1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Christopher M. Martin, State Bar No. 186021 HIRST & CHANLER LLP 566 W. Adams, Suite 450 Chicago, IL 60661 Telephone: (312) 376-1801 Facsimile: (312) 376-1804  Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER  James L. Arnone, State Bar No. 150606 Duncan Joseph Moore, State Bar No. 233955 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, California 90071-2007 Telephone: (213) 485-1234	ENDORSED FILED San Francisco County Superior Court  AUG 0 8 2008  GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk BY: LUCY RAMOS  Deputy Clerk	
10	Facsimile: (213) 891-8763		
11	Attorneys for Defendant DELIVERY AGENT, INC.		
12	DELIVERT AGENT, INC.		
13	CLIDED IOD COLUDE OF THE	GTATE OF GALVEODAVA	
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15	FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
16	UNLIMITED CIVIL	JURISDICTION	
17			
18			
	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-05-447546	
19	RUSSELL BRIMER,  Plaintiff,		
19 20	,	{PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF	
	Plaintiff, v.  DELIVERY AGENT, INC.; and DOES 1 through	{PROPOSED] JUDGMENT	
20	Plaintiff, v.	{PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF	
20 21	Plaintiff, v.  DELIVERY AGENT, INC.; and DOES 1 through	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT  Date: June 11, 2008 Time: 9:30 A.M.	
20 21 22	Plaintiff, v.  DELIVERY AGENT, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT  Date: June 11, 2008	
20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, v.  DELIVERY AGENT, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT  Date: June 11, 2008 Time: 9:30 A.M. Dept.: 302	
20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, v.  DELIVERY AGENT, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT  Date: June 11, 2008 Time: 9:30 A.M. Dept.: 302	
20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, v.  DELIVERY AGENT, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT  Date: June 11, 2008 Time: 9:30 A.M. Dept.: 302	

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendant DELIVERY		
2	AGENT, INC., have agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to		
3	the terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the parties on December 27, 2007.		
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of		
5	Civil Procedure section 664.5, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Order		
6	Approving Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment, between the parties.		
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
8			
9	Hon. Patrick J. Mahoney JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			