OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534) CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840 THE SUPERIOR COURT Telephone: (203) 966-9911 Facsimile: CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT (203) 801-5222 JUDGMENT FILED AND ENTERED ON Stephen S. Sayad (State Bar No. 104866) Daniel Bornstein (State Bar No. 181711) Laralei S. Paras (State Bar No. 203319) PARAS LAW GROUP SEP 1 2 2005 M. Guerrero 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216 RECEIVED: CLERK OF 1 STATE OF C Mill Valley, CA 94941 Deputy Clark Telephone: (415) 380-9222 8 Facsimile: (415) 380-9223 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff Russell Brimer 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA - OAKLAND BRANCH 12 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 13 14 RUSSELL BRIMER. Case No. RG-05-192649 15 Plaintiff. 16 ٧. [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF 17 K&M NORDIC COMPANY, INC.; NORDIC CONSENT JUDGMENT 18 COMPANY, INC.; FOLLETT CORPORATION: CAL STUDENT STORE; and DOES 1 through 19 150. Date: September 12, 2005 20 Time: 9:30 A.M. Defendants. Dept.: 303 21 Judge: Comm. Thomas Rasch 22 23 24 25 26 27

5-00 g

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendants K&M NORDIC COMPANY, INC., FOLLETT CORPORATION, and BARNES & NOBLE COLLEGE BOOKSELLERS, INC., having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") entered into by the parties, and after issuing an Order Approving Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment on September 12, 2005. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §664.5, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Order Approving Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment, between the parties. IT IS SO ORDERED. llowas A-lase Dated: September 12, 2005 Commissioner Thomas Rasch JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

- 1
[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

PCD.

HECEIVED. CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ALAMEDA PAY.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534)
Stephen S. Sayad (State Bar No. 104866)
CHANLER LAW GROUP
71 Elm Street, Suite 8
New Canaan, CT 06840
Telephone: (203) 966-9911
Facsimile: (203) 801-5222

Telephone: (203) 966-9911
Facsimile: (203) 801-5222

Daniel Bornstein (State Bar No. 181711)

SEP 1 2 2005

Laralei S. Paras (State Bar No. 203319)
PARAS LAW GROUP
655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216
Mill Valley, CA 94941
Telephone: (415) 380-9222
Facsimile: (415) 380-9223

CHERKOFTHE SUMBRIORCOURT

By Danie

Attorneys for Plaintiff Russell Brimer

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA - OAKLAND BRANCH

UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION

RUSSELL BRIMER,

v.

Plaintiff,

K&M/NORDIC COMPANY, INC.; NORDIC COMPANY, INC.; FOLLETT CORPORATION; CAL STUDENT STORE; and DOES 1 through 150,

Defendants,

Case No. RG-05-0192649

[PROFESTAD] ORDER PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

Date: September 12, 2005

Time: 9:30 A.M. Dept.: 303

Judge: Comm. Thomas Rasch

[PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendant K&M/NORDIC COMPANY, INC., FOLLETT CORPORATION, and BARNES & NOBLE COLLEGE BOOKSELLERS, INC., having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") entered into by the above-referenced parties and attached hereto as Exhibit A; and after consideration of the papers submitted and the arguments presented, the Court finds that the settlement agreement set out in the attached Consent Judgment meets the criteria established by Senate Bill 471, in that:

- The health hazard warning that is required by the Consent Judgment complies with Health & Safety Code §25249.7 (as amended by Senate Bill 471);
- The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent Judgment is reasonable under California law, and
- The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent Judgment is reasonable,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that judgment be entered in this case, in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 12, 2005

Commissioner Thomas Rasch

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

| 1 | Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534) |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 |
| 3 | New Canaan, CT 06840 |
| 4 | Telephone: (203) 966-9911 Facsimile: (203) 801-5222 |
| 5 | Stephen S. Sayad (State Bar No. 104866) |
| 6 | Laralei S. Paras (State Bar No. 203319) |
| 7 | PARAS LAW GROUP 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216 |
| 8 | Mill Valley, CA 94941 Telephone: (415) 380-9222 |
| 9 | Facsimile: (415) 380-9223 |
| | Attorneys for Plaintiff, |
| 10 | Russell Brimer |
| 11 | Thomas N. FitzGibbon (State Bar No. 169194) PFEIFFER THIGPEN & FITZGIBBON LLP |
| 12 | 233 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 220 |
| 13 | Santa Monica, California 90401 Telephone: (310) 451-5800 |
| 14 | Facsimile: (310) 451-1599 |
| 15 | Attorneys for Defendants K&M/Nordic Company, Inc. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Todd O. Maiden (State Bar No. 123524) Eric M. McLaughlin (State Bar No. 200867) |
| 18 | SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 560 Mission Street, Suite 3100 |
| 19 | San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 397-2823 |
| 20 | Facsimile: (415) 397-8549 |
| 21 | Attorneys for Defendant Follett Corporation |
| 22 | Adam J. Thurston (State Bar No. 162636) |
| 23 | BRYAN CAVE LLP 120 Broadway, Suite 300 |
| 24 | Santa Monica, CA 90401 |
| 25 | Telephone: (310) 576-2100 Facsimile: (310) 576-2200 |
| 26 | Attorneys for Defendant |
| 27 | Barnes & Noble College Booksellers, Inc. sued erroneously herein as Barnes & Noble |
| 28 | College Bookstore, Inc. and Santa Clara University Campus Bookstore |
| | 1 |

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION

RUSSELL BRIMER,

Plaintiff,

v.

K&M/NORDIC COMPANY, INC.; NORDIC COMPANY, INC.; FOLLETT CORPORATION; CAL STUDENT STORE; and DOES 1 through 150,

Defendants.

Alameda County Case No.: RG-05-192649

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Plaintiff and Settling Defendants. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereafter "Brimer" or "Plaintiff"), and K&M/Nordic Company, Inc. (hereafter "K&M"), Barnes & Noble College Booksellers, Inc. (hereafter "BNCB"), and Follett Corporation and its subsidiaries (hereafter collectively referred to as "Follett"), with BNCB and Follett collectively and/or individually referred to as the "Retailers", K&M and the Retailers collectively referred to as the "Defendants", and Plaintiff and Defendants collectively referred to as the "Parties" and Brimer and Defendants each being a "Party,"
- 1.2 Plaintiff. Brimer is an individual residing in Alameda County, California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- 1.3 General Allegations. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants have manufactured, distributed and/or sold in the State of California tulip glasses, shot glasses, mugs, and other ceramic and glass beverageware with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface with materials that contain lead and/or cadmium that are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code

28

§§ 25249.5 et seq., also known as Proposition 65, to cause cancer and birth defects (or other reproductive harm). Lead and/or cadmium shall be referred to herein as "Listed Chemicals."

- 1.4 **Product Descriptions.** The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: ceramic and glass beverageware manufactured, sold and/or distributed by Defendants within the State of California with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface including, by way of example and without limitation, glassware products listed at Exhibit A. Such products collectively are referred to herein as the "Products."
- 1.5 Notices of Violation. Beginning on October 18, 2004, Brimer served each of the Defendants and various public enforcement agencies with documents, entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notices") that provided Defendants and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that Defendants were in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that the Products that they sold expose users in California to lead and/or cadmium.
- 1.6 The Complaints. On January 6, 2005, Brimer, in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Alameda Complaint" or the "Alameda Action") in the Superior Court for the County of Alameda against K&M, Nordic Company, Inc., Follett, Cal Student Store, and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to one or more of the Listed Chemicals contained in the Products sold by Defendants. On January 18, 2005, Brimer, in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Santa Clara Complaint" or the "Santa Clara Action") in the Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara against BNCB, Santa Clara University Campus Bookstore, Santa Clara University, K&M, Nordic Company, Inc., and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to one or more of the Listed Chemicals contained in the Products sold by Defendants. In addition, on January 20, 2005, Brimer, in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Stanford Complaint" or the "Stanford Action") in the Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara against Stanford University Bookstore, The Stanford Bookstore, Follett, and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to one or more of

 the Listed Chemicals contained in the Products sold by Defendants. The Alameda Action, Santa Clara Action and Stanford Action are referred to herein as the "Actions."

- 1.7 No Admission. Defendants deny the material factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's Notices and Actions and maintain that all products that they have sold and distributed in California including the Products have been and are in compliance with all laws and regulations. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Defendants under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.8 Consent to Jurisdiction. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Actions and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Actions, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment and to enforce the provisions thereof.
- 1.9 Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Effective Date" shall be April 26, 2005.
- 1.10 Dismissals. Plaintiff agrees that the following defendants and Actions will be dismissed, without prejudice, within five (5) business days of the Court's entry of Judgment pursuant to this Consent Judgment, as set forth below:
 - (a) In the Alameda Action, Cal Student Store and Nordic Company, Inc. 1;
 - (b) The entire Santa Clara Action; and
 - (c) The entire Stanford Action.

¹ Plaintiff's agreement to this dismissal is based upon the representations of K&M and Follett, respectively, that neither Nordic Company, Inc. nor Cal Student Store are legal entities.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PROPOSITION 65

2.1 WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION OBLIGATIONS

- (a) Required Warnings. After the Effective Date, Defendants² shall not offer for sale in California any Products containing the Listed Chemicals, unless warnings are given in accordance with one or more provisions in subsection 2.2 below.
- (b) Exceptions. The warning requirements set forth in subsections 2.1(a) and2.2 below shall not apply to:
 - (i) any Products manufactured before December 31, 2004, or
 - (ii) Reformulated Products as defined in subsection 2.3 below.

2.2 CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

In order to comply with the applicable warning obligations imposed by Section 2.1, Defendants shall provide one or more of the warnings set forth in subsections (a), (b) or (c) below and in the manner specified in each such subsection.

- (a) **Product Labeling.** A warning affixed to the packaging, labeling or directly to or on a Product by Defendants, their agents, or the manufacturer, importer, or distributor of the Product, which shall be deemed to be clear within the meaning of Proposition 65, shall state, verbatim or in substantially similar language, as follows:
 - WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead and cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

OF

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of these products contain chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

² K&M shall only offer Products for sale in California after December 31, 2005 in accordance with Section 2.4, regardless of the exemptions in Section 2.1(b)(i).

Warnings issued for Products pursuant to this subsection shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase. Any changes to the format, or material changes to the language of the warning required by this subsection, shall only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

- (b) Point-of-Sale Warnings. Defendants may execute their warning obligations, where applicable, through arranging for the posting of signs at retail outlets in the State of California at which Products are sold, in accordance with the terms specified in subsections 2.2(b)(i), 2.2(b)(ii) and 2.2(b)(iii).
- (i) Point of Sale warnings may be provided through one or more signs posted at or near the point of sale or display of the Products, and shall be deemed to be clear within the meaning of Proposition 65, if they state, verbatim or in substantially similar language, as follows:

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead and cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

or

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of the following glassware products sold in this store contain lead and cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

(ii) A point of sale warning provided pursuant to subsection 2.2(b)(i) shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase and shall be placed or written in a

manner such that the consumer understands to which *specific* Products the warnings apply so as to minimize if not eliminate the chances that an overwarning situation will arise. Any changes to the format, or material changes to the language of the warning required for Products by this subsection, shall only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

- (iii) If, between the Effective Date and December 31, 2005, K&M intends to utilize point of sale warnings to comply with this Consent Judgment, it must provide written notice as required by this Consent Judgment to each entity to whom it ships the Products for sale in California and obtain the written consent of such entity before shipping the Products. The notice provided by K&M shall include a copy of the injunctive relief portions of this Consent Judgment and any required warning materials including, as appropriate, signs and/or stickers. If K&M has obtained the consent of its customers to provide point of sale warnings, K&M shall not be found to have violated this Consent Judgment if it has complied with the terms of this Consent Judgment and has proof that it transmitted the requisite warnings in the manner provided herein.
- (c) Internet Sales For Products that are sold by any of the Defendants from the internet to California residents, a warning containing the language in subsection 2.2(a) shall be included, at Defendants' sole option, either: (a) on the website; or (b) with the Product when it is shipped to an address in California. Any warnings given on a website shall identify the *specific* Products to which the warning applies.
- (i) Web Site Warning The warning text, or a link to a page containing the warning text, shall be displayed either (a) on the same page on which a Product is displayed, (b) on the same page as any order form for a Product, (c) on the same page as the price for any Product, (d) on one or more pages displayed to a purchaser over the Internet or via electronic mail during the checkout and order confirmation process for sale of a Product. The same language as that appearing in subsection 2.2(a) must be used for transmitting warnings under this paragraph. If a link is used, it shall state "California residents," and shall be of a size equal to the size of other links on the page.

- (ii) Warning With Product Alternatively, a warning may be provided with the Product when it is shipped directly to a consumer in California, by (a) product labeling pursuant to subsection 2.2(a) above, (b) inserting a card or slip of paper measuring at least 4" x 6" in the shipping carton, or (c) including the warning, using at least 18-point font size, on the packing slip or customer invoice identifying the Product. The warning shall include the language appearing in subsection 2.2(a) and shall inform the consumer that he or she may return the Product for a full refund within 30 days of receipt.
- 2.3 **REFORMULATION STANDARDS:** Products satisfying the conditions of section 2.3(a) and 2.3(b) are referred to as "Reformulated Products" and are defined as follows:
- (a) If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product do not extend into the top 20 millimeters of the ware (i.e., only appear below the exterior portion of the lip and rim area as defined by American Society of Testing and Materials Standard Test Method C 927-99, hereinafter the "Lip and Rim Area"), and produce a test result no higher than 1.0 micrograms (ug) of lead and 4.0 micrograms (ug) of cadmium using a Ghost WipeTM test applied on the decorated portions of the surface of the Product performed as outlined in NIOSH method no. 9100; or
- (b) If the Product utilizes materials for all colored artwork, designs or markings containing no more than six one-hundredths of one percent (0.06%) lead and twenty-four one hundredths of one percent (0.24%) cadmium by weight as measured at Defendants' option, either before or after the material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation (as distinguished from detection) of less than 600 parts per million ("ppm").³
- 2.4 **REFORMULATION COMMITMENT.** By entering into this Stipulation and Consent Judgment:

³ If a Defendant tests the decoration after it is affixed to the Product, the percentage of the Listed Chemical by weight must relate only to the other portions of the decorating material and not include any calculation of non-decorating material.

under this agreement within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a written request from Defendants following notice of the issuance of the Court's decision.

- (b) The Parties agree that Defendants' potential interest in and ability to acquire and market Reformulated Products is to be accounted for in this section and, since it is not a remedy provided for by law, the absence of Defendants previously acquiring, manufacturing, marketing or selling Reformulated Products is not relevant to the establishment of a penalty amount pursuant to section 3.1 above.
- (c) Apportionment of Penalties Received. After Court approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to section 6, all penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Plaintiff as provided by Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d). Plaintiff shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this section.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

4.1 The Parties acknowledge that Plaintiff and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Defendants then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date of the Agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine, Defendants shall reimburse Plaintiff and his counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing the Actions (as well as any other matters reasonably related to the sale of Defendants' Products allegedly sold in violation of Proposition 65) to Defendants' attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Defendants shall pay Plaintiff and his counsel \$80,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs related to the various claims

28

made against Defendants, their downstream customers and Defendants' Releasees. The payment shall be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group" and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before May 2, 2005, at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

K&M shall pay its portion of the attorneys' fees payment (\$36,000.00) on or before May 31, 2005.

- 4.2 Plaintiff has requested that it be provided supplemental attorneys' fees if the negotiation of the Consent Judgment takes more time than expected. Plaintiff has budgeted 5.0 hours to complete this task. In the event that more than 5.0 hours are legitimately and necessarily incurred and billed by Plaintiff's counsel to accomplish this task, Plaintiff may seek reimbursement of these fees through a Mediator Submission Process, as explained below. The Mediator Submission process shall proceed as follows: (1) counsel for Plaintiff shall submit a written Declaration under penalty of perjury to the Mediator and to defense counsel identifying all the hours incurred to complete the negotiation of the Consent Judgment, the reasons why the extra hours should be paid for by Defendants, and the amount of additional fees requested, (2) Defendants shall have 10 days to submit to the Mediator and Plaintiff's counsel any response to the Plaintiff's submission, and (3) within 20 days of the Defendants' submission, the Mediator shall make a final and binding determination of the additional fees to which Plaintiff's counsel is entitled. The Mediator may conduct a telephonic hearing in connection with this process if he so elects, in his sole discretion. The Mediator shall send a written report to all Parties identifying the additional amount to which Plaintiff's counsel is due, and this report shall specify a deadline for payment of these amounts, as well as an allocation among the Defendants.
- 4.3 Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, Defendants shall have no further obligation with regard to reimbursement of Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs with regard to the Products covered in the Actions, including with respect to all actions needed for

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

notifications.

RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS 5.

Plaintiff's Release of Defendants. In further consideration of the promises and 5.1 agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to sections 3 and 4, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and release all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent, arising under Proposition 65 related to Defendants' or Defendants' Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products (collectively "Claims"), against Defendants and each of their distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, partner-schools (The Leland Stanford Jr. University, U.C. Berkeley and Santa Clara University) and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees (collectively, "Defendants' Releasees"). It is specifically understood and agreed to by the Parties that Plaintiff is not releasing the alleged liability of any entity, besides K&M, that sold any of the Products to the Retailers. For example, an entity known as Capri which may have sold some of the Products to Follett during the relevant period is expressly excluded from the release in Paragraph 5.3.

approval, including the Motion to Approve Consent Judgment and all Attorney General

The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaints against Defendants for their alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of Listed Chemicals in the Products.

In addition, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his attorneys, and their agents, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all Claims

 against the Defendants' Releasees arising under Proposition 65 related to each of the Defendants' Releasees' alleged failures to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products (with the exception noted in the last two sentences in the first paragraph above) and for all actions or statements made by Defendants or their attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65 by Defendants. Provided however, Plaintiff shall remain free to institute any appropriate form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that Defendants' compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (so long as Defendants comply with the terms of the Consent Judgment) concerning Defendants and the Defendants' Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65 as to the Listed Chemicals in the Products.

5.2 **Defendants' Release of Plaintiff.** Defendants, and each of them, waive all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, or his attorneys or representatives, for all actions taken or statements made by Plaintiff and his attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 in the Actions.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Plaintiff or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. ATTORNEYS' FEES

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Consent

Judgment, the prevailing party or parties shall, except as otherwise provided herein, be entitled to
recover reasonable and necessary costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred from the
resolution of such dispute.

9. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then Defendants shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected.

10. NOTICES

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (1) first-class, registered, certified mail, return receipt requested or (ii) overnight courier on either Party by the other at the following addresses. (Either Party, from time to time, may, pursuant to the methods prescribed above, specify a change of address to which all future notices and other communications shall be sent.)

To K&M/Nordic Company, Inc.:

Bradford N. Kindberg, President K&M/Nordic Company, Inc. 5 Tripps Lane Riverside, RI 02915

To Barnes & Noble College Booksellers, Inc.:

Max Roberts, President
Joel Friedman, Vice President General Merchandising
Barnes & Noble College Booksellers, Inc.
120 Mountain Road
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

To Follett Corporation:

Christopher Traut, President Suhaib Ghazi, Staff Attorney Follett Corporation 2233 West Street River Grove, IL 60171

With a copies to:

Thomas N. FitzGibbon
Pfeiffer Thigpen & FitzGibbon LLP
233 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 220
Santa Monica, CA 90401

Todd O. Maiden Seyfarth Shaw LLP 560 Mission Street, Suite 3100 San Francisco, California 94105

Adam J. Thurston Bryan Cave LLP 120 Broadway, Suite 300 Santa Monica, CA 90401

To Plaintiff:

Clifford A. Chanler Chanler Law Group 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

11. NO ADMISSIONS

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendants. Defendants reserve all of its rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any party under Proposition 65 or otherwise. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Defendants' obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

27

26

24

25

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

13. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Plaintiff shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the City and County of Alameda unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.

14. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, the Parties agree to file a Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Joint Motion"), the first draft of which Defendants' counsel shall prepare, within a reasonable period of time after the Execution Date (i.e., not to exceed thirty (30) days unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties' counsel based on unanticipated circumstances). Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare a declaration in support of the Joint Motion which shall, inter alia, set forth support for the fees and costs to be reimbursed pursuant to Section 4. Defendants shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to C.C.P. § 1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing or related proceedings thereon.

Ĭ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

¢

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

15. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be medified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon every of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

16. DEFENDANTS SALES DATA

Defendants understand that the sales data provided to counsel for Brimer by Defendants was a material factor upon which Brimer has relied to determine the amount of payments made pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b) under this Agreement. Defendants represent that the sales data provided to plaintiff is true and accurate.

17. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this

Consent Judgment. AGREED TO: AGREED TO: RUSSELL BRIMER K&M/NORDIC COMPANY, INC. Date: 44 26 ~ 05 Date: _____ Ву:_____ Russell Brimer APPROVED AS TO FORM: APPROVED AS TO FORM: Date: _ Date: CHANLER LAW GROUP PFEIFFER THIGFEN & FITZGIBBON LLP Bv: By: Chifford A. Chanler Thomas N. FitzGibbon Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER Attorneys for Defendant K&M/NORDIC COMPANY, INC.

17

27 28

15. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

16. DEFENDANTS SALES DATA

Defendants understand that the sales data provided to counsel for Brimer by Defendants was a material factor upon which Brimer has relied to determine the amount of payments made pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b) under this Agreement. Defendants represent that the sales data provided to plaintiff is true and accurate.

17. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

| AGREED TO: RUSSELL BRIMER | AGREED TO: K&M/NORDIC COMPANY, INC. |
|--|---|
| Date: | Date: |
| By:Russell Brimer | |
| AND OVER AS TO FORM | Its: |
| APPROVED AS TO FORM: Date: 5 2 05 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: Date: |
| CHANLER LAW GROUP | PFEIFFER THIGPEN & FITZGIBBON LLP |
| By: Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER | By: Thomas N. FitzGibbon Attorneys for Defendant K&M/NORDIC COMPANY, INC. |

15. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

16. DEFENDANTS SALES DATA

Defendants understand that the sales data provided to counsel for Brimer by Defendants was a material factor upon which Brimer has relied to determine the amount of payments made pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b) under this Agreement. Defendants represent that the sales data provided to plaintiff is true and accurate.

17. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this

| AGREED TO: K&M/NORDIC COMPANY, INC. Date: 4/27/05 |
|---|
| By: Pobsot I Colimes |
| Its: |
| APPROVED AS TO FORM: Date: 4/27/2005 |
| PFEIFFER THIGPEN & FITZGIBBON LLP |
| By: / h / l, / |
| Thomas N. FitzGibbon Attorneys for Defendant K&M/NORDIC COMPANY, INC. |
| |

| | ; | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 1 | AGREED TO: FOLLETT CORPORATION | AGREED TO: BARNES & NOBLE COLLEGE | |
| 2 | Date: 4/23/05 | BOOKSELLERS, INC. | |
| 3 | | Date: | |
| 4 | By: Allulluslusluslusluslusluslusluslusluslus | Ву: | |
| 5 | Its: EVP. GC & SEEL | Its: | |
| 6 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | | |
| 7 | | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | |
| 8 | Dato: 29 Apr. 1 2005 | Date: | |
| 9 | SEYFARTH SHAW LLP | BRYAN CAVE LLP | |
| 10 | By: (, (DO. Mailen | • | |
| 11 | Todd O. Maiden | By:Adam J. Thurston | |
| 12 | Attorneys for Defendant FOLLETT CORPORATION | Attorneys for Defondant BARNES & NOBLE COLLEGE | |
| 13 | ; | BOOKSELLERS, INC. | |
| 14 | Florence - decreased the form of the | miletion and the Markey or Accessed | |
| 15 | _ | sulation and the Motion to Approve Consent Judgment, | |
| 16 | and finding that the Proposed Consent Judgment complies with the requirements of Proposition | | |
| | | at it is in the public interest to approve and enter the | |
| 17 | foregoing Consent Judgment: | | |
| 18 | IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED ANI | DECREED. | |
| 19 | ; | | |
| 20 | Date: | | |
| 21 | : | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT | |
| 22 | PTF: 2589.4 | | |
| 23 | 1 | | |
| 24 | ! : | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | ! | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | ; ; | | |
| | • | 10 | |
| | STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMI | 18 ENT | |

| | 1 | |
|-------------|---|--|
| 1 2 3 | FOLLETT CORPORATION Date: | AGREED TO: BARNES & NOBLE COLLEGE BOOKSELLERS, INC. Date: April 28, 2005 |
| 4 | By: | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| 5 6 | Its: | Its: Merhal |
| 7 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | APPROVED AS TO FORM: |
| 8 | Date: | Date: April 30, 2005 |
| 9 | SEYFARTH SHAW LLP | BRYAN CAVE LLP |
| 10 | Ву: | By: School |
| 11 | Todd O. Maiden Attorneys for Defendant | Adam J. Thurston Attorneys for Defendant |
| 12 13 | FOLLETT CORPORATION | BARNES & NOBLE COLLEGE BOOKSHLLERS, INC. |
| 14 | Harden data C | |
| 15 | | dpulation and the Motion to Approve Consent Judgment, |
| 16 | | dgment complies with the requirements of Proposition |
| | 65 and its implementing regulations and t | that it is in the public interest to approve and enter the |
| 17 | foregoing Consent Judgment: | |
| 18 19 | IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AN | D DECREED. |
| 20 | | į |
| 21 | Date: | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT |
| 22 | PTF: 2589.4 | , |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| ! | | 10 |
| | STPULATION AND (PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMI | 18 ENT |

Exhibit A All ceramic and glass beverageware with colored designs and/or artwork on the exterior including, but not limited to: Tulip Glass 20 oz. 2/Clear/(#8499239) Shot Glass (#6 41139 51261 5) Shooter (#6 41139 11101 6)





FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SEP 1 2 2005

UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

RUSSELL BRIMER,

γ.

6

7

1

2

3

4

5

9

10 11

12

13 14

16 17

15

18 19

21 22

20

23 24

. 26 27

25

28

Alameda County Case No.: RG-05-192649

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
CONSENT JUDGMENT

1. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff.

COMPANY, INC.; FOLLETT

and DOES 1 through 150,

K&M/NORDIC COMPANY, INC.; NORDIC

CORPORATION; CAL STUDENT STORE;

Defendants.

- 1.1 Plaintiff and Settling Defendants. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereafter "Brimer" or "Plaintiff"), and K&M/Nordic Company, Inc. (hereafter "K&M"), Barnes & Noble College Booksellers, Inc. (hereafter "BNCB"), and Follett Corporation and its subsidiaries (hereafter collectively referred to as "Follett"), with BNCB and Follett collectively and/or individually referred to as the "Retailers", K&M and the Retailers collectively referred to as the "Defendants", and Plaintiff and Defendants collectively referred to as the "Parties" and Brimer and Defendants each being a "Party."
- 1.2 Plaintiff. Brimer is an individual residing in Alameda County, California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- General Allegations. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants have manufactured, distributed and/or sold in the State of California tulip glasses, shot glasses, mugs, and other ceramic and glass beverageware with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface with materials that contain lead and/or cadmium that are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code

2

9

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

§§ 25249.5 et seq., also known as Proposition 65, to cause cancer and birth defects (or other reproductive harm). Lead and/or cadmium shall be referred to herein as "Listed Chemicals."

- 1.4 **Product Descriptions.** The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: ceramic and glass beverageware manufactured, sold and/or distributed by Defendants within the State of California with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface including, by way of example and without limitation, glassware products listed at Exhibit A. Such products collectively are referred to herein as the "Products."
- 1.5 Notices of Violation. Beginning on October 18, 2004, Brimer served each of the Defendants and various public enforcement agencies with documents, entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notices") that provided Defendants and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that Defendants were in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warm purchasers that the Products that they sold expose users in California to lead and/or cadmium.
- 1.6 The Complaints. On January 6, 2005, Brimer, in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Alameda Complaint" or the "Alameda Action") in the Superior Court for the County of Alameda against K&M, Nordic Company, Inc., Follett, Cal Student Store, and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to one or more of the Listed Chemicals contained in the Products sold by Defendants. On January 18, 2005, Brimer, in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Santa Clara Complaint" or the "Santa Clara Action") in the Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara against BNCB, Santa Clara University Campus Bookstore, Santa Clara University, K&M, Nordic Company, Inc., and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to one or more of the Listed Chemicals contained in the Products sold by Defendants. In addition, on January 20, 2005, Brimer, in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Stanford Complaint" or the "Stanford Action") in the Superior Court for the County of Santa Clara against Stanford University Bookstore, The Stanford Bookstore, Follett, and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to one or more of

the Listed Chemicals contained in the Products sold by Defendants. The Alameda Action, Santa Clara Action and Stanford Action are referred to herein as the "Actions."

- 1.7 No Admission. Defendants deny the material factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's Notices and Actions and maintain that all products that they have sold and distributed in California including the Products have been and are in compliance with all laws and regulations. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Defendants under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.8 Consent to Jurisdiction. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Actions and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Actions, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment and to enforce the provisions thereof.
- 1.9 Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Effective Date" shall be April 26, 2005.
- 1.10 Dismissals. Plaintiff agrees that the following defendants and Actions will be dismissed, without prejudice, within five (5) business days of the Court's entry of Judgment pursuant to this Consent Judgment, as set forth below:
 - (a) In the Alameda Action, Cal Student Store and Nordic Company, Inc. 1;
 - (b) The entire Santa Clara Action; and
 - (c) The entire Stanford Action.

¹ Plaintiff's agreement to this dismissal is based upon the representations of K&M and Follett, respectively, that neither Nordic Company, Inc. nor Cal Student Store are legal entities.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PROPOSITION 65

2.1 WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION OBLIGATIONS

- (a) Required Warnings. After the Effective Date, Defendants² shall not offer for sale in California any Products containing the Listed Chemicals, unless warnings are given in accordance with one or more provisions in subsection 2.2 below.
- (b) Exceptions. The warning requirements set forth in subsections 2.1(a) and 2.2 below shall not apply to:
 - (i) any Products manufactured before December 31, 2004, or
 - (ii) Reformulated Products as defined in subsection 2.3 below.

2.2 CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

In order to comply with the applicable warning obligations imposed by Section 2.1,

Defendants shall provide one or more of the warnings set forth in subsections (a), (b) or (c) below

and in the manner specified in each such subsection.

- (a) **Product Labeling.** A warning affixed to the packaging, labeling or directly to or on a Product by Defendants, their agents, or the manufacturer, importer, or distributor of the Product, which shall be deemed to be clear within the meaning of Proposition 65, shall state, verbatim or in substantially similar language, as follows:
 - WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead and cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

or

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of these products contain chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

² K&M shall only offer Products for sale in California after December 31, 2005 in accordance with Section 2.4, regardless of the exemptions in Section 2.1(b)(i).

Warnings issued for Products pursuant to this subsection shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase. Any changes to the format, or material changes to the language of the warning required by this subsection, shall only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

- (b) Point-of-Sale Warnings. Defendants may execute their warning obligations, where applicable, through arranging for the posting of signs at retail outlets in the State of California at which Products are sold, in accordance with the terms specified in subsections 2.2(b)(i), 2.2(b)(ii) and 2.2(b)(iii).
- (i) Point of Sale warnings may be provided through one or more signs posted at or near the point of sale or display of the Products, and shall be deemed to be clear within the meaning of Proposition 65, if they state, verbatim or in substantially similar language, as follows:

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead and cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

or

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of the following glassware products sold in this store contain lead and cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

(ii) A point of sale warning provided pursuant to subsection 2.2(b)(i) shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase and shall be placed or written in a

 manner such that the consumer understands to which specific Products the warnings apply so as to minimize if not eliminate the chances that an overwarning situation will arise. Any changes to the format, or material changes to the language of the warning required for Products by this subsection, shall only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

- (iii) If, between the Effective Date and December 31, 2005, K&M intends to utilize point of sale warnings to comply with this Consent Judgment, it must provide written notice as required by this Consent Judgment to each entity to whom it ships the Products for sale in California and obtain the written consent of such entity before shipping the Products. The notice provided by K&M shall include a copy of the injunctive relief portions of this Consent Judgment and any required warning materials including, as appropriate, signs and/or stickers. If K&M has obtained the consent of its customers to provide point of sale warnings, K&M shall not be found to have violated this Consent Judgment if it has complied with the terms of this Consent Judgment and has proof that it transmitted the requisite warnings in the manner provided herein.
- (c) Internet Sales For Products that are sold by any of the Defendants from the internet to California residents, a warning containing the language in subsection 2.2(a) shall be included, at Defendants' sole option, either: (a) on the website; or (b) with the Product when it is shipped to an address in California. Any warnings given on a website shall identify the specific Products to which the warning applies.
- (i) Web Site Warning The warning text, or a link to a page containing the warning text, shall be displayed either (a) on the same page on which a Product is displayed, (b) on the same page as any order form for a Product, (c) on the same page as the price for any Product, (d) on one or more pages displayed to a purchaser over the Internet or via electronic mail during the checkout and order confirmation process for sale of a Product. The same language as that appearing in subsection 2.2(a) must be used for transmitting warnings under this paragraph. If a link is used, it shall state "California residents," and shall be of a size equal to the size of other links on the page.

- (ii) Warning With Product Alternatively, a warning may be provided with the Product when it is shipped directly to a consumer in California, by (a) product labeling pursuant to subsection 2.2(a) above, (b) inserting a card or slip of paper measuring at least 4" x 6" in the shipping carton, or (c) including the warning, using at least 18-point font size, on the packing slip or customer invoice identifying the Product. The warning shall include the language appearing in subsection 2.2(a) and shall inform the consumer that he or she may return the Product for a full refund within 30 days of receipt.
- 2.3 **REFORMULATION STANDARDS:** Products satisfying the conditions of section 2.3(a) and 2.3(b) are referred to as "Reformulated Products" and are defined as follows:
- (a) If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product do not extend into the top 20 millimeters of the ware (i.e., only appear below the exterior portion of the lip and rim area as defined by American Society of Testing and Materials Standard Test Method C 927-99, hereinafter the "Lip and Rim Area"), and produce a test result no higher than 1.0 micrograms (ug) of lead and 4.0 micrograms (ug) of cadmium using a Ghost WipeTM test applied on the decorated portions of the surface of the Product performed as outlined in NIOSH method no. 9100; or
- (b) If the Product utilizes materials for all colored artwork, designs or markings containing no more than six one-hundredths of one percent (0.06%) lead and twenty-four one hundredths of one percent (0.24%) cadmium by weight as measured at Defendants' option, either before or after the material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation (as distinguished from detection) of less than 600 parts per million ("ppm").³
- 2.4 **REFORMULATION COMMITMENT.** By entering into this Stipulation and Consent Judgment:

³ If a Defendant tests the decoration after it is affixed to the Product, the percentage of the Listed Chemical by weight must relate only to the other portions of the decorating material and not include any calculation of non-decorating material.

- (a) At present K&M does not sell any Products in the State of California. If K&M elects to re-enter the California market for the Products, it commits that it will only offer Reformulated Products for sale in California after December 31, 2005; and
- shall require that each of their vendors of the Products certify in writing that all shipments of the Products for sale in California after December 31, 2005, are Reformulated Products, and the Retailers shall be entitled to rely upon such certification. If the Retailers cannot, after commercially reasonable best efforts, obtain exclusively Reformulated Products for sale in California by December 31, 2005, then (a) by January 15, 2006, that company shall submit a verified written report to the mediator, with a copy to Plaintiff, certifying the extent to which its commercially reasonable best efforts to obtain Reformulated Products were unsuccessful, and (b) thereafter, with respect to those Products for which the Retailers could not reasonably obtain Reformulated Product-equivalents, the Retailers will comply with Sections 2.1 and 2.2 in connection with their sales of such non-Reformulated Products in California.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS.

3.1 Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b), Defendants shall pay \$20,000 in civil penalties. The penalty payment shall be made payable to "Chanler Law Group in Trust For Russell Brimer," and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before May 2, 2005, at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

K&M shall pay its portion of the civil penalty payment (\$9,000.00) on or before May 31, 2005.

(a) In the event that Defendants pay any penalty and the Consent Judgment is not thereafter approved and entered by the Court, Brimer shall return any penalty funds paid

under this agreement within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a written request from Defendants following notice of the issuance of the Court's decision.

- (b) The Parties agree that Defendants' potential interest in and ability to acquire and market Reformulated Products is to be accounted for in this section and, since it is not a remedy provided for by law, the absence of Defendants previously acquiring, manufacturing, marketing or selling Reformulated Products is not relevant to the establishment of a penalty amount pursuant to section 3.1 above.
- (c) Apportionment of Penalties Received. After Court approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to section 6, all penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Plaintiff as provided by Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d). Plaintiff shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this section.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

4.1 The Parties acknowledge that Plaintiff and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Defendants then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date of the Agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine, Defendants shall reimburse Plaintiff and his counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing the Actions (as well as any other matters reasonably related to the sale of Defendants' Products allegedly sold in violation of Proposition 65) to Defendants' attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Defendants shall pay Plaintiff and his counsel \$80,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs related to the various claims

made against Defendants, their downstream customers and Defendants' Releasees. The payment shall be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group" and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before May 2, 2005, at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

K&M shall pay its portion of the attorneys' fees payment (\$36,000.00) on or before May 31, 2005.

- 4.2 Plaintiff has requested that it be provided supplemental attorneys' fees if the negotiation of the Consent Judgment takes more time than expected. Plaintiff has budgeted 5.0 hours to complete this task. In the event that more than 5.0 hours are legitimately and necessarily incurred and billed by Plaintiff's counsel to accomplish this task, Plaintiff may seek reimbursement of these fees through a Mediator Submission Process, as explained below. The Mediator Submission process shall proceed as follows: (1) counsel for Plaintiff shall submit a written Declaration under penalty of perjury to the Mediator and to defense counsel identifying all the hours incurred to complete the negotiation of the Consent Judgment, the reasons why the extra hours should be paid for by Defendants, and the amount of additional fees requested, (2) Defendants shall have 10 days to submit to the Mediator and Plaintiff's counsel any response to the Plaintiff's submission, and (3) within 20 days of the Defendants' submission, the Mediator shall make a final and binding determination of the additional fees to which Plaintiff's counsel is entitled. The Mediator may conduct a telephonic hearing in connection with this process if he so elects, in his sole discretion. The Mediator shall send a written report to all Parties identifying the additional amount to which Plaintiff's counsel is due, and this report shall specify a deadline for payment of these amounts, as well as an allocation among the Defendants.
- 4.3 Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, Defendants shall have no further obligation with regard to reimbursement of Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs with regard to the Products covered in the Actions, including with respect to all actions needed for

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

Plaintiff's Release of Defendants. In further consideration of the promises and 5.1 agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to sections 3 and 4, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and release all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent, arising under Proposition 65 related to Defendants' or Defendants' Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products (collectively "Claims"), against Defendants and each of their distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, partner-schools (The Leland Stanford Jr. University, U.C. Berkeley and Santa Clara University) and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees (collectively, "Defendants' Releasees"). It is specifically understood and agreed to by the Parties that Plaintiff is not releasing the alleged liability of any entity, besides K&M, that sold any of the Products to the Retailers. For example, an entity known as Capri which may have sold some of the Products to Follett during the relevant period is expressly excluded from the release in Paragraph 5.1.

approval, including the Motion to Approve Consent Judgment and all Attorney General

The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaints against Defendants for their alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of Listed Chemicals in the Products.

In addition, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his attorneys, and their agents, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all Claims

 against the Defendants' Releasees arising under Proposition 65 related to each of the Defendants' Releasees' alleged failures to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products (with the exception noted in the last two sentences in the first paragraph above) and for all actions or statements made by Defendants or their attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65 by Defendants. Provided however, Plaintiff shall remain free to institute any appropriate form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that Defendants' compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (so long as Defendants comply with the terms of the Consent Judgment) concerning Defendants and the Defendants' Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65 as to the Listed Chemicals in the Products.

5.2 **Defendants' Release of Plaintiff.** Defendants, and each of them, waive all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, or his attorneys or representatives, for all actions taken or statements made by Plaintiff and his attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 in the Actions.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Plaintiff or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. ATTORNEYS' FEES

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Consent

Judgment, the prevailing party or parties shall, except as otherwise provided herein, be entitled to
recover reasonable and necessary costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred from the
resolution of such dispute.

9. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then Defendants shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected.

10. NOTICES

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (1) first-class, registered, certified mail, return receipt requested or (ii) overnight courier on either Party by the other at the following addresses. (Either Party, from time to time, may, pursuant to the methods prescribed above, specify a change of address to which all future notices and other communications shall be sent.)

To K&M/Nordic Company, Inc.:

Bradford N. Kindberg, President K&M/Nordic Company, Inc. 5 Tripps Lane Riverside, RI 02915

To Barnes & Noble College Booksellers, Inc.:

Max Roberts, President
Joel Friedman, Vice President General Merchandising
Barnes & Noble College Booksellers, Inc.
120 Mountain Road
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

| - 1 | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| 1 | To Follett Corporation: | | |
| 2 | Christopher Traut, President | | |
| 3 | Suhaib Ghazi, Staff Attorney | | |
| 4 | Follett Corporation 2233 West Street | | |
| | River Grove, IL 60171 | | |
| 5 | With a copies to: | | |
| | Thomas N. FitzGibbon | | |
| 7 | Pfeiffer Thigpen & FitzGibbon LLP | | |
| 8 | 233 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 220 | | |
| 9 | Santa Monica, CA 90401 | | |
| 0 | Todd O. Maiden | | |
| 10 | Seyfarth Shaw LLP 560 Mission Street, Suite 3100 | | |
| 11 | San Francisco, California 94105 | | |
| 2 | Adam J. Thurston | | |
| 13 | Bryan Cave LLP | | |
| [| 120 Broadway, Suite 300 | | |
| 14 | Santa Monica, CA 90401 | | |
| 15 | To Plaintiff: | | |
| 16 | Clifford A. Chanler | | |
| 17 | Chanler Law Group | | |
| 18 | 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840 | | |
| | 1,000 Calibrati, CT 000 to | | |
| 19 | 11. NO ADMISSIONS | | |
| 20 | Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by | | |
| 21 | Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall | | |
| 22 | compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants | | |
| 23 | of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically | | |
| 24 | denied by Defendants. Defendants reserve all of its rights and defenses with regard to any claim | | |
| 25 | by any party under Proposition 65 or otherwise. However, this section shall not diminish or | | |
| 26 | otherwise affect Defendants' obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

12. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

13. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Plaintiff shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the City and County of Alameda unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.

14. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, the Parties agree to file a Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Joint Motion"), the first draft of which Defendants' counsel shall prepare, within a reasonable period of time after the Execution Date (i.e., not to exceed thirty (30) days unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties' counsel based on unanticipated circumstances). Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare a declaration in support of the Joint Motion which shall, inter alia, set forth support for the fees and costs to be reimbursed pursuant to Section 4. Defendants shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to C.C.P. § 1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing or related proceedings thereon.

ì

15. MODIFICATION

This Consent Independ may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Atterney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

Devendants sales data

Defendants understand that the sales data provided to counsel for Brimer by Defendants was a material factor upon which Brimer has relied to determine the amount of payments made pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b) under this Agreement. Defendants represent that the sales data provided to plaintiff is true and accurate.

17. AUTHORIZATION

Consent Judgment

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consum Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this

| AGREED TO: RUSSELL BRIMER Date: 16-05 | AGREED TO: K&M/NORDIC COMPANY, INC. Date: |
|---|--|
| By: Russell Brimer | Ву: |
| | Its: |
| APPROVED AS TO FORM: | APPROVED AS TO FORM: Date: |
| CHANLER LAW GROUP | PFEIFFER THIGPEN & FITZGIBBON LLP |
| By: Chifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER | By: Thomas N. FitzGibbon Attorneys for Defendant K&M/NORDIC COMPANY, INC. |

___ +

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMENT

15. MODIFICATION

I

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

16. DEFENDANTS SALES DATA

Defendants understand that the sales data provided to counsel for Brimer by Defendants was a material factor upon which Brimer has relied to determine the amount of payments made pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b) under this Agreement. Defendants represent that the sales data provided to plaintiff is true and accurate.

17. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

| AGREED TO: RUSSELL BRIMER | AGREED TO: K&M/NORDIC COMPANY, INC. |
|--|---|
| Date: | Date: |
| By:Russell Brimer | By: |
| APPROVED AS TO FORM: Date: 5 2 05 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: Date: |
| CHANLER LAW GROUP | PFEIFFER THIGPEN & FITZGIBBON LLP |
| By: Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER | By: Thomas N. FitzGibbon Attorneys for Defendant K&M/NORDIC COMPANY, INC. |

15. MODIFICATION

- 14

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

16. DEFENDANTS SALES DATA

Defendants understand that the sales data provided to counsel for Brimer by Defendants was a material factor upon which Brimer has relied to determine the amount of payments made pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b) under this Agreement. Defendants represent that the sales data provided to plaintiff is true and accurate.

17. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this

| Consent Judgment. AGREED TO: EUSSELL BRIMER Date: | AGREED TO: K&M/NORDIC COMPANY, INC. Date: 4/27/05 |
|---|--|
| Hy:Russell Brimer | By: Polson I Collings |
| APPROVED AS TO FORM: Date: CHANLER LAW GROUP | APPROVED AS TO FORM: Date: 4/27/2005 PFEIFFER THIOPEN & FITZGIBBON LLP |
| By:Clifford A. Chanler | By: Nomas N. FitzGibbon |
| Attorneys for Plaintiff FUSSELL BRIMER | Attorneys for Defendant K&M/NORDIC COMPANY, INC. |

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMENT

| 1 | | |
|--|---|--|
| AGREED TO: FOLLETT CORPORATION Date: 4/23/6 | AGREED TO: BARNES & NOBLE COLLEGE BOOKSELLERS, INC. Date: | |
| Much | , | |
| By: Millelluclisa | By: | |
| Its: EVP, GC & SER'Y | Its: | |
| APPROVED AS TO FORM: | AFPROVED AS TO FORM: | |
| Date: 29 April 2005 | Date: | |
| SEYFARTH SHAW LLP | BRYAN CAVE LLP | |
| - MOD Mile | · | |
| Todd O. Maiden | By: Adam J. Thurston | |
| Attorneys for Defendant FOLLETT CORPORATION | Attorneys for Defendant BARNES & NOBLE COLLEGE | |
| | BOOKSELLERS, INC. | |
| Having reviewed the foregoing Stipulation and the Motion to Approve Consent Judgmen | | |
| and finding that the Proposed Consent Judgment complies with the requirements of Proposition | | |
| 65 and its implementing regulations and that it is in the public interest to approve and enter the | | |
| foregoing Consent Judgment: | | |
| it is so ordered, adjudgei | D AND DECREED. | |
| Thurs. | | |
| Date: ! | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT | |
| PTF: 2589.4 | | |
| | | |
| į | | |
| | | |
| į | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| STOPULATION AND (PROPOSED) CONSENT | 18 | |

| | İ | | |
|--------|--|--|--|
| I 2 | FOLLETT CORPORATION | AGREED TO: BARNES & NOBLE COLLEGE BOOKSELLERS, INC. | |
| 3 | | Date: April 28, 2005 | |
| 4 | By: | By: Jul Friedman V. P. bend Its: At & merley | |
| 5 | Its: | Its: _ Moraled | |
| 6 7 | APPROVED AS TO BODM. | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | |
| 8 | Date: | Date: April 30, 2005 | |
| 9 | SEYFARTH SHAW LLP | BRYAN CAVE LLP | |
| 10 | ļ | $C \sim C_0$ | |
| 11 | By: | By: | |
| 12 | Attorneys for Defendant FOLLETT CORPORATION | Attorneys for Defendant BARNES & NOBLE COLLEGE | |
| 13 | | BOOKSELLERS, INC. | |
| 14 | Having reviewed the foregoing Sti | pulation and the Motion to Approve Consent Judgment, | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | 65 and its implementing regulations and that it is in the public interest to approve and enter the | | |
| 17 | foregoing Consent Judgment: | The property of the second sec | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AN | D DECREED. | |
| 20 | Date: | | |
| 21 | | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT | |
| 22 | PTF: 2589.4 | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| · | | 18 | |
| ı | STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMENT | | |

Exhibit A All ceramic and glass beverageware with colored designs and/or artwork on the exterior including, but not limited to:

Tulip Glass 20 oz. 2/Clear/(#8499239) Shot Glass (#6 41139 51261 5) Shooter (#6 41139 11101 6)