1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Daniel Bornstein (State Bar No. 181711) Laralei S. Paras (State Bar No. 203319) PARAS LAW GROUP 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118  Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER  Stephanie R. Gilford (State Bar No. 217241) STITES & HARBISON PLLC 250 West Main Street, Suite 2300 Lexington, KY 40507 Telephone: (859) 226-2250 Facsimile: (859) 253-9144	ENDORSED FILED San Francisco County Superior Count DEC 1 6 2005 GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk BY: ERICKA LARNAUTI Deputy Clerk	
10 11	Attorneys for Defendant HUNTER MANUFACTURING GROUP, INC.		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	FOR THE CITY AND COUNT	Y OF SAN FRANCISCO	
14			
15	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-05-439569	
16 17	Plaintiff, v.	PROPOSED ORDER APPROVING PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT	
18 19 20 21	HUNTER MANUFACTURING GROUP, INC.; WEST COAST NOVELTY CORP; ALBERTSON'S INC.; and DOES 1 through 150, Defendants.	Date: December 16, 2005 Time: 9:30 A.M. Dept. 301 Judge: Hon. James L. Warren A. JAMES ROBERTSON, T	
22 23 24			
25 26			

1	Plain	tiff Russell Brimer and Defendant Hunter Manufacturing Group, Inc., having	
2	agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the		
3	Consent Judgment entered into by the above-referenced parties and attached hereto as Exhibit A		
4	and after consideration of the papers submitted and the arguments presented, the Court finds that		
5	the settlement agreement set out in the attached Consent Judgment meets the criteria established		
6	by Health & Safety Code section 25249.7, in that:		
7	1.	The health hazard warning that is required by the Consent Judgment	
8	complies with Health & Safety Code section 25249.7;		
9	2.	The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the parties'	
10	Consent Judgment is reasonable under California law; and		
11	3.	The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent	
12	Judgment is reasonable.		
13	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Judgment be entered in the case		
14	referenced above, in	accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment, attached hereto as	
15	Exhibit A.		
16			
17	DATED: DE	C 1 6 2005	
18		A MARCO DODEDTOON IT	
19		A. JAMES ROBERTSON, II HON. <del>JAMES L. WARREN</del>	
20		Judge of the Superior Court	
21		A. JAMES ROBERTSON, II	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

**EXHIBIT A** 

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Stephen S. Sayad (State Bar No. 104866) Laralei S. Paras (State Bar No. 203319) Daniel Bornstein (State Bar No. 181711) PARAS LAW GROUP 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216 Mill Valley, CA 94941 Telephone: (415) 380-9222 Facsimile: (415) 380-9223  Attorneys for Plaintiff Russell Brimer  Stephanie R. Gilford (State Bar No. 217241) STITES & HARBISON PLLC	
9	250 West Main Street, Suite 2300 Lexington, Kentucky 40507	
10	Telephone: (859) 226-2250 Facsimile: (859) 253-9144	
11	Attorneys for Defendant	
12	Hunter Manufacturing Group, Inc.	
13	•	
14		
15	FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
16		
17	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
18	,	
19	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-05-439569
20	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
21	v.	ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT
22	HUNTER MANUFACTURING GROUP, INC.;	
23	WEST COAST NOVELTY CORP.; ALBERTSON'S INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,	
24	Defendants.	
25	1. INTRODUCTION	
26	1,1 Plaintiff and Settling Defendant.	This Consent Judgment is entered into by and
27	between plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereafter "Mr. Brimer" or "Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and	
28		
	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CGC-05-439569	

defendant Hunter Manufacturing Group, Inc. (hereafter "Hunter" or "Defendant"), with Plaintiff and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and Brimer and Hunter each being a "Party."

- 1.2 Plaintiff. Mr. Brimer is an individual residing in Alameda County, California, who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- 1.3 General Allegations. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant has manufactured, distributed and/or sold in the State of California certain Collector Glass Sets, Shot Glasses, Mugs and other glassware and ceramicware with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface with materials that contain lead and/or cadmium, chemicals that are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq., also known as "Proposition 65", to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.
- 1.4 **Product Descriptions.** The products that are covered by this Agreement are glassware and ceramicware with colored artwork and/or designs (containing lead and/or cadmium) including but not limited to the products listed on Exhibit A. Such products collectively are referred to herein as the "Products."
- Notices of Violation. On December 17, 2004, Brimer served Defendant and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided Defendant and such public enforcers with notice alleging that Defendant was in violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that certain glassware products with colored artwork and designs on the exterior that it sold expose users in California to lead. On or before September 16, 2005, Brimer will be serving a supplemental notice on Hunter and all required public enforcers expanding plaintiff's prior allegations concerning the products to include exposures to lead and cadmium from glassware and ceramicware with colored artwork and designs on the exterior ("Supplemental Notice").
- 1.6 Complaint. On March 16, 2005, Brimer, in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Complaint" or the "Action") in the

Superior Court for the County of San Francisco against Hunter Manufacturing Group, Inc., West Coast Novelty Corp., Albertson's Inc., and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to one or more of the listed chemicals contained in the Products. Upon the running of the 60-day period associated with the issuance of the Supplemental Notice, and provided that no authorized public enforcer of Proposition 65 initiates an action against the Noticed Parties based on the additional allegations therein contained in the interim, both the Complaint and this Consent Judgment shall be deemed such that the definition of "Products" as used herein shall be likewise expanded to include ceramicware with colored artwork and designs (containing lead and/or cadmium) on the exterior and glassware with colored artwork and designs (containing lead and/or cadmium) on the exterior. Lead and cadmium shall be referred to herein as the "Listed Chemicals."

- 1.7 No Admission. Hunter denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's Notices and Complaint (as deemed amended) and maintains that all of the products that it has manufactured, distributed, and sold in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However, nothing in this Section shall diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Hunter under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.8 Consent to Jurisdiction. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment and to enforce the provisions thereof.
- 1.9 Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Effective Date" shall be September 12, 2005.

## 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PROPOSITION 65

## 2.1 WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION OBLIGATIONS

(a) Required Warnings. After September 12, 2005, Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, supply, offer for sale or sell in California any Products containing the Listed Chemicals, unless warnings are given in accordance with one or more provisions in subsection 2.2 below. This warning requirement, and the warning requirement set forth in subsection 2.2 below, shall not apply to Reformulated Products as defined in subsection 2.3 below.

## 2.2 CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

(a) **Product Labeling.** A warning is affixed to the packaging, labeling or directly to or on a Product by Defendant, its agents, or the importer, distributor or retailer of the Product that states:

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead and/or cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

or

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Warnings issued for Products pursuant to this subsection shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render them likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use and/or purchase. Any changes to the language or format of the warning required by this subsection shall only be made following: (1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

(b) Point-of-Sale Warnings. Defendant may execute its warning obligations, where applicable, through arranging for the posting of signs at retail outlets in the State of California at which the Products are sold, in accordance with the terms specified in subsections 2.2(b)(i) and 2.2(b)(ii).

(i) If point of sale warnings are to be provided through one or more signs posted at the point of sale or display of the Products, the warning must state:

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead and/or cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

or

WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of the following beverageware products sold in this store contain lead and/or cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. [List specific products to which warning applies.]

(ii) Warnings provided for Products pursuant to this subsection shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render them likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions prior to purchase and shall be placed or written in a manner such that the consumer understands to which *specific* Products the warnings apply so as to minimize if not eliminate the chances that an over-warning situation will arise. Any changes to the language or format of the warning required for Products by this subsection shall only be made following:

(1) approval of Plaintiff; (2) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (3) Court approval.

(iii) If Hunter intends to utilize point-of-sale warnings for sales made to retail outlets to comply with this Consent Judgment, it must provide notice as required by this Consent Judgment to each retailer to whom the Products are shipped for sale in California and obtain the written consent of such retailer to post the required warnings before shipping the Products. Such notice shall include a copy of this Consent Judgment and any required warning materials (including, as appropriate, signs and/or stickers). If Hunter has obtained the written consent of a retailer and transmitted the requisite warnings as provided herein, Hunter shall not be found to have violated this Consent Judgment if it has complied with the terms of this Consent Judgment.

2.3 **REFORMULATION STANDARDS:** Products satisfying the conditions set forth in sections 2.3.1 and 2.3.2 below qualify as "Reformulated Products."

## 2.3.1 Glassware Reformulation Standards

The Product must produce a test result no higher than 1.0 micrograms ("ug") of lead and 8.0 ug of cadmium using a Ghost WipeTM test applied to the colored decorations on the exterior surface of the Product, performed as outlined in NIOSH Method No. 9100, or

The colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product must only utilize decorating materials containing six one-hundredths of one percent (0.06%) of lead by weight (or less) and twenty-four one-hundredths of one percent (0.24%) of cadmium by weight (or less) as measured at Defendant's option, either before or after the material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using EPA Test Method 3050b, and

If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product extends into the top 20 millimeters of the ware (i.e., the exterior portion of the lip and rim area as defined by American Society of Testing and Materials Standard Test Method C927-99, hereinafter the "Lip and Rim Area"), the Product must produce test results acceptable under subsection 2.3.1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If Defendant tests any decoration in the Products, using EPA Test Method 3050b, after it is affixed to the Product, the percentage of lead by weight and the percentage of cadmium by weight must relate only to the other portions of the decorating material and not include any calculation of non-decorating material.

above, and the decorative materials used in the Lip and Rim Area of the Products must contain no detectable lead or cadmium.<sup>2</sup>

## 2.3.2 Ceramic Reformulation Standards

The Product must produce a test result no higher than 1.0 micrograms (ug) of lead and 8.0 ug of cadmium using a Ghost WipeTM test applied to the colored decorations on the exterior surface of the Product, performed as outlined in NIOSH Method No. 9100, or

The colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product must only utilize decorating materials containing six one-hundredths of one percent (0.06%) of lead by weight (or less) and twenty-four one-hundredths of one percent (0.24%) of cadmium by weight (or less) as measured at Defendant's option, either before or after the material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using EPA Test Method 3050b, 3 or

The Product must achieve a result of 0.99 ppm or less for lead and 7.92 ppm or less for cadmium after correction for internal volume when tested under the protocol attached hereto as Exhibit B (the ASTM C927-99 test method, modified for total immersion with results corrected for internal volume); and

If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product extends into the Lip and Rim Area, the Product must produce test results acceptable under subsection 2.3.2 above, and the decorative materials used in the Lip and Rim Area of the Products must contain no detectable lead or cadmium.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this subsection, "no detectable lead or cadmium" shall mean that lead is not detected at a level above two one-hundredths of one percent (0.02%) by weight and cadmium is not detected at a level above eight one-hundredths of one percent (0.08%), respectively, using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation of less than 200 ppm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> If Defendant tests any decoration in the Products, using EPA Test Method 3050b, after it is affixed to the Product, the percentage of lead by weight and the percentage of cadmium by weight must relate only to the other portions of the decorating material and not include any calculation of non-decorating material.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For purposes of this subsection, "no detectable lead or cadmium" shall mean that lead is not detected at a level above two one-hundredths of one percent (0.02%) by weight and cadmium is not detected at a level above eight one-hundredths of one percent (0.08%), respectively, using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation of less than 200 ppm.

3.1 Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), Defendant shall pay a civil penalty of \$58,000. The penalty payment shall be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group in Trust For Russell Brimer," and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before September 12, 2005, at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

- (a) In the event that Defendant pays any penalty and the Consent Judgment is not thereafter approved and entered by the Court, Brimer shall return any penalty funds paid under this agreement within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a written request from Defendant following notice of the issuance of the Court's decision.
- (b) Apportionment of Penalties Received. After Court approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 6, all penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Plaintiff as provided by Health & Safety Code §25249.12(d). Plaintiff shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this section.

# 4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

4.1 The Parties acknowledge that Plaintiff and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Defendant then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date of the Agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine, Defendant shall reimburse Plaintiff and his counsel for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, and inter alia, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Defendant shall pay Plaintiff and his counsel \$78,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs. The payment shall be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group" and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before September 12, 2005, at the following address:

> CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall have no 4.2 further obligation with regard to reimbursement of Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs with regard to the Products covered in this Consent Judgment.

#### RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS 5.

Plaintiff's Release of Defendant. In further consideration of the promises and 5.1 agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Defendant and each of its respective officers, directors, agents, employees, consultants, representatives, partners, shareholders, affiliates, associations, owners, interest holders, entity owners (and the officers,

directors, owners, shareholders, agents and employees of any of its owners, shareholders, interest holders or entity owners), and its retailers, customers (including but not limited to West Coast Novelty Corp. and Albertson's Inc.), purchasers, users, licensees, subsidiaries and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees (collectively, "Defendant's Releasees") arising under Proposition 65, and including but not limited to Defendant's or Defendant's Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products. It is specifically understood and agreed to by Plaintiff and Defendant that the release provided herein for Defendant's Releasees (including West Coast Novelty Corp. and Albertson's Inc.) is limited solely to the Products sold by Hunter.

The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65 that has been or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Defendant for its alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of the Listed Chemicals in the Products.

In addition, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his attorneys, and their agents, waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all Claims against Defendant's Releasees arising under Proposition 65, related to each of Defendant's Releasees' alleged failures to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products and for all actions or statements made by Defendant or its attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65 by Defendant. Provided however, that Plaintiff shall remain free to institute any form of legal action to enforce any and all of the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that Defendant's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (so long as Defendant complies with the terms of the Consent Judgment) concerning Defendant's and Defendant's Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65, with respect to the Listed Chemicals in the Products.

5

4

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

Defendant's Release of Plaintiff. Defendant and Defendant's Releasees waive all 5.2 rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, or his attorneys or representatives, for all actions taken or statements made by Plaintiff and his attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against Defendant and Defendant's Releasees prior to and in this Action.

## COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within 180 days after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Plaintiff or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days.

#### SEVERABILITY 7.

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

#### ATTORNEYS' FEES 8.

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall, except as otherwise provided herein, be entitled to recover reasonable and necessary costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred from the resolution of such dispute.

#### **GOVERNING LAW** 9.

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then Defendant shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected.

#### **NOTICES** 10.

28

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (1) first-class, registered, certified mail, return receipt requested, or (ii) overnight courier on either Party by the other at the following addresses: (Either Party, from time to time, may, pursuant to the methods prescribed above, specify a change of address to which all future notices and other communications shall be sent.)

To Hunter Manufacturing Group, Inc.:

Jack C. Smith, President Hunter Manufacturing Group, Inc. 201 West Loudon Avenue Lexington, KY 40508 J. Clarke Keller, Esq. Stites & Harbison, PLLC 250 W. Main St., Suite 2300 Lexington, KY 40507

## To Plaintiff:

Clifford A. Chanler Chanler Law Group 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

## 11. NO ADMISSIONS

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. Defendant reserves all of its rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any party under Proposition 65 or otherwise. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Defendant's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

## 12. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

# 13. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Plaintiff shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days

after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the County of San Francisco unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.

# 14. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, the Parties agree to file a Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Joint Motion"), the first draft of which Defendant's counsel shall prepare, within a reasonable period of time after the Effective Date (i.e., not to exceed thirty (30) days unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties' counsel based on unanticipated circumstances). Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare a declaration in support of the Joint Motion which shall, inter alia, set forth support for the fees and costs to be reimbursed pursuant to Section 4. Defendant shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure. §1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing or related proceedings thereon.

## 15. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

1	AUTHORIZATION		
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their		
3	respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this		
4	Consent Judgment.		
5	,		
6		A CONTROL TO	
7	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
8	Date:	Date: Septem Ben 16, 2005	
9		D -040	
10	By: Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Defendant HUNTER MANUFACTURING	
11	LIGHTHIN KOOGEDE DIVINER	GROUP, INC.	
12		·	
13	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
14		- 0/11/0-	
15	Date:	Date: $9/14/05$	
16	PARAS LAW GROUP	STITES & HARBISON PLLC	
17	TANGED BALL GROOT	021 0 11:11	
18	Ву:	By: StephanioR Hillard	
19	Stephen S. Sayad	Stephanie R. Gilford Attorney for Defendant	
20	Attorney for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	HUNTER MANUFACTURING GROUP, INC.	
21			
22			
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
24			
25	Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
26			
27			
28			

1	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their	
2	respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this	
3	Consent Judgment.	
4		
5		
6	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
7	Date: (-14-01-	Date:
8	Date. 4-7 1 -	
9	By Zusell D	By:
10	Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Defendant HUNTER MANUFACTURING GROUP, INC.
11		•
12	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
13	AFFROVED AS TO FORM.	111110,22110101010
14	Date: 9 22 05	Date:
15		STITES & HARBISON PLLC
16	PARAS LAW GROUP	STITES & IMADIOON I EDO
17	By: Mailie Driffie	By:
18	Stephen S. Sayad	Stephanie R. Gilford
19	Attorney for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Attorney for Defendant HUNTER MANUFACTURING GROUP, INC.
20		
21		
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23		
24	Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
25		
26	]	
27		
28		

### Exhibit A

1	Exhibit A
2	All glasses, mugs, bowls, teapots, and other glassware and ceramicware with colored designs and/or artwork on the exterior, including but not limited to:
4	Collector Glass Set, Four 2 oz. Collector Glasses (#7 37224 29552 9)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
15	
16	
17	·
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
40	

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CGC-05-439569

1	Daniel Bornstein (State Bar No. 181711) Laralei S. Paras (State Bar No. 203319)	ENDORSED F 1 L E D San Francisco County Superior Count	
2	PARAS LAW GROUP 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214	DEC 1 6 2005	
3	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880	GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk	
4	Facsimile: (510) 848-8118	BY: ERICKA LARNAUTI Deputy Clerk	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER		
6	Stephanie R. Gilford (State Bar No. 217241)		
7	STITES & HARBISON PLLC 250 West Main Street, Suite 2300		
8	Lexington, KY 40507 Telephone: (859) 226-2250		
9	Facsimile: (859) 253-9144		
10	Attorneys for Defendant HUNTER MANUFACTURING GROUP, INC.		
11	HONTER MANOT MET ORING ORGOT, INC.		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
14			
15	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-05-439569	
16	Plaintiff,	[ <del>PROPOSED] J</del> UDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF	
17	v.	CONSENT JUDGMENT	
18	HUNTER MANUFACTURING GROUP, INC.; WEST COAST NOVELTY CORP;	Date: December 16, 2005 Time: 9:30 A.M.	
19	ALBERTSON'S INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,	Dept. 301 Judge: Hon. J <del>ames L.: Warren</del>	
20	Defendants.	A. JAMES ROBERTSON, II	
21		,	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

1		In the above-entitled action, Plain	tiff Russell Brimer and Defendant Hunter
2	Manufacturing Group, Inc., having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be		
3	entered pursuant to the terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and after		
4	issuing an Order Approving Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment;		
5	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to		
6	Code of Civil Procedure section 664.5, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the		
7	Order Approving Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment, between the		
8	parties.		
9		IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	DATED: _	DEC 1 6 2005	A. JAMES ROBERTSON, IL
11			HON, JAMES L: WARREN Judge of the Superior Court
12			A. JAMES ROBERTOCH,
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
26			
			1 CGC-05-439569 T TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT