WILLIAM VERICK (BAR NO. 140972) FREDRIC EVENSON (BAR NO 198059) 2 KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER 424 First Street ENDORSED | 1 L E D | Sen Francisco County Superior Court 3 Eureka, California 95501 DAVID H. WILLIAMS (BAR NO. 144479) 4 BRIAN ACREE (BAR NO. 202505) MAR 2 8 2006 5 2070 Allston Way, Suite 300 Berkeley, California 94712-3157 CORDON DABK-LI, Clerk 6 Telephone: (510) 647-1900 PHILOMENADIAS Deputy Clark 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 10 11 MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE Case No. CGC-05-438414 12 FOUNDATION. Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT 13 14 V. 15 WINNER INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al. 16 Defendant. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Notice applies to all items set forth in Exhibit "A" hereto.

2	1.1 On or about November 16, 2004 plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE
3	FOUNDATION ("Matecl"), provided a 60-day notice of violation ("Notice") to the California
4	Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every
5	California city with a population greater than 750,000, and defendant Winner International, Inc.
6	("Defendant"), alleging that Defendant, sells and has sold PVC coated locks, and lock and security
7	cables in California, and that those sales are in violation of certain provisions of the Safe Drinking
8	Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code sections 25249.5, et seq.
9	("Proposition 65"), by knowingly and intentionally exposing persons to chemicals, including lead and
10	lead compounds, lead phosphate, lead acetate and lead subacetate, (collectively, "lead"), known to the
11	State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm, without first

1.2 On or about February 4, 2005, plaintiff Mateel, acting in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d) and on behalf of the general public pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17204 ("Plaintiff"), filed a Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief in San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. 317279 ("Complaint") against Defendant based on the allegations contained in the Notice.

providing a clear and reasonable warning. Plaintiff Mateel and Defendant agree and stipulate that the

- 1.3 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Mateel and Defendant stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised based on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom.
- 1.4 Mateel and Defendant enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of disputed claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation.

 This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any allegation made in the Notice or the Complaint, each and every allegation of which Defendant denies, nor may this Consent

1 Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or 2 liability on the part of Defendant. 3 4 2. **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF-REFORMULATION** 5 2.1 After January 15, 2006, all PVC coated locks, and lock and security cables, including 6 but not limited to the products specifically described in Exhibit A (hereinafter collectively "Covered 7 Products"), ordered by or otherwise obtained by Defendant from its suppliers shall meet the 8 following criteria: 9 The formulation of PVC used shall have no intentionally added lead. (a) 10 (b) A representative sample of the bulk PVC used to manufacture the Covered 11 Products has been tested for lead content and shown lead content by weight of 12 less than 0.02%, or 200 parts per million ("ppm"), using a test method of 13 sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantification (as distinguished 14 from detection) of less than 200 ppm. 15 2.2 Defendant may comply with the above requirements by relying on information 16 obtained from its suppliers of the products and PVC utilized therein provided such reliance is in good 17 faith. 18 3. **MONETARY RELIEF** 19 3.1 Upon entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, Defendant shall make the 20 following payments on the following schedule: 21 Within 15 days of entry of this Consent Judgment, Winner shall pay \$10,000 (ten thousand 22 dollars) to the Klamath Environmental Law Center to cover a portion of Plaintiff's attorneys fees and 23 costs. 24 Within 45 days of entry of this Consent Judgment, Winner shall pay and additional \$10,000 25 (ten thousand dollars) to the Klamath Environmental Law Center to cover a portion of Plaintiff's 26 attorneys fees and costs. 27 Within 75 days of entry of this Consent Judgment, Winner shall pay an additional \$5,000

(five thousand dollars) to the Klamath Environmental Law Center to cover a portion of Plaintiff's

- 1 attorneys' fees and costs, and pay \$5,000 (five thousand dollars) to Californians for Alternatives to
- 2 Toxics.
- Within 105 days of entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay \$12,500 (twelve thousand five hundred dollars) to the Ecological Rights Foundation.
- 5 Both the Ecological Rights Foundation and Californians for Alternatives to Toxics are
- 6 California non-profit organizations that advocates for workers' and consumers' safety and for
- 7 awareness and reduction of toxic exposures. The foregoing settlement payments shall be mailed to
- 8 the attention of William Verick, Esq., Klamath Environmental Law Center, 424 First Street, Eureka,
- 9 California 95501, who shall provide them to the respective organizations within fifteen (15) days of
- 10 receipt.
- 11 3.2 Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, plaintiff and Defendant
- shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.

13 4. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT/STIPULATED REMEDIES

- 14 4.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment are enforceable by and among the parties hereto
- or, with respect to the injunctive relief provided for herein, by the California Attorney General.

16 5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 17 5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between the Plaintiff
- acting on behalf of itself and, (as to those matters referenced in the Notice Letters) in the public
- interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d) and on behalf of the general public
- 20 pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17204, and Defendant concerning any violation of
- 21 Proposition 65 and/or the Unfair Competition Act regarding any claims made or which could have
- been made in the Notice and/or the Complaint, or any other statutory or common law claim that could
- 23 have been asserted against Defendant and/or its affiliates, parent or subsidiary corporations, divisions,
- successors, officers, directors, assigns, distributors, retailers, and/or customers for failure to provide
- clear, reasonable, and lawful warnings of exposure to lead contained in or otherwise associated with
- 26 Covered Products manufactured, sold or distributed by, for, or on behalf of, Defendant. Compliance
- 27 with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning
- compliance by Defendant and/or its affiliates, parent or subsidiary corporations, divisions, successors,

officers, directors, assigns, distributors, retailers, and/or customers with the requirements of Proposition 65 and the Unfair Competition Act with respect to lead contained in or otherwise associated with Covered Products.

- 5.2 As to any claims, violations (except violations of this Consent Judgment), actions, damages, costs, penalties or causes of action which may arise or have arisen after the original date of entry of this consent judgment, compliance by Defendant with the terms of this consent judgment shall be deemed to be full and complete compliance with Proposition 65 and the Unfair Competition Act as to claims regarding exposure to lead in Covered Products.
- 5.3 In furtherance of the foregoing, Plaintiff hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE
CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT
THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM
MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE
DEBTOR."

Plaintiff understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if Plaintiff suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, they will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Defendant, or its parent, subsidiaries or affiliates, or any of its customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute, market or sell the Covered Products. Furthermore, Plaintiff acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such claims which may exist as of the date of this release but which Plaintiff does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

1	6. SERVICE ON THE CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL						
2	6.1 Plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the						
3	California Attorney General on behalf of the Parties so that the California Attorney General may						
4	review this Consent Judgment at least forty five (45) days prior to its submittal to the Court for						
5	approval. As soon as is feasible following the forty-fifth (45th) day after the date on which the						
6	California Attorney General has been served with the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment						
7	and in the absence of any written objection by the California Attorney General to the terms of this						
8	Consent Judgment or written request by the California Attorney General for additional time, the						
9	Parties shall then submit promptly this Consent Judgment to the Court for approval. Prior to						
0	submittal to the Court for approval, Plaintiff shall attach a proof of service attesting that this Consent						
1	Judgment has been served on the California Attorney General and the manner and date on which that						
12	service was made.						
13	7. <u>APPLICATION OF JUDGMENT</u>						
14	7.1 The obligations of this Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon any and						
15	all plaintiffs, acting in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d) and						
16	on behalf of the general public pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17204, and						
17	Defendant and the successors or assigns of any of them.						
18	8. <u>MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT</u>						
19	8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties						
20	and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as						
21	provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.						
22	9. <u>NOTICE</u>						
23	9.1 When any Party is entitled to receive any notice or report under this Consent						
24	Judgment, the notice or report shall be sent by U.S. mail or overnight courier service to:						
25	(a) For Mateel: William Verick, Esq., Klamath Environmental Law Center,						
26	424 First Street Furnis California 05501; and						

1 2			(b)	For Winner International, Inc.	Winner International, Inc. Attn: Karen Winner Hale 32 West State Street
3					Sharon, PA 16146
4					Winner International, Inc. Jack V. Campbell, VP
5 6					32 West State Street Sharon, PA 16146
7		9.2	Any l	Party may modify the person and	address to whom notice is to be sent by sending
8	each (other Pa	arty not	ice in accordance with this Parag	graph.
9	10.	<u>AUT</u>	HORIT	Y TO STIPULATE	
10		10.1	Each	signatory to this Consent Judgm	ent certifies that he or she is fully authorized by
11	the pa	arty he o	or she re	epresents to enter into this Conse	ent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the
12	party represented and legally to bind that party.				
13	11.	RETI	ENTIO	N OF JURISDICTION	
14		11.1	This	Court shall retain jurisdiction ov	er the matters covered herein and the
15	enforcement and/or application of this Consent Judgment.				
16	12.	<u>ENTI</u>	RE AG	REEMENT	
17		12.1	This	Consent Judgment contains the s	ole and entire, agreement and understanding of
18	the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions,				
19	negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise,				
20	express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other				
21	agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind				
22	any of the parties.				
23	13.	GOV	ERNIN	<u>G LAW</u>	
24		13.1	The v	validity, construction and perform	nance of this Consent Judgment shall be
25	gover	ned by	the law	s of the State of California.	
26					
27					

1	14. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>					
2	14.1 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect					
3	and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.					
4						
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	,)				
6	DATED: 1/21/06	By Law Werin All				
7	, ,	Its: Cla				
8		Defendant Winner International, Inc.				
9						
10						
11	DATED:	By:				
12		Its:				
13		Plaintiff, Matecl Environmental Justice Foundation				
14						
15						
16						
17	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGE	ED AND DECREED:				
18	000	MARKET OF OTHOROUGH				
19	Dated: MAR 28 2006	RONALD E. QUIDACHAY				
20		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT				
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

}

1	14. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>					
2	14.1 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect,					
3	and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.					
4						
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED:					
6	DATED:	By:				
7		Its:				
8		Defendant Winner International, Inc.				
9		~				
10		oli x10:01				
11	DATED:	By Chillan Voalz				
12		Its:				
13		Plaintiff, Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation				
14						
15						
16						
17	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:					
18						
19	Dated:					
20		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT				
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						



November 16, 2004

EDWARD G. WEIL
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
P.O. BOX 70550
OAKLAND CA 94612-0550

ATTORNEY GENERAL COPY CONTAINS OFFICIAL INFORMATION PURSUANT TO EVIDENCE CODE §1040

Greetings:

This office and the Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation ("Mateel") give you notice that the private business on the attached service list has been, is, will be and threatens to be in violation of Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6. Both this office and Mateel are private enforcers of Proposition 65, both may be contacted at the below listed address and telephone number, and I am a responsible individual at both Mateel and this office. The above referenced violations occur when California residents come into contact with locks, and/or lock or security cables, that are coated with polyvinyl chloride plastic ("PVC"). The PVC plastic used to coat these locks, and lock or security cables, contains lead and lead compounds ("lead"), chemicals known to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm. California residents are exposed to lead when they handle these PVC-coated locks, and/or lock or security cables. Lead in the PVC plastic is transferred from the plastic to their hands and to other parts of their skin. This lead is then absorbed through the skin, taken into cuts and abrasions, absorbed through mucous membranes, and transferred from the skin to the mouth via oral contact either directly with the plastic, from oral contact with the lead-contaminated skin, and when lead is transferred from contaminated skin to cigarettes and food and the contaminated cigarettes and food are smoked and/or eaten. These lead exposures thus occur via the dermal absorption, subcutaneous, mucus membrane, ingestion and inhalation routes. The listed business did not and does not provide people with clear and reasonable warnings before it exposes them to lead. These violations have occurred every day since at least November 16, 2000, and will continue every day until the lead is removed from PVC used to coat their locks, and lock or security cables or until clear and reasonable warnings are given. The above-referenced violations are alleged for occupational exposures as well as for consumer and environmental exposures. We do not, however, allege occupational exposure violations as to any locks or cables made outside of California, except as to workplaces your company itself maintains in California. Exposures constituting Proposition 65 environmental exposure violations occur both on and off your company's property and in each of California's 58 counties.

Cordially,

William Verick

EDWARD G. WEIL
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY
GENERAL
P.O. BOX 70550
OAKLAND CA 94617-0550

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF OAKLAND 505 14TH ST 12TH FLOOR OAKLAND, CA 94612

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO CITY HALL ROOM 206 400 VAN NESS SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF SACRAMENTO 980 9th Street, 10th Floor SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF SAN JOSE 151 W. MISSION ST. SAN JOSE, CA 95110

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF LOS ANGELES "200 N. MAIN ST. LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF SAN DIEGO 202 C ST. FLOOR 3 SAN DIEGO CA 92181

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 225 FALLON ST. #9 OAKLAND, CA 94612

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF ALPINE P.O. BOX 248 MARKLEEVILLE, CA 96120

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF AMADOR 108 COURT ST. SUITE 202 JACKSON, CA 95642

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF BUTTE 25 COUNTY CENTER DR. OROVILLE, CA 95965

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF CALAVERAS GOVERNMENT CENTER 891 MOUNTAIN RANCH ROAD SAN ANDREAS, CA95249

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF COLUSA 547 MARKET ST. COLUSA, CA 95932

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA P.O. BOX 670 MARTINEZ, CA 94553

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF DEL NORTE 450 H ST #171 CRESCENT CITY, CA 95531

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF EL DORADO 515 MAIN ST. PLACERVILLE, CA 95667

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF FRESNO 2720 TULARE ST #1000 FRESNO, CA 93721 OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF GLENN P.O. BOX 430 WILLOWS, CA 95988

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT 825 5TH ST. EUREKA, CA 95501

OUNTY OF IMPERIAL COURTHOUSE, FLOOR 2 939 W. MAIN ST EL CENTRO, CA 92243

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF INYO P.O. DRAWER D INDEPENDENCE, CA 93526

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF KERN 1215 TRUXTUN AVE. FLOOR 4 BAKERSFIELD, CA 93301

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF KINGS 1400 W. LACEY BLVD. HANFORD, CA 93230

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF LAKE 255 N. FORBES ST # 424 LAKEPORT, CA 95453

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF LASSEN COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING 07 NEVADA ST. SUSANVILLE, CA 96130

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 18000 CRIMINAL COURTS BUILDING 210 W. TEMPLE ST. LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MADERA 209 W. YOSEMITE AVE, MADERA, CA 93637

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MARIN HALL OF JUSTICE #183 SAN RAFAEL, CA 94903

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MARIPOSA P.O. BOX 748 MARIPOSA, CA 95338

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MENDOCINO 301 S. STATE ST. UKIAH, CA 95482

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MERCED 2222 M ST, MERCED, CA 95340

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MODOC P.O. BOX 1171 ALTURAS, CA 9610

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MONO P.O. BOX 617 BRIDGEPORT, CA 93517

SERVICE LIST

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF MONTEREY 240 CHURCH ST. P.O. BOX 180 SALINAS, CA 93902

COUNTY OF NAPA 931 PARKWAY MALL P.O. BOX 720 NAPA, CA 94559-0720

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF NEVADA COURTHOUSE ANNEX NEVADA CITY, CA 95959

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF ORANGE 700 CIVIC CENTER DR WEST #A-200 SANTA ANA. CA 92701

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF PLACER 11562 B AVE AUBURN, CA 95603-2687

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF PLUMAS P.O. BOX 10716 OUINCY, CA 95971

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE 4075 MAIN ST. RIVERSIDE, CA 92501

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO P.O. BOX 749 SACRAMENTO, CA 95804

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN BENITO 419 4TH ST HOLLISTER, CA 95023

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDING 316 MT. VIEW AVE. SAN BERNARDING, CA 92415-9004

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 101 W. BROADWAY #1440 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 850 BRYANT ST #322 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN 222 E. WEBER AYE #202 STOCKTON. CA 95200

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER #450 SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93408

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN MATEO HALL OF JUSTICE AND RECORDS REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 1105 SANTA BARBARA ST. SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA 70 W. HEDDING ST. SAN JOSE, CA 95110

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ 701 OCEAN ST. #200 SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SHASTA 1525 COURT ST. REDDING, CA 96001

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SIERRA P.O. BOX 457 DOWNIEVILLE, CA 95936 OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SISKIYOU P.O. BOX 986 YREKA, CA 96097

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SOLANO 600 UNION AVE FAIRFIELD, CA 94533

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SONOMA 600 ADMINISTRATION DR. #212J SANTA ROSA, CA 95403

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF STANISLAUS 1100 I ST. #200 MODESTO, CA 95354

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SUTTER 1160 CIVIC CENTER BLVD. #A YUBA CITY, CA 95993

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF TEHAMA P.O. BOX 519 REDBLUFF, CA 96080

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF TRINITY P.O. BOX 310 WEAVERVILLE, CA 96093

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF TULARE COURTHOUSE #224 VISALIA, CA 93291

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF TUOLUMNE 2 S. GREEN ST. SONORA, CA 95370

VENTURA COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 6'6 GREGORY BROSE D.D.A. 4245 MARKET ST. #205 VENTURA, CA 93003

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF YOLO 204 4TH ST P.O. BOX 1247 WOODLAND, CA 95695

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF YUBA 215 5TH ST. MARYSVILLE, CA 95901

James E. Winner, Jr., CEO Winner International Corp. 32 W. State Street Sharon, PA 16146-1396

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

I, Fredric Evenson, hereby declare: This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notices have violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings. I am the attorney for the noticing party. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the person(s) consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: November 16, 2004

Fredric Evenson

This notice alleges the violation of Proposition 65 with respect to occupational exposures governed by the California State Plan for Occupational Safety and Health. The State Plan incorporates the provisions of Proposition 65, as approved by Federal OSHA on June 6, 1997. This approval specifically placed certain conditions on Proposition 65, including that it does not apply to the conduct of manufacturers occurring outside the State of California. The approval also provides that an employer may use the means of compliances in the general hazard communication requirements to comply with Proposition 65. It also requires that supplemental enforcement is subject to the supervision of the California Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Accordingly, any settlement, civil complaint, or substantive court orders in this matter must be submitted to the Attorney General.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Alison Nichols, declare:

If called, I could and would testify as follows: I am over eighteen. My business address is 424 First Street, Eureka, California, 95501. On November 16, 2004, I caused the attached 60-DAY NOTICE LETTER, or a letter identical in substance, to be served by U.S. Mail on those public enforcement agencies listed on the attached SERVICE LIST; in addition on the same date and by U.S. Mail I caused the attached 60-DAY NOTICE LETTER and PROPOSITION 65: A SUMMARY to be sent by Certified U.S. Mail to the private business entities also listed on the attached SERVICE LIST. I deposited copies of these documents in envelopes, postage pre-paid, with the U.S. Postal Service on the day on which the mail is collected. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on November 16, 2004, at Fureka, California.

ALISON(N)CHOLS