1 2 3	- Stephen S. Sayad (State Bar # 104866) Daniel Bornstein (State Bar # 181711) Laralei S. Paras (State Bar # 203319) PARAS LAW GROUP 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216 Mill Valley, California 94941	ENDORSED FILED Sen Francisco County Superior Court
5	Tel: (415) 380-9222 Fax: (415) 380-9233	JUL 13 2005 GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk
6 7 8 9 10	Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534) CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840 Telephone: (203) 966-9911 Facsimile: (203) 801-5222 Attorneys for Plaintiff Russell Brimer	Bir: S. PENG Deputy Clark
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF TO	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
1.3	IN AND FOR THE COU	NTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
14	UNLIMITED CD	/IL JURISDICTION
15		
16	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-04-436927
17 18	Plaintiff, v.	PROPOSED JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT
19 20	NORCAL POTTERY PRODUCTS, INC.; CENTRAL GARDEN & PET COMPANY; WAL-MART STORES, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150.	Date: July 13, 2005
21	Defendants.	Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept: 301
22	1777-1770-1770-1770-1770-1770-1770-1770	Judge: Hon. James L. Warren
23		
24		
25		
26	15 Annual Control of the Control of	
27		
28		

1 {PROPOSED} ORDER PURSUANT TO TERMS OF NO TO ENTER CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	In the above-entitled action	Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendants NORCAL
2	POTTERY PRODUCTS, INC., CE	NTRAL GARDEN & PET COMPANY, and WAL-MART
3	STORES, INC., ("Settling Defends	ints"), having agreed through their respective counsel that
4	judgment be entered pursuant to the	e terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the parties,
5	and after issuing an Order Approvi	ng Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent
6	Judgment on July 13, 2005.	
7	IT IS HEREBY OR	DERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code
8	of Civil Procedure section 664.5, ju	dgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Order
9	Approving Proposition 65 Settleme	nt Agreement and Consent Judgment, between the parties.
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11		JAMES L. WARREN
12	Dated: July 13, 2005	Hon. James I., Warren
13		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	82	
26		
27		
28		

1	Stephen S. Sayad (State Bar # 104866) Daniel Bornstein (State Bar # 181711)	
2	Laralei S. Paras (State Bar # 203319)	
3	PARAS LAW GROUP 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216	ENDORSED
34	Mill Valley, California 94941 Tel: (415) 380-9222	San Francisco County Superior Court
5	Fux: (415) 380-9233	JUL 1 3 2005
6	Clifford A. Charder (State Bar No. 135534)	GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk BY: S. PÉNG
7	CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8	Deputy Clark
8	New Canaan, CT 06840 Telephone: (203) 966-9911	
9	Facsimile: (203) 801-5222	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
11	Russell Brimer	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	IN AND FOR THE COU	JNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
14	UNLIMITED CI	IVIL JURISDICTION
15		
16	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-04-436927
17	Plaintiff,	PROPOSEDI ORDER PURSUANT
18	(y .)	TO TERMS CONSENT JUDGMENT
19	NORCAL POTTERY PRODUCTS, INC.; CENTRAL GARDEN & PET COMPANY;	
20	WAL-MART STORES, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,	Makes and the control of the first of the control
21	Defendants.	Date: July 13, 2005 Time: 9:30 a.m.
22		Dept: 301 Judge: Hon, James L. Warren
23		
24		
25		
26	02	
27		
28		
95256		
1	(DD/ID/VCEA) (ABINED BUIDGITANTY AND	1 RMS OF NO TO ENTER CONSENT HIDGMENT

Exhibit A

	Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534)	
2 3	CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8	
1 3	New Canaan, CT 06840	
	Telephone: (203) 966-9911 Facsimile: (203) 801-5222	()
5		
6	D H ry	
	Sandra A. Kearney (State Bar No. 154570)	
7	FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP	
8	Russ Building, 30 th Floor 235 Montgomery Street	
9	San Francisco, CA 94104	
10	Telephone: (415) 954-4428 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480	
11	Attorneys for Defendants	
12	Norcal Pottery Products, Inc., Central Garden & and Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	: Pet Company
13	\$\$\text{\$\	
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
16	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
17	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-04-436927
18	Plaintiff,	
19	γ.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
20	NORCAL POTTERY PRODUCTS, INC.;	ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT
A=3	LACKTRAL GARIERA & DET COMMISSIO	
22	WAL-MART STORES, INC., and DOES 1 through 150,	
3	Defendants.	
4	1. INTRODUCTION	
5		This Consent Judgment is entered into by and
6	between plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereafter "Brim	This Consent Judgment is entered into by and
7	Products Inc Characher (Manager and	or "Plaintiff") and defendants Norcal Pottery
8	Products, Inc. (hereafter "Norcal"), Central Garde	n & Pet Company, and Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
	STIPLE LTION CAN TRANSPORT TO	
	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CGC-04-436927	

(collectively "Defendants"). Plaintiff and Defendants are collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each party being a "Party."

- 1.2 Plaintiff. Brimer represents that he is an individual residing in Alameda County, California, who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- 1.3 General Allegations. Plaintiff alleges that Norcal has manufactured, distributed and/or sold in the State of California letter pots and other planters with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface with materials that contain lead (and/or lead compounds) that are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq., also known as Proposition 65, to cause cancer and birth defects (or other reproductive harm). Lead (and/or lead compounds) shall be referred to herein as "Listed Chemicals."
- 1.4 Product Descriptions. The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: all letter pots and other planters containing lead manufactured, sold and/or distributed by Norcal with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface including, by way of example and without limitation, the product contained in the items listed at Exhibit A. Such products collectively are referred to herein as the "Products."
- 1.5 Notices of Violation. Beginning on September 2, 2004, Brimer served

 Defendants and various public enforcement agencies with documents, entitled "60 Day Notice of
 Violation" ("Notice") that provided Defendants and such public enforcers with notice that alleged
 that Norcal was in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers
 that certain products that it sold expose users in California to lead and/or lead compound.
- 1.6 Defendants. Each Defendant represents that, prior to receiving the Notice, it had no knowledge, and was unaware of any delegation, that the Products contained lead or lead compounds. Each Defendant represents, nonetheless, that it responded to the Notice by discontinuing sales of the Product identified in the Notice.

- 1.7 Complaint. On December 8, 2004, Brimer, representing that he was acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Complaint" or the "Action") in the Superior Court in and for the County of San Francisco against Norcal, Central Garden & Pet Company, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failure to warn of alleged exposures to one or more of the Listed Chemicals contained in certain products sold by Norcal.
- 1.8 No Admission. This is a settlement of disputed claims, allegations and defenses intended by the Parties to avoid the time, expense and uncertainty of litigation, with no admission of liability, or the validity of any claim, allegation or defense, by any Party. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Norcal under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment and to enforce the provisions thereof.
- 1.10 Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Effective Date" shall be March 31, 2005.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PROPOSITION 65

2.1 WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION OBLIGATIONS

- (a) Required Warnings. After April 30, 2005, Norcal shall not transmit to any retailer to sell or otherwise offer for sale in California any Products containing the Listed Chemicals, unless warnings are given in accordance with one or more provisions in subsection 2.2 below.
- (b) Exceptions. The warning requirements set forth in subsections 2.1(a) and 2.2 below shall not apply to any Reformulated Products as defined in subsection 2.3 below.

2.2 CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

(a) Product Labeling. A warning is affixed to the packaging, labeling or directly to or on a Product by Norcal, its agent, or the manufacturer, importer, or distributor of the Product that states:

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash hands after handling.

or

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of these products contain chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash hands after handling.

Warnings issued for Products pursuant to this subsection shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase. Any changes to the language or format of the warning required by this subsection shall only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

- (b) Point-of-Sale Warnings. Norcal may execute its warning obligations, where applicable, through arranging for the posting of signs at retail outlets in the State of California at which Products are sold, in accordance with the terms specified in subsections 2.2(b)(i), 2.2(b)(ii) and 2.2(b)(iii).
- (i) Point of Sale warnings may be provided through one or more signs posted at or near the point of sale or display of the Products that state:

WARNING: The materials used on the exterior of this product contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive hagm.

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CGC-04-436927

1 2

3

5%

6

7

8

9

10

H

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

 WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of planters sold in this store contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.¹

or

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of the following planters sold in this store contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

(ii) A point of sale warning provided pursuant to subsection 2.2(b)(i) shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase and shall be placed or written in a manner such that the consumer understands to which *specific* Products the warnings apply so as to minimize if not eliminate the chances that an overwarning situation will arise. Any changes to the language or format of the warning required for Products by this subsection shall only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

(iii) If Norcal intends to utilize point of sale warnings to comply with this Consent Judgment, it must provide notice as required by this Consent Judgment to each retailer to whom Norcal ships the Products for sale in California and obtain the written consent of such retailer before shipping the Products. Such notice shall include a copy of this Consent Judgment and any required warning materials (including, as appropriate, signs and/or stickers). If Norcal has obtained the consent of a retailer, Norcal shall not be found to have violated this

This formulation of the warning may only be used where the store sells only Products which are not Reformulated Products as defined in subsection 2.3 below.

19269\765966.2

 \overline{S}

7

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25:

26 27

28

The second payment of \$30,000 shall be paid on or before April 1, 2006. This second payment, however, shall be waived if Norcal certifies in writing to Brimer on or before March 15, 2006, that all of its Products sold in California after September 30, 2005 (and into the future thereafter), are Reformulated Products.

- In the event Norcal pays any penalty and the Consent Judgment is not thereafter approved and entered by the Court, Brimer shall return any penalty funds paid under this Agreement within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a written request from Norcal following notice of the issuance of the Court's decision.
- The Parties agree that Norcal's potential interest in and ability to acquire (b) and market Reformulated Products is to be accounted for in this section and, since it is not a remedy provided for by law, the absence of Norcal previously acquiring, manufacturing, marketing or selling Reformulated Products is not relevant to the establishment of a penalty amount pursuant to section 3.1 above.
- Apportionment of Penalties Received. After Court approval of this (c) Consent Judgment pursuant to section 6, all penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Plaintiff as provided by Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d). Plaintiff shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this section.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The Parties acknowledge that Plaintiff and his counsel offered to resolve this 4.1 dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date of the Agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, Norcal shall reimburse

Plaintiff and his counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Norcal's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Norcal shall pay Plaintiff and his counsel \$30,000 (thirty-thousand dollars) for all atterneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs, including but not limited to all attorneys' fees and costs (including those incurred and to be incurred to obtain Court approval and the entry of this Consent Judgment), and other litigation expenses relating to this Action. The payment shall be made by Norcal on behalf of all Defendants, payable to the "Chanler Law Group" and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before April 15, 2005, at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Atm: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

- 4.2 Return of Funds. In the event that the Consent Judgment is not thereafter approved and entered by the Court, the Chanler Law Group shall return the full amount of the fees and costs paid under this Consent Judgment, by check payable to Norcal, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a written request from counsel for Norcal following notice of the issuance of the Court's decision.
- 4.3 Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, Norcal shall have no further obligation with regard to reimbursement of Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs with regard to the Products covered in this Action.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 Plaintiff's Releases. In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to sections 3 and 4, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and release all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to,

-11

12

13

14

15

16.

17

18

19:

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

investigation fees and costs, expert fees and costs and attorneys' fees and costs) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Norcal and each of its manufacturers, distributors, wholesalors, licensors, licensoes, auctioneers, retailers (including but not limited to defendants Central Garden & Pet Company and Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.), dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees (collectively, "Norcal Releasees") arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code § 17500 et seq., related to Norcal's or Norcal Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products.

The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq., that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaints against Norcal and the Norcal Releasees for alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of Listed Chemicals in the Products.

In addition, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his attorneys, and their agents, waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all Claims against the Norcal Releasees arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq., related to each of the Norcal Releasees' alleged failures to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products and for all actions or statements made by Norcal, the Norcal Releasees, or their attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 or Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 by Norcal. Provided however, Plaintiff shall remain free to institute any form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

It is specifically understood and agroed that the Parties intend that Norcal's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (so STIPHLATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT

Case No. CGC-04-436927

repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products

27

specifically, then Norcal shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with 1 2 respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected. 3 10. NOTICES All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment 4 shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (1) registered, certified mail, return 5 receipt requested, or (ii) overnight delivery to the representatives of each Party as listed below: 6 7 To Norcal: 8 Art Simon, President Norcal Pottery Products, Inc. 9 2091 Williams Street 10 San Leandro, CA 94577 -11 With a copy to: 12 Sandra A. Kearney, Esq. Farella Braun & Martel LLP 33 Russ Building, 30th Floor 14 235 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104 15 To Plaintiff: 16 17 Clifford A. Chanler, Esq. Chanler Law Group 18 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840 19 11. 20 NO ADMISSIONS Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by 21: Norcal of any fact, allegation, claim, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall 22 compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Noreal of 23 any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of issue of law, or violation of law, all of Plaintiff's claims and 24 allegations being specifically denied by Norcal. Norcal reserves all of its rights and defenses with 25 regard to any claim or allegation, including but not limited to those contained in Plaintiff's Notice 26 and Complaint. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Norcal's obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment,

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CGC-04-436927

27

COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES 12.

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f) 13...

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Plaintiff shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco unless the Court allows a shorter period of time,

ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES 14.

The Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, Plaintiff agrees to file a Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Motion"), preferably as a joint motion if the parties can so agree. In an effort to achieve this objective, counsel for Norcal agrees to transmit a draft of the moving papers to counsel for Plaintiff within fourteen (14) days after the Effective Date and the parties will endeavor to file a joint application to the Court. If the moving papers are not provided to Plaintiff in a timely manner, or the parties cannot ultimately agree on the final papers to file, Plaintiff may file the Motion to Approve on his own. In the event that any third party, including the Attorney General or any other public enforcer, objects or otherwise comments to one or more provisions of this Agreement, Norcal agrees to undertake its best efforts to satisfy such concerns or objections and support the terms of this Agreement. Norcal shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to C.C.P. § 1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

192691765966.2

111	of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing
2	for a hearing or related proceedings thereon.
3	15. MODIFICATION
4	This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties
5	and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party
6	as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney
7	General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at
8	least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court,
9	16. AUTHORIZATION
10	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their
11	respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this
12	Consent Judgment.
13	17. DISMISSAL OF CO-DEFENDANTS WITHOUT PREJUDICE
14	Within five (5) days after notice of entry of the Consent Judgment, the Plaintiff shall
15	dismiss without prejudice Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Central Garden & Pet Company,
16	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:
17	7.76.05
18	Date: Date:
19	D. UD
20	By: By: Defendant Plaintiff Russell Brimer Defendant
21	Norcal Pottery Products, Inc.
22	Date: Date:
23	11
24	By: By:
25	Defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. Defendant Central Garden & Pet Company
26	
27	
28	Si 13
	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CGC-04-036927

19269\765966.2

of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing 1 for a hearing or related proceedings thereon. 3 15. MODIFICATION This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties 4 and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party 5 as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney 6General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at 7 least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court, 8 . 9 16. AUTHORIZATION The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their 10 respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this 11 12 Consent Judgment. DISMISSAL OF CO-DEFENDANTS WITHOUT PREJUDICE 13 Within five (5) days after notice of entry of the Consent Judgment, the Plaintiff shall 14 dismiss without prejudice Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Central Garden & Pet Company. 15 16 AGREED TO: AGREED TO: 17 Date: Date: 18 19 20 By: Plaintiff Russell Brimer Defendant Norcal Pottery Products, Inc. 21 22 Date: 23 24 25 Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. Central Garden & Pet Company 26 27 28

Case No. CGC-04-436927

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT

19269/763966.2

2

3

4

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

27

28

the second of the second of the second secon

1,60

of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Pinintiff's counsel spectring for a hearing or related proceedings thereon.

15. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Atterney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

16. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

17. DISMISSAL OF CO-DEFENDANTS WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Within five (5) days after notice of entry of the Consent Judgment, the Plaintiff shall dismiss without prejudice Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Central Garden & Pet Company.

16 17	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
18	Date:	Date: March 29, 2005
19 20 21	By: Plaintiff Russell Brimer	By: Defendant Norcal Pottery Products, Inc.
22	Date:	Date:
24 25 26	By:	By:

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CGC-04-436927

19269\765966.3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

27

28

0007219

Marie Carlo Andreas and the Same de Marie Carlo Car

しているとうとなっているのであるというというないなったというできないのできないのできない

of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a bearing or related proceedings thereon.

15. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

16. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

17. DISMISSAL OF CO-DEFENDANTS WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

Within five (5) days after notice of entry of the Consent Judgment, the Plaintiff shall dismiss without prejudice Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Central Garden & Pet Company.

AGREED TO:	-	AGREED TO:

Date: _______ Date: _____

By: By: Defendant

Norcal Pottery Products, Inc.

25 Wal-Mart Stones, Inc. Central Garden & Pet Company

13

STURLATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSUNT Case No. CGC-94-436927

19269/765966.2

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
2	-1.1.	
3	Date: 3 / 30 / 05	Date:
4	CHANLER LAW GROUP	FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP
5	4	- TOTAL OF THE STATE OF THE STA
6	By: Wyd Clh	Ву:
7	Clifford A. Chanler	Sandra A. Kcarney
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Attorney for Defendants NORCAL POTTERY PRODUCTS, INC.,
9		WAL-MART STORES, INC. and CENTRAL GARDEN & PET COMPANY
10		TELL COMPANY
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	IX 18 80 ORDERED.	
13	Date:	
14		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	*	
26		
27		
28	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSER) ORDER Ris Co Date No. CGC-04-436927	14

56-1835732 192697765966.2

	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Date:	Date: March 30, Zion 5
CHANLER LAW GROUP	FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP
Ву:	_ By fala
Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Sandra A. Keamoy Attorney for Defendants NORCAL POTTERY PRODUCTS, INC., WAL-MART STORES, INC. and CENTRAL GARDEN & PET COMPANY
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Date:	
33	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR CO
1 00	
(B)	
IPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE COR St. No. CGC-04-436927	14

Exhibit A

All planters with colored designs and/or artwork on the exterior, including but not limited to:

6" Letter Pot-Gazebo Green (#0 47625 10504 8)

10: