Imports shall have no further obligation with regard to reimbursement of Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs with regard to the Products covered in this Action.

# 5. <u>RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS</u>

the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to sections 3 and 4, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and release all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Circle Imports and each of its retailers (and specifically including TJX Companies, Inc., HomeGoods, Inc., Marshalls, TJ Maxx, and Bed Bath & Beyond, Inc.), licensors, licensees, auctioneers, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers,

21

22

19

23

24 25

26

27 28

users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees (collectively, "Circle Imports' Releasees") arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §17200, et seq. and Business & Professions Code §17500, et seq., related to Circle Imports' or Circle Imports' Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products.

The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§17200, et seq. and Business & Professions Code §§17500, et seq., that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaints against Circle Imports and Circle Imports' Releasees for their alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of Listed Chemicals in the Products.

In addition, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his attorneys, and their agents, waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all Claims against the Circle Imports' Releasees arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§17200, et seq. and Business & Professions Code §§17500, et seq., related to each of the Circle Imports' Releasees' alleged failures to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products and for all actions or statements made by Circle Imports or its attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§17200 or Business & Professions Code §§17500 by Circle Imports. Provided however, Plaintiff shall remain free to institute any form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that Circle Imports' compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (so long as Circle Imports complies with the terms of the Consent Judgment) concerning Circle Imports' and the Circle Imports' Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65, Business and Professions Code §§17200, et seq. and Business & Professions Code §§ 17500, et seq., as to the Listed Chemicals in the Products.

Circle Imports' Release of Plaintiff Circle Imports and Circle Imports' Releasees 5.2 waive all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, or their attorneys or

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

representatives, for all actions taken or statements made by Plaintiff and his attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§17200, et seq. or Business & Professions Code §§17500, et seq. in this Action.

#### 6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Plaintiff or his counsel pursuant to section 3 and/or section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days.

#### 7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

#### 8. **ATTORNEYS' FEES**

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall, except as otherwise provided herein, be entitled to recover reasonable and necessary costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred from the resolution of such dispute.

#### 9. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then Circle Imports shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected.

#### 10. **NOTICES**

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (1) first-class, registered, certified mail, return receipt requested or (ii) overnight courier on either Party by the others at the following addresses.

	To Circle Imports:
:	Ellie Elman, President
	Circle Imports, Inc. 1065 Shepard Avenue
4	Brooklyn, New York 11208
4	With a copy to:
$\epsilon$	II DUNNENSCHEIN NATH & DOCENTETAX X X X X
7	685 Market Street, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94105
8	
9	M Cilliora A. Chanler, Fso
10	Chanler Law Group 71 Elm Street, Suite 8
11	New Canaan, CT 06840
12	Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to
13	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.
14	11. NO ADMISSIONS
15	Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by Circle
16	Imports, or any other defendant, of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law,
17	nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by
18	Circle Imports, or any other defendant, of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of issue of law, or
19	violation of law, such being specifically denied by Circle Imports. Circle Imports reserves all of its
20	rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any party under Proposition 65 or otherwise.
21	However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Circle Imports' obligations,
22	responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.
23	12. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>
24	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which
25	shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same
26	document.
27	
28	

## 13. **COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(F)**

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Plaintiff shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.

### ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES 14.

The Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, the Parties agree to file a Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Joint Motion"), the first draft of which Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare, within a reasonable period of time after the Execution Date (i.e., not to exceed fourteen (14) days unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties' counsel based on unanticipated circumstances). Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare a declaration in support of the Joint Motion that shall, inter alia, set forth support for the fees and costs to be reimbursed pursuant to Section 4, within a reasonable period of time after the Execution Date (i.e., not to exceed twenty-one (21) days unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties' counsel based on unanticipated circumstances). Circle Imports shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing or related proceedings thereon.

#### 15. **DISMISSAL**

Within fifteen (15) days of Entry of Order by the Court approving the Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement, Plaintiff shall file a Request for Dismissal dismissing defendants TJX Companies, Inc. and HomeGoods, Inc. without prejudice from this case.

1 2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

	1 10. MODIFICATION				
2	This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and				
3	upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as				
۷	provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney				
5	General shall be served with notice of any pr	General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least			
6	fifteen (15) days in advance of its considerat	fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.			
7	) i				
8	The undersigned are authorized to ex-	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their			
9	respective Parties and have read, understood	respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this			
10		and conditions of this			
11	11				
12	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:			
13	Date: 2-1-05	Date:			
14					
15	By: Plaintiff Russell Brimer	By:			
16	Tussen bring	Defendant Circle Imports, Inc.			
17	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:			
18	Date: 2.10.05	Det			
19		Date:			
20	CHANLER LAW GROUP	SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP			
21	By:				
22	Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff	By:			
23	RUSSELL BRIMER	Attorneys for Defendant CIRCLE IMPORTS, INC.			
24					
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
26	Date:				
27	Duic.	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT			
28		== 01 Mill SOI ERIOR COOK!			

# 16. MODIFICATION

3 4 5

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

6

7

8

9

10

28

## 17. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

11 AGREED TO: AGREED TO: 12 13 Date: 14 15 Plaintiff Russell Brimer 16 17 APPROVED AS TO FORM: APPROVED AS TO FORM: 18 Date: 19 20 CHANLER LAW GROUP SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP 21 22 Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff Anomeys for Defendant 23 RUSSELL BRIMER CIRCLE IMPORTS, INC. 24 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 Date: 27 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

1 Stephen S. Sayad (State Bar No. 104866) Laralei C. Paras (State Bar No. 203319) 2 PARAS LAW GROUP 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216 ENDORSED 3 Mill Valley, California 94941 FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court Telephone: (415) 380-9222 4 Facsimile: (415) 380-9223 JUN 2 1 2005 5 Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534) CHANLER LAW GROUP GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk 6 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 S. PENG New Canaan, CT 06840 Deputy Clerk 7 Telephone: (203) 966-9911 Facsimile: (203) 801-5222 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 RUSSELL BRIMER 10 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 13 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 14 RUSSELL BRIMER. Case No. CGC-04-435209 15 Plaintiff, 16 VS. [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF STIPULATION AND 17 CIRCLE IMPORTS, INC.; TJX COMPANIES. **ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT** INC.; HOMEGOODS, INC.; and DOES 1 18 through 150, Date: June 20, 2005 Time: 9:30 a.m. 19 Defendants. Dept: 301 Judge: Hon. James L. Warren 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT

**JUDGMENT** 

1	In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff Russell Brimer and Defendant CIRCLE IMPORTS,		
2	having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the		
3	Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and after issuing an Order Approving Proposition 65		
4	Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment on June 20, 2005.		
5			
6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to		
7	Code of Civil Procedure section 664.5, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the		
8	Order Approving Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment, between the parties.		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10	JUN 2 0 2005		
11	JUN 2 0 2005  Dated:, 2005		
12	Hon. James L. Warren JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20	$\cdot$		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	IPROPOSEDI JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF STIPULATION AND IPROPOSEDI ORDER RE-CONSENT		

JUDGMENT