1 2 3 4	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840 Tel: (203) 966-9911 Fax: (203) 801-5222	FILED JUL -7 2005
5 6 7 8 9	Stephen S. Sayad, State Bar No. 104866 Daniel Bornstein, State Bar No. 181711 Laralei S. Paras, State Bar No. 203319 PARAS LAW GROUP 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216 Mill Valley, CA 94941 Tel: (415) 380-9222 Fax: (415) 380-9223 Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K. MAIN, DEPUTY
10	9	
11		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	IN AND FOR TI	HE COUNTY OF MARIN
14	UNLIMITED	CIVIL JURSIDICTION
15		
16	RUSSELL BRIMER,) No. CV-045325
17	Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT
18	V.) Date: July 7, 2005
19		`
	TEAM PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL	Time: 9:00 a.m.
20	TEAM PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL, INC.; WAL-MART STORES, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,	Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept: C Judge: Hon. Michael Dufficy
20 21	INC.; WAL-MART STORES, INC.; and	Dept: C
	INC.; WAL-MART STORES, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,	Dept: C
21	INC.; WAL-MART STORES, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,	Dept: C
21 22 23	INC.; WAL-MART STORES, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,	Dept: C
21222324	INC.; WAL-MART STORES, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,	Dept: C
2122232425	INC.; WAL-MART STORES, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,	Dept: C
212223242526	INC.; WAL-MART STORES, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150, Defendants.	Dept: C
2122232425	INC.; WAL-MART STORES, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150, Defendants.	Dept: C

1	In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendants TEAM		
2	PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL, INC. and WAL-MART STORES, INC., et al. ("Settling		
3	Defendants"), having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to		
4	the terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the above-referenced parties and attached hereto		
5	as Exhibit A; and after consideration of the papers submitted and the arguments presented, the		
6	Court finds that the settlement agreement set out in the attached Consent Judgment meets the		
7	criteria established by Senate Bill 471, in that:		
8	1. The health hazard warning that is required by the Consent Judgment complies with		
9	Health & Safety Code section 25249.7 (as amended by Senate Bill 471);		
10	2. The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent		
11	Judgment is reasonable under California law; and		
12	3. The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent Judgment is		
13	reasonable,		
14	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that judgment be entered in this case, in accordance with the		
15	terms of the Consent Judgment, attached hereto as Exhibit A.		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
17	Dated: July 7, 2005 MICHAEL B. DUFFICY Hen Michael B. Dufficy		
18	Hon. Michael B. Dufficy JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

EXHIBIT /A

1	Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534)	
2	CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8	
3	New Canaan, CT 06840 Telephone: (203) 966-9911	
4	Facsimile: (203) 801-5222	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Russell Brimer	
6		
7	Kevin Weinman BELSOLE AND KURNOS, L.L.C.	
8	3 Prospect Street Morristown, New Jersey 07960-6809	
9	Telephone: (971) 539-1100 Facsimile: (973) 539-8464	
10	Attorneys for Defendant	
11	Team Products International, Inc.	
12		
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14		
15	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
16	ONE MITTED CIVIE	JORISDICTION
17	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CV045325
18	Plaintiff,	
19	V.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT
20	TEAM PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL, INC.;	
21	WAL-MART STORES, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,,	
22	Defendants.	
23		
24		
25	1. INTRODUCTION	
26	1.1 Plaintiff and Settling Defendant.	This Consent Judgment is entered into by and
27	between plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereafter "Brimer	" or "Plaintiff") and TEAM PRODUCTS
28		
	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT	
	Case No. CV045325 sf-1835732	

2

INTERNATIONAL, INC. (hereafter "Team"), with Plaintiff and Team collectively referred to as the "Parties" and Brimer and Team each being a "Party."

- 1.2 **Plaintiff.** Brimer is an individual residing in Alameda County, California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- 1.3 General Allegations. Plaintiff alleges that Team has manufactured, distributed and/or sold in the State of California glass and metal night lights that contain lead (and/or lead compounds) that are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq., also known as Proposition 65, to cause cancer and birth defects (or other reproductive harm). Lead (and/or lead compounds) shall be referred to herein as "Listed Chemicals."
- 1.4 **Product Descriptions.** The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: all glass and metal night lights containing lead manufactured, sold and/or distributed by Team in the State of California including, by way of example and without limitation, the product contained in the items listed at Exhibit A. Such products collectively are referred to herein as the "Products."
- 1.5 Notices of Violation. Beginning on September 2, 2004, Brimer served Team and various public enforcement agencies with documents, entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided Team and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that Team was in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that certain products that it sold expose users in California to lead.
- 1.6 **Complaint.** On December 7, 2004, Brimer, in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Complaint" or the "Action") in the Superior Court in and for the County of Marin against Team, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. ("Wal-Mart") and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to one or more of the Listed Chemicals contained in certain products sold by Team.

allegations contained in Plaintiff's Notices and Complaint and maintains that all products that it has sold and distributed in California including the Products have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Team or Wal-Mart of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Team or Wal-Mart of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. Nor shall this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission by Team or Wal-Mart that the conditions of Paragraph 2.3 including the methodology for quantifying lead exposure, the amount of such exposure and the lead content by weight or the universally recognized methods according to the scientific community as the appropriate methods for determining the exposure to lead from a reasonably foreseeable use of the Product. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Team under this Consent Judgment.

- 1.8 **Consent to Jurisdiction**. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Team as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment and to enforce the provisions thereof.
- 1.9 **Effective Date**. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Effective Date" shall be March 31, 2005.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PROPOSITION 65

2.1 WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION OBLIGATIONS

- (a) **Required Warnings.** After March 15, 2005, Team or any person on its behalf shall not transmit to any retailer to sell in California any Products containing the Listed Chemicals, unless warnings are given in accordance with one or more provisions in subsection 2.2 below.
- (b) **Exceptions.** The warning requirements set forth in subsections 2.1(a) and 2.2 below shall not apply to any Reformulated Products as defined in subsection 2.3 below.

1	(c) Wal-Mart shall not have any obligations under this Section 2.		
2	2.2 CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS		
3	(a) Product Labeling. A warning is affixed to the packaging as a sticker;		
4	labeling or directly to or on a Product by Team, its agent, or the manufacturer, importer, or		
5	distributor of the Product that states:		
6			
7	WARNING: The materials used on the exterior of this product contain lead, a chemical known to the		
8	State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash hands after		
9	handling.		
10	or		
11	WARNING: The materials used on the exterior of the		
12	following products contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth		
13	defects or other reproductive harm. Wash hands after handling.		
14	nanus arter nanuning.		
15	Warnings issued for Products pursuant to this subsection shall be prominently placed with		
16	such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render		
17	it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use of		
18	purchase. Any changes to the language or format of the warning required by this subsection shall		
19	only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney		
20	General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for		
21	the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.		
22	(b) Point-of-Sale Warnings. Team may execute its warning obligations,		
23	where applicable, through arranging for the posting of signs at retail outlets in the State of		
24	California at which Products are sold, in accordance with the terms specified in		
25	subsections 2.2(b)(i), 2.2(b)(ii) and 2.2(b)(iii).		
26	(i) Point of Sale warnings may be provided through one or more signs		
27	posted at or near the point of sale or display of the Products that state:		
28			

WARNING: The materials used on the exterior of this product contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase and shall be placed or written in a manner such that the consumer understands to which *specific* Products the warnings apply so as to minimize if not eliminate the chances that an overwarning situation will arise. Any changes to the language or format of the warning required for Products by this subsection shall only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

- (iii) If Team intends to utilize point of sale warnings to comply with this Consent Judgment, it must provide notice as required by this Consent Judgment to each retailer to whom Team ships the Products for sale in California and obtain the written consent of such retailer before shipping the Products. Such notice shall include a copy of this Consent Judgment and any required warning materials (including, as appropriate, signs and/or stickers). If Team has obtained the consent of a retailer, Team shall not be found to have violated this Consent Judgment if it has complied with the terms of this Consent Judgment and has proof that it transmitted the requisite warnings in the manner provided herein.
- 2.3 **REFORMULATION STANDARDS:** Products satisfying the conditions in either section 2.3(a) or 2.3(b) or 2.3(c), or any combination therein, are referred to as "Reformulated Products" and may be sold in California without warnings:
- (a) any Product containing 0.9 percent (.9%) lead or less (be weight) in each material used in the Products (such as solder); or

23

25

26

27

28

CHANLER LAW GROUP

Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8

New Canaan, CT 06840

delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before April 1, 2005, at the following address:

- (a) In the event that Team pays any penalty and the Consent Judgment is not thereafter approved and entered by the Court, Brimer shall return any penalty funds paid under this agreement within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a written request from Team following notice of the issuance of the Court's decision.
- (b) The Parties agree that Team's potential interest in and ability to acquire and market Reformulated Products is to be accounted for in this section and, since it is not a remedy provided for by law, the absence of Team previously acquiring, manufacturing, marketing or selling Reformulated Products is not relevant to the establishment of a penalty amount pursuant to section 3.1 above.
- (c) Apportionment of Penalties Received. After Court approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to section 6, all penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Plaintiff as provided by Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d). Plaintiff shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this section.
- (d) Wal-Mart has no obligation to make monetary payments under this Stipulation and Order
- (e) All amounts paid by Team under this Section 4, including amounts for civil penalties shall be held in the trust account of Plaintiff's attorney and not released until there is a Court approval of this Consent Judgment. In the event this Consent Judgment is disapproved by the Court, all monies shall be returned to Team within fifteen (15) days.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

4.1 The Parties acknowledge that Plaintiff and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Team then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the

compensation due to Plaintiff and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified

1

28

rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and release all

claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Team and Wal-Mart and each of Team's manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers (including but not limited to Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.), dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees (collectively, "Team Releasees") arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code § 17500 et seq., related to Team's or Team Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products, as well as any of the claims or causes of action alleged in the Complaint.

The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Plaintiff on behalf of himself and in the interest of the general public and Team and Wal-Mart of any violation of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq., that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaints against Team or Wal-Mart for their alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of Listed Chemicals in the Products.

In addition, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his attorneys, and their agents, waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all Claims against the Team Releasees arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq., related to each of the Team Releasees' alleged failures to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products and for all actions or statements made by Team or its attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 or Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 by Team. Provided however, Plaintiff shall

8

10 11

12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

remain free to institute any form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that Team's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (so long as Team complies with the terms of the Consent Judgment) concerning Team and the Team Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65, Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et. seq. and Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq., as to the Listed Chemicals in the Products.

5.2 **Team's Release of Plaintiff**. Team waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, or his attorneys or representatives, for all actions taken or statements made by Plaintiff and his attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. or Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq. in this Action.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Plaintiff or his counsel pursuant to section 3 and/or section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. ATTORNEYS' FEES

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall, except as otherwise provided herein, be entitled to recover reasonable and necessary costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred from the resolution of such dispute.

9. GOVERNING LAW

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then Team shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected.

10. NOTICES

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (1) first-class, registered, certified mail, return receipt requested or (ii) overnight courier on either Party by the other at the following addresses. (Either Party, from time to time, may, pursuant to the methods prescribed above, specify a change of address to which all future notices and other communications shall be sent.)

To Team:

Stephen Levine, President Team Products International Inc. 3 Entin Road Parsippany, NJ 07054

With a copy to:

Kevin Weinman, Esq. Belsole and Kurnos, L.L.C. 3 Prospect Street Morristown, New Jersey 07960-6809

To Plaintiff:

Clifford A. Chanler, Esq. Chanler Law Group 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

25

26

27

28

11. NO ADMISSIONS

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by Team or Wal-Mart of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Team of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Team. Team and Wal-Mart reserve all of its rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any party under Proposition 65 or otherwise. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Team's obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

12. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

13. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Plaintiff shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the City and County of Marin unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.

14. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, the Parties agree to file a Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Joint Motion"), which shall be prepared by Plaintiff's counsel within a reasonable period of time after the Execution Date (*i.e.*, not to exceed thirty (30) days unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties' counsel based on unanticipated

1 2	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
3	Date. 5 25 05	Date:
4 5 6 7	By: Russell Brimer	By: Defendant TEAM PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL, INC.
8	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
- 10	Date: 3 / 27 /05	Date:
11	CHANLER LAW GROUP	BELSOLE AND KURNOS, L.L.C.
13	By: Crift Cin	Ву;
14	Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Kevin Weinman, Esq. Attorney for Defendant
15 16	ROSSELL BRIMER	TEAM PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL, INC.
17	5 JA	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19	Date:	NO.
20		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
21		il e
22		*
23		
25		
26	*	8 ^m .
27		
28		Ex. " x
	STIPLE ATTON AND PROPERTY	14
	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CV045325 sf-1835732	

1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
2	Dates	Date: 3/24/05
4	Date:	Date: > FT OS
5	By:	By:
6	Plaintiff Russell Brimer	Defendant TEAM PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL, INC.
7		AND THE POPULATION AL, INC.
8	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
9		¥ .
10	Date:	Date: 3 3 05 ///
11	CHANLER LAW GROUP	BELSOLE AND KURNOS, L.L.C.
12	Ву:	Ву;
13	Clifford A. Chanler	Kevin Weinman, Esq. Attorney for Defendant
14	Attomeys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Attorney for Defendant TEAM PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL, INC.
16		
17		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19	Date:	
20		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
21		
22		
23		
24		
26		
26 27		
25 26 27 28	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSE	14

1	Exhibit A
2 3	All glass and metal night lights manufactured, sold or distributed in the State of California by Team including, but not limited to:
	Petite Garden Nightlight NPG21 (#6 62230 159802 6)
4	Tettle Garden Highlight Hi G21 (#6 62236 137662 6)
5	
6 7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	15

1 2 3 4	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840 Tel: (203) 966-9911 Fax: (203) 801-5222	
	Stephen S. Sayad, State Bar No. 104866	
5	Daniel Bornstein, State Bar No. 181711 Laralei S. Paras, State Bar No. 203319	
6	PARAS LAW GROUP 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216	JUL - 7 2005
7	Mill Valley, CA 94941 Tel: (415) 380-9222	MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BY: K MAIN, DEPUTY
8	Fax: (415) 380-9223	MIN, DEPUTY
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	
10	ROBBIEL BINIVILIA	
11		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	IN AND FOR TH	E COUNTY OF MARIN
14	UNLIMITED C	CIVIL JURSIDICTION
15		
16	RUSSELL BRIMER,) No. CV-045325
17	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANTTO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT
18	v.) Date: July 7, 2005
19	TEAM PRODUCTS INTERNATIONAL,	Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept: C
20	INC.; WAL-MART STORES, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,	Judge: Hon. Michael Dufficy
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff Russell Brimer and Defendants TEAM PRODUCTS	
2	INTERNATIONAL, INC. and WAL-MART STORES, INC., having agreed through their respect	
3	counsel that judgment be entered purs	uant to the terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the
4	parties, and after executing the STIPU	LATION AND ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT on
5	July 7, 2005.	
6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of Civ	
7	Procedure section 664.5, judgment is	entered in accordance with the terms of the Order Approving
8	Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement	and Consent Judgment, between the parties.
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: July 7, 2005	MICHAEL B. DUFFICY
11	n e	Hon. Michael B. Dufficy
12		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
13		
14		
15		
16	2	
17		
18	2	
19		
20		
21	8	
22	- 8	
23		
24		
25	8	
26	No.	
27		
28	9	
	IR.	