CLIFFORD A. CHANLER (SBN 135534)	Endarcod		
71 Elm Street, Suite 8	CLERK OF THE SUPTRIOR COURT		
New Canaan, CT 06840	JUDGMENT FILED AND ENTERED ON		
Telephone: (203) 966-9911 Facsimile: (203) 801-5222	OODGINEITT TIEED X:		
13 14	AUG 2 5 ZUUD		
Paras Law Group	M. GUERRENO		
STEPHEN S. SÂYAD (SBN 104866) 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216	By		
Mill Valley, CA 94941	Deput Olek		
Telephone: (415) 380-9222			
Facsimle: (415) 380-9223			
Attorneys for Plaintiff			
RUSSELL BRIMER			
2			
Bingham McCutchen LLP TRENTON H. NORRIS (SBN 164781)			
SARAH ESMAILI (SBN 206053)			
Three Embarcadero Center			
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067			
Γelephone: (415) 393-2000 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286			
473) 373-2200			
Attorneys for Defendant RAIDER IMAGE LLC			
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
COUNTY OF A	LAMEDA		
	121122		
	RG-05-194720		
RUSSELL BRIMER,	No. CGC-04-436843		
Plaintiff,	INDONOGENA WIN OF THE		
i idilitiri,	[P ROPOSE D] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF		
ν.	CONSENT JUDGMENT		
THE DAIDED MACE LLC. THE CARLAND	*		
THE RAIDER IMAGE, LLC; THE OAKLAND RAIDERS; AND DOES 1 through 150,			
Defendants.			
	83		

1	In the above-entitled action, Pla	aintiff Russell Brimer and Defendant The Raider		
2	Image, LLC, having agreed through their respe	ective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to		
3	the terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and after issuing an Order			
4	Approving Proposition 65 Settlement Agreeme	ent and Consent Judgment;		
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, A	DJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to		
6	Code of Civil Procedure section 664.5, judgme	ent is entered in accordance with the terms of the		
7	Order Approving Proposition 65 Settlement A	greement and Consent Judgment, between the		
8	parties.			
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
10	DATED: AUG 2 9 2005	THOMAS A. HASCH		
11	2	HON, THOMAS RASCH		
12				
13	76			
14				
15				
16				
17		Name of the state		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				

1	Chanler Law Group	
	CLIFFORD A. CHANLER (SBN 135534)	
2	71 Elm Street, Suite 8	
	New Canaan, CT 06840	
3	Telephone: (203) 966-9911	ENDORSED
	Facsimile: (203) 801-5222	
4		ALAMEDA COUNTY
	Paras Law Group	
5	STEPHEN S. SÁYAD (SBN 104866)	AUG 2 9 2005
155	655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216	TOTAL COLUT
6	Mill Valley, CA 94941	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
1723	Telephone: (415) 380-9222	By
7	Facsimle: (415) 380-9223	Depaty
0		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
	RUSSELL BRIMER	
9	D' I MALL IID	
10	Bingham McCutchen LLP	
10	TRENTON H. NORRIS (SBN 164781)	
11	SARAH ESMAILI (SBN 206053) Three Embarcadero Center	
11	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067	
12	Telephone: (415) 393-2000	
1.00	Facsimile: (415) 393-2086	
13	1 desimile. (+13) 373-2200	
	Attorneys for Defendant	
14	THE RAIDER IMAGE, LLC	
	Time Tampers Ministry, page	
15		
	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
16		or o
	COUNTY OF A	LAMEDA
17		8
18		and a second in the property of the second s
8-91/270	RUSSELL BRIMER,	No. RG-05-194720
19	1227	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
121201	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING
20	***	PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT
	V.	AND CONSENT JUDGMENT
21	THE DAIDED DAIGN AND THE CASE AND	
22	THE RAIDER IMAGE, LLC; THE OAKLAND	
22	RAIDERS; AND DOES 1 through 150,	
22	Defendants.	
23	Defendants.	
24		
74		
25		
-		
26		2

1		Plair	ntiff Russell Br	rimer and Defendar	nt The Raider Image, LLC, hav	ing agreed
2	through their	ir respe	ctive counsel th	nat judgment be ent	tered pursuant to the terms of t	he Consent
3	Judgment entered into by the above-referenced parties and attached hereto as Exhibit A; and					
4	after consideration of the papers submitted and the arguments presented, the Court finds that the					
5	settlement a	greeme	nt set out in the	e attached Consent	Judgment meets the criteria es	tablished by
6	Health & Sa	afety Co	ode section 252	49.7, in that:		
7		1.	The health h	hazard warning tha	t is required by the Consent Ju	dgment
8	complies with Health & Safety Code section 25249.7;					
9		2.	The reimbur	rsement of fees and	costs to be paid pursuant to th	ne parties'
10	Consent Judgment is reasonable under California law; and					
11	 The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent 					onsent
12	Judgment is reasonable.					
13	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Judgment be entered in the case					
14	referenced a	bove, ir	accordance w	rith the terms of the	Consent Judgment, attached h	ereto as
15	Exhibit A.					
16			. 0.12			
17	DATED:	PLE	g 3 2005	**		
18					THOMAS A. RASCH	
19				***	HON. THOMAS RASCH	
20						
21						
22						
23				13		
24						
25						
26						

2	Stephen S. Sayad (State Bar No. 104866) Laralei S. Paras (State Bar No. 203319) PARAS LAW GROUP				
3	655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216 Mill Valley, CA 94941				
4	Telephone: (415) 380-9222 Facsimile: (415) 380-9223				25
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Russell Brimer			¥	
6	Russen Brimer				
7	Trenton H. Norris (State Bar No. 164781) Sarah Esmaili (State Bar No. 206053)				
8	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP	OF 19 00		8	
9	Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067	12 AS 6	101		
10	Telephone: (415) 393-2000 Facsimile: (415) 393-2286			B =	
11	Attorneys for Defendant				
12	The Raider Image, LLC				
13	a II II II				
14	185 20				
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA		
16	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMI	EDA – OAKL	AND BRANC	Н	
17	UNLIMITED CIVIL	JURISDICTI	NO		
18	10 to 100				
19	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No.	RG-05-1947	720	
20	Plaintiff,	CTIDIU 40			
21	v.	ORDER R	TION AND [P E: CONSENT	ROPOSEI JUDGMI	D] ENT
22	THE RAIDER IMAGE, LLC; THE OAKLAND RAIDERS; and DOES 1 through 150,				
23	Defendants.				
24			20		
25	88	20			
26	1. INTRODUCTION		報		
27	1.1 Plaintiff and Settling Defendant.	This Consent J	udgment is ent	ered into b	y and
28	between plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereafter "Mr. Br			0.050505	W45007856
	1			,	
	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. RG 05194720 SF/21613602.6				

defendant The Raider Image, LLC (hereafter "The Raider Image"), with Plaintiff and The Raider Image collectively referred to as the "Parties" and Brimer and The Raider Image each being a "Party." Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, Brimer shall be deemed to have voluntarily dismissed his complaint without prejudice as to defendant The Oakland Raiders.

- 1.2 Plaintiff. Mr. Brimer is an individual residing in Alameda County, California, who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- 1.3 General Allegations. Plaintiff alleges that The Raider Image has manufactured, distributed and/or sold in the State of California certain Marble Mugs, Mixing Glasses, and other glassware products with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface with materials that contain lead and/or cadmium, chemicals that are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq., also known as "Proposition 65", to cause cancer and birth defects (and other reproductive harm). Lead and cadmium shall be referred to herein as the "Listed Chemicals."
- 1.4 Product Descriptions. The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: all glass and ceramic beverageware with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface, including, by way of example and without limitation, the products listed on Exhibit A hereto. Such products collectively are referred to herein as the "Products."
- 1.5 Notices of Violation. Beginning on November 24, 2004, Brimer served The Raider Image and various public enforcement agencies with documents, entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided The Raider Image and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that The Raider Image was in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that certain products that it sold expose users in California to lead and/or cadmium.
- 1.6 Complaint. On January 20, 2005, Brimer, in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Complaint" or the "Action") in the Superior Court for the County of Alameda against The Raider Image, The Oakland Raiders, and

Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to one or more of the Listed Chemicals contained in the Products sold by The Raider Image.

- 1.7 No Admission. The Raider Image and the Oakland Raiders deny the material factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's Notices and Complaint and maintains that all of the Products that it has sold and distributed in California including the Products have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by The Raider Image or the Oakland Raiders of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by The Raider Image of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However, nothing in this Section shall diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of The Raider Image or the Oakland Raiders under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.8 Consent to Jurisdiction. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over The Raider Image as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment and to enforce the provisions thereof.
- 1.9 Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Effective Date" shall be May 19, 2005.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PROPOSITION 65

2.1 WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION OBLIGATIONS

(a) Required Warnings. After April 15, 2005, The Raider Image shall not offer for sale in California any Products containing the Listed Chemicals, unless warnings are given in accordance with one or more provisions in subsection 2.2 below. This warning requirement, and the warning requirement set forth in subsection 2.2 below shall not apply to Reformulated Products as defined in subsection 2.3 below.

28

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

posted at or near the point of sale or display of the Products that state (the bracketed language

(i) Point of Sale warnings may be provided through one or more signs

may be included or not included at The Raider Image's discretion):

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain [lead and/or cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

or

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of the following glassware products sold in this store contain [lead and/or cadmium,] chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

A point of sale warning provided pursuant to subsection 2.2(b)(i) (ii) shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase and shall be placed or written in a manner such that the consumer understands to which specific Products the warnings apply so as to minimize if not eliminate the chances that an over-warning situation will arise. Any changes to the language or format of the warning required for Products by this subsection shall only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

21

REFORMULATION STANDARDS: Products satisfying the conditions of 2.3 Section 2.3(a) and 2.3(b) are referred to as "Reformulated Products", and are defined as follows: (a) If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the

25

Product do not extend into the top 20 millimeters of the ware (i.e., only appear below the exterior portion of the lip and rim area as defined by American Society of Testing and Materials Standard

26

Test Method C 927-99, hereinafter the "Lip and Rim Area"), produce a test result no higher than 1.0 micrograms ("ug") of lead and 4.0 micrograms (ug) of cadmium using a Ghost WipeTM test

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

applied on the decorated portions of the surface of the Product performed as outlined in NIOSH Method No. 9100, such Product is a Reformulated Product; or

If the Product utilizes paints or other materials for all colored artwork, designs or markings containing six one-hundredths of one percent (0.06%) lead and cadmium or less by weight as measured at The Raider Image's option, either before or after the material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation (as distinguished from detection) of less than 600 parts per million ("ppm"), such Product is a Reformulated Product.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS.

Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Pursuant to 3.1 Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b), The Raider Image shall pay a total of \$35,000 in civil penalties. The payment will be made not later than May 20, 2005, and made payable to "Chanler Law Group in Trust For Russell Brimer," and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel (on or before the due date) at the following address:

> CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

- In the event that The Raider Image pays any penalty and the Consent Judgment is not thereafter approved and entered by the Court, Brimer shall return any penalty funds paid under this agreement within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a written request from The Raider Image following notice of the issuance of the Court's decision.
- Apportionment of Penalties Received. After Court approval of this (b) Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 6, all penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Plaintiff as provided by Health & Safety Code

§ 25249.12(d). Plaintiff shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties in accordance with this Section.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. The Raider Image then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date of the Agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine, The Raider Image shall reimburse Plaintiff and his counsel for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to The Raider Image's attention, and *inter alia*, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. The Raider Image shall pay Plaintiff and his counsel \$48,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs. The payment shall be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group" and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before May 20, 2005, at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

4.2 Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, The Raider Image shall have no further obligation with regard to reimbursement of Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs with regard to the Products covered in this Action.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 Plaintiff's Release of The Raider Image and the Oakland Raiders. In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public,

hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against The Raider Image, the Oakland Raiders, and each of their respective officers, directors, agents, employees, consultants, representatives, partners, shareholders, affiliates, associations, owners, interest holders, entity owners (and the officers, directors, owners, shareholders, agents and employees of any of its owners, shareholders, interest holders or entity owners), and their retailers, dealers, customers, purchasers, users, licensees, subsidiaries and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees (collectively, "The Raider Image Releasees") arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq., and Business & Professions Code § 17500 et seq., and including but not limited to The Raider Image's or The Raider Image Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products. It is specifically understood and agreed to by the Parties that this release shall not extend upstream to the Product manufacturers or to any Product distributor or supplier from whom The Raider Image purchased any Products, including but not limited to Hunter Manufacturing.

The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq., and Business & Professions Code § 17500 et seq., that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaint against The Raider Image for its alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of Listed Chemicals in the Products.

In addition, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his attorneys, and their agents, waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all Claims against the The Raider Image Releasees arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq., related to each of The Raider Image Releasees' alleged failures to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed

 Chemicals contained in the Products and for all actions or statements made by The Raider Image or its attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 or Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 by The Raider Image. Provided however, Plaintiff shall remain free to institute any form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that The Raider Image's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (so long as The Raider Image complies with the terms of the Consent Judgment) concerning The Raider Image's and the Raider Image Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65, Business and Professions Code § 17200 et. seq., and Business & Professions Code § 17500 et seq., with respect to the Listed Chemicals in the Products.

5.2 The Raider Image's Release of Plaintiff. The Raider Image and The Oakland Raiders waive all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, or his attorneys or representatives, for all actions taken or statements made by Plaintiff and his attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq., and Business & Professions Code § 17500 et seq. in this Action.

COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Plaintiff or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. ATTORNEYS' FEES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Consent

Judgment, the prevailing party shall, except as otherwise provided herein, be entitled to recover
reasonable and necessary costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred from the resolution of
such dispute.

GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then The Raider Image shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected.

10. NOTICES

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (1) first-class, registered, certified mail, return receipt requested, or (ii) overnight courier on either Party by the other at the following addresses: (Either Party, from time to time, may, pursuant to the methods prescribed above, specify a change of address to which all future notices and other communications shall be sent.)

To The Raider Image:

Amy Trask, Chief Executive The Raider Image, LLC 1220 Harbor Bay Parkway Alameda, CA 94502

With a copy to:

Trenton H. Norris Bingham McCutchen LLP Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067

To Plaintiff:

Clifford A. Chanler Chanler Law Group 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

9.

22.

11. NO ADMISSIONS

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by The Raider Image of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by The Raider Image of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by The Raider Image. The Raider Image reserves all of its rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any party under Proposition 65 or otherwise. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect The Raider Image's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

12. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

13. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Plaintiff shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the County of Alameda unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.

14. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, the Parties agree to file a Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Joint Motion"), the first draft of which The Raider Image's counsel shall prepare, within a reasonable period of time after the Execution

Date (i.e., not to exceed thirty (30) days unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties' counsel based on unanticipated circumstances). Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare a declaration in support of the Joint Motion which shall, inter alia, set forth support for the fees and costs to be reimbursed pursuant to Section 4. The Raider Image shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, § 1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing or related proceedings thereon. 15. MODIFICATION This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

AUTHORIZATION The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on ' half of their 2 respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and unditions of this 3 Consent Judgment AGREED TO: AGREED TO: Date: 2-70-02 Date! 9 10 By: Defendant THE RAIDER IMAI 3, LLC Plaid of RUSSELL BRIMER 11 12 APPROVED AS TO FORM: AFPROVED AS TO FORM: 13 14 Date: 5/10/05 Date: 15 16 BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLF PARAS LAW GROUP 17 Trenta. H. C. By 18 Stephen S. Sayad Attorney for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER Treaton H. Notris 19 Attomey for Defendant THE RAIDER IMAGE LLC 20 21 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 24 Dete: 25 JUDGE OF THE SUP LIOR COURT 26 27

1	16. AUTHORIZATION
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their
3	respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this
4	Consent Judgment.
5	
6	1 11 20
7	AGREED TO: AGREED TO: You kind
8	AGREED TO: Johns Church Date: 5-20-05
9.	
10	By: Seffrey E. B. Crew Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER Defendant THE RAIDER IMAGE, LLC
11	Planum RUSSELL BRIMER Detenden 1110 14110 at 1110 14110
12	APPROVED AS TO FORM: APPROVED AS TO FORM:
13	
14	Date: 5/19/05
15	
16	PARAS LAW GROUP BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
17	By: Denta H. C.
19	Stephen S. Sayad Trenton H. Norris Attorney for Defendant
20	Attorney for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER THE RAIDER IMAGE, LLC
21	
22	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	Date:
25	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
26	
27	
28	13
	Opening of the Law (Discountry) Content for Contents

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. RG-05194720 SF/21613602.6

1	AUTHORIZATION	
2	The undersigned are authorized to	execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their
3	respective Parties and have read, understo	ood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this
4	Consent Judgment.	
5		
6 7	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
8	Date;	Date:
10		
11	By: Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	By: Defendant THE RAIDER IMAGE, LLC
12		
13	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
14	Date: 5/20/2005	Date:
15	0/20/2003	Date.
16	PARAS LAW GROUP	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
17 18	By: July Burns for	By:
19	Stephen S. Sayad Attorney for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Trenton H. Norris Attorney for Defendant
20	KOBBBLL BRUMBK	THE RAIDER IMAGE, LLC
22		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	Date:	
25		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
26		
27		
28	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSE	13

SF/21613602.6

Exhibit A

All glass and/or ceramic glasses, mugs, bowls, teapots, and other glassware with colored designs and/or artwork on the exterior, including but not limited to:

11 oz. Marble Mug (#605001090000)
17 oz. Mixing Glass (#605001060000)

6

7

8

9
10

. 12